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**Abstract**
Highly migratory, cosmopolitan oceanic sharks often exhibit complex movement patterns influenced by ontogeny, reproduction, and feeding. These elusive species are particularly challenging to population genetic studies, as representative samples suitable for inferring genetic structure are difficult to obtain. Our study provides insights into the genetic population structure one of the most abundant and wide-ranging oceanic shark species, the blue shark *Prionace glauca*, by sampling the least mobile component of the populations, i.e., young-of-year and small juveniles (<2 year; *N* = 348 individuals), at three reported nursery areas, namely, western Iberia, Azores, and South Africa. Samples were collected in two different time periods (2002–2008 and 2012–2015) and were screened at 12 nuclear microsatellites and at a 899-bp fragment of the mitochondrial control region. Our results show temporally stable genetic homogeneity among the three Atlantic nurseries at both nuclear and mitochondrial markers, suggesting basin-wide panmixia. In addition, comparison of mtDNA CR sequences from Atlantic and Indo-Pacific locations also indicated genetic homogeneity and unrestricted female-mediated gene flow between ocean basins. These results are discussed in light of the species’ life history and ecology, but suggest that blue shark populations may be connected by gene flow at the global scale. The implications of the present findings to the management of this important fisheries resource are also discussed.
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### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Understanding the processes governing the distribution of marine fisheries resources in time and space is essential for efficient management aimed at long-term sustainability of populations. Studies on the population structure of highly mobile pelagic marine fishes are often limited by the difficulty of obtaining representative samples across the range of often-widespread species, and by the expected low level of genetic
Differentiation among populations due to the potential for high gene flow between distant locations. Highly mobile elasmobranchs are no exception, and the above limitations in studying these charismatic but elusive species are of particular concern given their high vulnerability to population depletion when faced with even low rates of fishing mortality (Musick, Burgess, Cailliet, Camhi, & Fordham, 2000).

One such species is the blue shark Prionace glauca (Linnaeus 1758), which is likely the most exploited shark species globally (Camhi, Lauck, Pikitch, & Babcock, 2008). This large (>300 m TL) oceanic pelagic shark is found in temperate and tropical waters in all the world’s oceans (Nakano & Stevens, 2008). The species has a wide thermal tolerance, particularly in the adult stage (Vandeperre, Aires-da-Silva, Lennert-Cody, Serrão Santos, & Afonso, 2016; Vandeperre, Aires-da-Silva, Santos et al., 2014), and has been caught in waters between 8° and 30° Celsius (Kohler, Turner, Hoey, Natanson, & Briggs, 2002; and references therein). As many other oceanic pelagic sharks, P. glauca is highly migratory and can cover distances ~1,000–10,000s km including east–west and north–south trans-oceanic movements and cross multiple national borders during its life cycle (Kohler et al., 2002; Queiroz, Humphries, Noble, Santos, & Sims, 2012; Sippel et al., 2011; Vandeperre, Aires-da-Silva, Lennert-Cody et al., 2014). Indeed, blue sharks are spatially segregated according to size, sex, and reproductive stage, exhibiting complex movement behaviors associated with feeding, ontogeny, and reproduction (reviewed in Nakano & Stevens, 2008). Nursery areas are generally located at the northern and southern temperate latitudes ~35–45°, where juveniles of both sexes co-occur (Nakano & Stevens, 2008). As individuals grow and approach sexual maturity, males and females move out of the nursery areas and undertake progressively larger movements (Vandeperre, Aires-da-Silva, Lennert-Cody et al., 2014), eventually spanning the whole ocean basin and exploiting highly productive areas at oceanic frontal zones (Queiroz et al., 2012, 2016).

Blue sharks are extremely abundant in the open ocean and are the most frequent by-catch of swordfish and tuna longline pelagic fisheries, as well as of recreational fisheries worldwide (Aires-da-Silva, Ferreira, & Pereira, 2008; Castro & Mejuto, 1995; Kohler, Casey, & Turner, 1998; Nakano & Seki, 2003). They are thus an important fisheries resource, particularly in Atlantic waters where the fishing effort of high-seas longline fisheries and reported catches of P. glauca are high (Camhi et al., 2008; Queiroz et al., 2012, 2016). However, the current status of the species’ stocks remain unclear due to the low quality and limited amount of available catch and effort data (ICCAT 2015; Rice, Harley, & Kai, 2014), a scenario also observed in other oceanic pelagic sharks (Campana, 2016). Not surprisingly, recent stock assessment efforts yielded ambiguous scenarios for Atlantic and Pacific blue shark stocks (ICCAT 2015; Rice et al., 2014), raising issues on the reliability of assessments. Despite being a relatively productive species among elasmobranchs (Cortés et al., 2010), warning signs of regional decreases in Atlantic blue shark catches have already been reported (Aires-da-Silva et al., 2008; Huetter & Simpfendorfer, 2008).

In addition to the lack of management measures or biological reference points for P. glauca, the stock structure of Atlantic blue sharks remains uncertain.

Previous attempts to elucidate the genetic population structure of blue sharks found no significant structure among collections from different North Atlantic locations (Queiroz, 2010) as well as across the North Pacific (King et al., 2015), supporting a single management unit for each region. However, another study found genetic homogeneity across the whole Pacific Ocean at the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene (Taguchi, King, Wettklo, Withler, & Yokawa, 2015), questioning the current separation of north versus south stocks within a given ocean basin (ICCAT 2005, 2015; Sippel et al., 2011). All studies so far were based on samples collected opportunistically, a strategy adopted in the majority of the studies dealing with oceanic pelagic sharks given the inherent challenges in sampling these elusive species. However, opportunistic samples may include individuals of mixed origin if adults and subadults originating from distinct genetic stocks co-occur at a given feeding area, thereby potentially masking all or part of the genetic signal.

Heist (2008) highlights the importance of sampling small juveniles at nursery areas to infer the stock structure of highly mobile pelagic sharks. Nursery areas hold the least-migratory component of the populations (i.e., neonates and small juvenile sharks) and, consequently, allow some degree of isolation among population units (Heist, 2008). In the case of the blue shark, several nursery areas have been proposed for the North and South Atlantic: off the Azores (Aires-da-Silva et al., 2008; Vandeperre, Aires-da-Silva, Santos et al., 2014; Vandeperre, Aires-da-Silva, Lennert-Cody et al., 2014), off the western Iberian Peninsula (Stevens, 1990), in the Gulf of Guinea (Castro & Mejuto, 1995), and off western South Africa (Jolly, da Silva, & Attwood, 2013; Petersen, Honig, Ryan, Underhill, & Compagno, 2009; da Silva, Kerwath, Wilke, Mejher, & Lambeth, 2010). Recently, long-term tracking of blue sharks tagged around the Azores showed strong site fidelity to this region at all life stages, except adult females for which data were limited, and suggested limited or no mixing of juvenile blue sharks among nursery areas during the first years of life (Vandeperre, Aires-da-Silva, Lennert-Cody et al., 2014).

Given the limited data on the population structure of Atlantic blue sharks, the aim of this study was to (1) test whether there is genetic differentiation among blue shark nurseries in the Atlantic Ocean off western Iberian, Azores, and South Africa; and (2) test the temporal stability of the observed patterns of genetic diversity. To this purpose, 12 nuclear microsatellite loci and a 899-bp fragment of the mitochondrial DNA control region were used to estimate the levels of genetic diversity and differentiation among sample collections of young-of-the-year (YOY) and small juvenile blue sharks (>2 year old) within each nursery area, that is, during a stage of limited movement (Kohler & Turner, 2008; Vandeperre, Aires-da-Silva, Lennert-Cody et al., 2014). Thus, we aimed to maximize the power to detect genetic differentiation in the blue shark by avoiding the confounding genetic signal of highly migratory adult sharks of unknown natal origin. Moreover, we compared the genetic diversity of Atlantic blue sharks with that of Indo-Pacific counterparts making use of previously collected molecular data (Ovenden, Kashiwagi, Broderick, Giles, & Salini, 2009), to infer genetic connectivity among ocean basins in this highly migratory species.
2 METHODS

2.1 Sample collection, DNA extraction, and analysis

The sampling locations correspond to three reported nursery areas of *P. glauca* in the Atlantic Ocean, namely off the Azores (AZ), off western Iberia (IB) and off western South Africa (SA), as well as from Brazil (Figure 1). A total of 302 individual blue sharks smaller than 150 cm fork length (FL) were sampled from the three nursery areas on board commercial fishing vessels operating in the North Atlantic and during pelagic longline research surveys off western South Africa. Research permits for the South African samples were issued by the Department of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries (Republic of South Africa). The sampled individuals corresponded to young-of-the-year (YOY), 1- and 2-year-old blue sharks (Table 1) according to the age-at-length estimates of Skomal and Natanson (2002). Samples at each location were collected at two time intervals corresponding to two groups of cohorts: (1) between 2003 and 2008, hereon designated as 2000s cohorts and (2) between 2012 and 2015, hereon designated as 2010s cohorts (Table 1; Figure S1). Additional samples (*N = 46*) were obtained from observers on board commercial fishing vessels operating in Brazilian waters prior to 2012, although no other biological information (e.g., size or sex) is available. They are thus not considered as samples from juvenile blue sharks, but given the lack of information from specimens from that region, they were analyzed and compared to the remaining sample collections. Tissue samples were obtained from dorsal fins or muscle tissue (~1 cm$^3$) preserved in 96% ethanol and stored at room temperature. Total genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from each tissue sample using the EasySpin® Genomic DNA Tissue Kit (Citomed, Lisbon), according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

The mitochondrial DNA control region (mtDNA CR) was amplified using primers developed for the white shark *Carcharodon carcharias* by Pardini et al. (2001), that is, GWS_F6 5′- TTGGC TCCCAAAGCCAAGATT- 3′ and PheCacaH2 5′- CTACTTAGCATCTTC AGTGCC- 3′. PCR amplification conditions were optimized for the blue shark and performed in 10 μl reactions including 5 μl of MyTaq HS Mix 2× (Bioline), 3.2 μl of ultra-pure water, 0.4 μmol/l of each primer, and 1 μl of gDNA. The PCR temperature program included a hot start at 95°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 65°C for 45 sec, and extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. All amplicons were purified with ExoSap (USB Corporation) following the manufacturer’s guidelines, prior to shipping and sequencing at Macrogen.

![FIGURE 1](image-url) Sampling locations of blue sharks in Atlantic waters. Triangles—Iberian Peninsula, squares—Azores, circles—western South Africa, diamond—western Brazil. Black symbols—individuals from cohorts between 2003 and 2008 (2000s), white symbols—in dividuals from cohorts between 2012 and 2015 (2010s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nursery</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>FL range (cm)</th>
<th>Cohorts</th>
<th>mtDNA CR</th>
<th>Nuclear microsatellite loci</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td><strong>H</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall 2,000s</td>
<td>65–126</td>
<td>2,003–2,008</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>2,000s</td>
<td>65–120</td>
<td>2,003–2,008</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>2,000s</td>
<td>88–120</td>
<td>2,004–2,006</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>2,000s</td>
<td>85–126</td>
<td>2,006–2,007</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall 2,010s</td>
<td>69–140</td>
<td>2,012–2,015</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>2,010s</td>
<td>69–140</td>
<td>2,012–2,014</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>2,010s</td>
<td>105–140</td>
<td>2,012–2,014</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>2,010s</td>
<td>60–91</td>
<td>2,014–2,015</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FL, fork length; N, sample size; H, no. of haplotypes; h, haplotype diversity; π, nucleotide diversity; N_A, no. of alleles; A_R, allelic richness; H_O, observed heterozygosity; H_E, expected heterozygosity; F_IS, inbreeding coefficient; n.d., no data.

F_IS was not significant after FDR correction.

*Diversity indices for the Brazilian collections referring to seven microsatellite loci are presented in Table S3.*
European (Macrogen Inc., The Netherlands). Both the forward and reverse strands were sequenced, and quality and accuracy of nucleotide base assignment was checked manually in Geneious 6.1.2. (Biomatters Ltd). Sequence alignment was performed with the Geneious Aligner algorithm under default conditions, and confirmed by eye.

Microsatellite loci developed specifically for *P. glauca* were obtained from Fitzpatrick, Shivi, Chapman, and Prodöhl (2011) and Mendonça et al. (2012), but only twelve loci consistently amplified in samples from Atlantic nursery areas, of which only seven loci were successfully screened in samples from Brazil (Table S1). Polymerase chain reaction amplifications used the forward primer of each locus with an added T3 tail complementary to one of four fluorescently labeled T3 primers (e.g., 6-FAM, VIC, NED, or PET). Two multiplex reactions (Multiplex I: Pgl-05, Pgl-07, TB04, tb15; Multiplex II: Pgl-03; Pgl-04; Pgl-08; TB01; TB02; TB13; Table S1) contained 5 μl of Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (Qiagen), 3 μl of ultra-pure water, 1 μl of primer mix (details available from the authors upon request), and 1 μl of gDNA (5-20 ng). All multiplex PCR reactions began with a hot start at 95°C for 15 min followed by: (1) 17 cycles of a denaturation step of 95°C for 30 s, a touchdown annealing step of 90 s and between 62 and 54°C with a 0.5°C decrease per cycle, and an extension step at 72°C for 30 s; (2) 15 cycles of a denaturation step as above, annealing at 54°C for 45 s, and extension as above, and by (3) 8 cycles of a denaturation step as above, annealing at 53°C for 30 s, and extension as above. A final extension step was conducted at 60°C for 30 min. Two loci (Pgl-01; Pgl-10; Table S1) were amplified separately in 5 μl of Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (Qiagen), 3.2 μl of ultra-pure water, 0.04 μmol/l of T3-tailed forward primer, 0.4 μmol/l of reverse primer, 0.4 μmol/l of fluorescent dye, and 1 μl of gDNA. Single locus PCR conditions were similar to those for multiplex reactions except that the annealing steps were decreased by 15 sec at the 54 and 53°C cycles of the PCR program. The presence, length, and quality of each amplification product were verified by electrophoresis on a 2% (w/v) agarose gel and diluted 0.8X if needed. One microliter of each diluted PCR product was added to 10 μl of deionized formamide and 0.2 μl of the internal size standard Genescan-500 LIZ (Applied Biosystems) and run on an ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Genemapper software 4.1 (Applied Biosystems) was used to manually score individual genotypes.

### 2.2 Genetic diversity analyses

Mitochondrial DNA diversity indices were calculated with DnaSP version 5 (Librado & Rozas, 2009) including the total number of haplotypes (H), haplotype diversity (h), and nucleotide diversity (\( \pi \)) per sample collection, and the average number of nucleotide differences among sequences within each sample collection (k) and over all sequences. The relationships among haplotypes and their spatial distribution were inferred by constructing a 95% parsimony inference network (Clement, Posada, & Crandall, 2000) as implemented in POPART (Leigh & Bryant, 2015). Bayesian inference (BI) of the phylogenetic relationships among haplotypes was performed with MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012), using two independent Markov runs with four chains each (using default heating parameters). The model of nucleotide substitution for the mtDNA CR fragment used in MrBayes was estimated in MEGA 5.2.2 (Tamura et al., 2011), based on the corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc). Since the best substitution model was TN93 (Tamura & Nei, 1993), which is not available in MrBayes 3.2, we used the next most complex model (and the second best in AICc value) instead, that is, the General Time Reversible model with gamma-distributed rate variation across sites and a proportion of invariable sites as the model of sequence evolution. The runs included a total of five million generations, discarding the first 25% of generations as burn-in. Convergence between runs was confirmed by observing a mean standard deviation of split frequencies of <0.01 between runs, as indicated in the software manual, and effective sample sizes >200 for the combined parameter files calculated using Tracer version 1.6 (Rambaut, Suchard, Xie, & Drummond, 2014). Two sets of additional mtDNA CR sequences were obtained from GenBank for *Carcharhinus plumbeus* (Accession no.s AY766129–AY766136) and for *C. limbatus* (Accession no.s GU245557–GU245566) to serve as outgroups.

Multilocus microsatellite genotypes were checked for stuttering, allele dropout, and the presence of null alleles using MicroChecker version 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout, Hutchinson, Wills, & Shipley, 2004). Genetic relatedness values among all pairs of individuals within and among nursery collections were estimated using the R package related (Pew, Muir, Wang, & Frasier, 2015) according to package manual. Four genetic relatedness estimators, namely Li, Weeks, and Chakravarti (1993), Lynch and Ritland (1999), Queller and Goodnight (1989), and Wang (2002), were first evaluated for performance using the function “compareestimators” in the R package related. This function generates simulated individuals of known relatedness based on the observed allele frequencies and calculates the genetic relatedness using four different estimators. The correlation between observed and expected genetic relatedness was obtained for each estimator, and the one with the highest r (correlation coefficient) was chosen. Genetic relatedness among all pairs of small juvenile blue sharks were then estimated and visually inspected for the presence of outlier pairs. The distribution of observed pairwise relatedness values across all juvenile blue sharks was also compared to the values expected between parent-offspring (PO), full-siblings (FS), half-siblings (HS), and unrelated pairs (UN). This was meant to check the presence of possibly related individuals as they may bias estimates of genetic diversity and differentiation (e.g., Nielsen et al., 2009).

Expected genetic relatedness values were generated for 100 pairs of individuals per degree of relationship (i.e., PO, FS, HS, UN) using the observed allele frequency data. Finally, the average relatedness within and across sample collections was tested for deviations from random mating expectations using 1,000 permutations of multilocus genotypes between collections, while keeping group size constant (i.e., size of sample collection). All computations were performed with the R package related (Pew et al., 2015) and following the manual guidelines.

Genetic diversity indices at the nuclear microsatellite loci, including the total number of alleles per locus, the mean number of alleles
across loci per collection, allelic richness ($A_r; n = 37$), and observed and expected heterozygosities ($H_o$ and $H_e$, respectively), were estimated in FSTAT version 2.9.3.2 (Goudet, 2002). GenePop on the web version 4.2 (Raymond & Rousset, 1995; Rousset, 2008) was used to test for linkage disequilibrium between each locus within and across sample collections and to test whether the genotypic distributions at each locus across and within sample collections were in accordance with Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) expectations. $P$-value estimates for both tests were based on 10,000 dememorizations, 100 batches, and 10,000 iterations per batch, and corrected for multiple tests using a False Discovery Rate correction (FDR; Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995).

2.3 Genetic differentiation analyses

Levels of genetic differentiation among all sampling collections were estimated in Arlequin 3.5.2 (Excoffier & Lischer, 2010), by means of pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ tests at the mtDNA CR based on combined nucleotide diversity and haplotype frequencies and of pairwise $F_{ST}$ tests at the microsatellite loci based on the number of alleles. In addition, an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was performed to test different null hypotheses of genetic structure: (1) genetic homogeneity among nursery collections, at each time period; (2) genetic homogeneity across Atlantic nursery collections between time periods (i.e., 2000 vs. 2010); and (3) genetic homogeneity between the Brazilian collection and the three Atlantic nurseries. A locus-by-locus AMOVA was also performed on the nuclear microsatellite data using Arlequin, to infer the contribution of the different loci to the overall genetic structure. In all cases, statistical significance was assessed by 10,000 permutations of the dataset. As the locus-by-locus AMOVA did reveal contrasting signals of genetic differentiation among loci, we performed outlier tests aiming at detecting loci not conforming to neutrality expectations using LosiTAN (Antao, Lopes, Lopes, Beja-Pereira, & Luikart, 2008). This software implements the FDIST approach of Beaumont (1995) as implemented in NeEstimator version 2.01 (Do et al., 2014).

The statistical power of the microsatellite-based $F_{ST}$ tests (i.e., rejection of the null hypothesis $H_0$ of genetic homogeneity when it is false) and the corresponding alpha level (i.e., rejection of $H_0$ when it is true) were estimated in POWSIM version 4.1 (Ryman & Palm, 2006). Analyses were conducted using 10,000 burn-in steps, 100 batches, and 1,000 iterations per batch, for values of $F_{ST}$ ranging from 0.0001 to 0.01. The lower $F_{ST}$ value tested is the minimum acceptable by POWSIM, but may reflect migration rates $\sim 0.1$ among populations (i.e., possibly leading to demographic connectivity; Hastings, 1993) using Takahata’s (1983) formula as an approximation of the resulting $F_{ST}$ value:

$$F_{ST} = \frac{1}{1 + 4N_e m(d/d - 1)^2}$$

assuming a finite island model with $d$ demes (with $d = 2$, for pairwise comparisons), and an $N_e$ estimate obtained as described below. Power analyses were conducted using 50 individuals per deme and allelic frequencies at microsatellite loci.

The genetic structure among blue shark nursery collections was further evaluated by a Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) on the multilocus microsatellite genotype data, following the method of Jombart, Devillard, and Balloux (2010) implemented in R (R Development Core Team, 2016). Prior to running the DAPC, the alpha-score was first estimated to assess the number of PCs to retain and the ability of the DAPC in discriminating groups. The $K$-means method was run for $K = 1–12$ (i.e., twice the number of sample collections), and the best $K$ value was chosen using the Bayesian Information Criterion (Jombart et al., 2010). The DAPC was run using the most likely $K$ value and first two PCs explaining ~80% of the variance, as well as using location information.

Genetic admixture and differentiation among blue shark nursery collections was also evaluated with the clustering method implemented in STRUCTURE (Falush, Stephens, & Pritchard, 2003; Pritchard, Stephens, & Donnelly, 2000) using nuclear microsatellite genotypes. STRUCTURE was run using an admixture ancestry model with correlated allelic frequencies and no prior information of sample location. Five replicates were run for each $K$-value tested, using 500,000 burn-in steps followed by 1 million steps. Criteria for choosing the best $K$-values followed those indicated in the software manual. Given the reduced number of microsatellite loci genotyped for the Brazilian collections, the DAPC and STRUCTURE were run with two datasets: One dataset included only the nursery sample collections making use of the full set of microsatellite markers, and a second dataset included all nursery and the Brazilian sample collections with the reduced set of loci.

2.4 Comparison between Atlantic and Indo-Pacific blue sharks

Atlantic and Indo-Pacific blue shark genetic diversity was compared using mtDNA CR sequences. Indo-Pacific sequence data were obtained from GenBank based on previous work by Ovenden et al. (2009) including samples from Indonesia ($n = 19$), Japan ($n = 20$), west Australia ($n = 4$), and east Australia ($n = 17$). Briefly, the nucleotide sequence for haplotype PG01 (Ovenden et al., 2009) was obtained from GenBank Accession no. FJ161689, with the remaining haplotypes and haplotype frequencies being reconstructed according to Table 7 in Ovenden et al. (2009). Given the absence of genetic differences among the four Indo-Pacific collections, all sequences were included in a single group (hereon referred to as IP) in all downstream analyses. The final Atlantic mtDNA CR alignment was trimmed to a homologous fragment of Indo-Pacific sequences of 373 bp in length. Pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ tests were performed in Arlequin, and a haplotype network of Atlantic versus Indo-Pacific sequences was also obtained in POPART, as described above.

2.5 Demographic analyses

Contemporary effective population size was estimated for the whole Atlantic population, using the temporal method of Jorde and Ryman (1995) as implemented in NeEstimator version 2.01 (Do et al., 2014).
FIGURE 2  Mitochondrial control region haplotype network and Bayesian inference (BI) tree based on Atlantic blue shark sample collections. Number of mutated positions among connected haplotypes in the network are indicated by the slash marks. Numbers on the tree branches are BI probabilities. Black circles indicate inferred (i.e., not observed) haplotypes.
To this end, we used the two temporal groups as input populations and considered a generation time lapse of 2 between groups, since the age at maturity in female *P. glauca* is ~4–5 years (Skomal & Natanson, 2002) and the modal cohort in each temporal group is 2005 and 2013 for the 2000 and 2010s groups, respectively (Figure S1).

Past changes in population size were investigated using mtDNA CR sequences, by means of Fu’s *F*_*S* neutrality test (Fu, 1997) using 10,000 simulations of random data and an *α* = 0.05, as implemented in Arlequin version 3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer, 2010). Microsatellite genotypes were also used to test for recent bottleneck events within Atlantic waters (but excluding the Brazilian collection) using the excess heterozygosity test implemented in Bottleneck version 1.2.02 (Piry, Luikart, & Cornuet, 1999). The discrepancy between heterozygosity values was tested using a Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test under the null hypothesis of no significant heterozygosity excess. Given the importance of mutation model specification in bottleneck detection (Peery et al., 2012), we ran bottleneck using the two-phase model as the most likely model of microsatellite mutation (Di Rienzo et al., 1994), and including all combinations of three probabilities of single step mutations (70%, 80%, and 90%) and three values of variance among multiple steps (3, 12, and 30), for 1,000 replicates each. The above values were chosen based on the findings and recommendations in Peery et al. (2012).

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Genetic diversity in Atlantic blue sharks

A total of 237 individuals from the three Atlantic blue shark nurseries and from off Brazil were successfully sequenced for a 899-bp fragment of mtDNA CR. The final alignment included two indels and 29 polymorphic sites, of which 19 were transitions and 11 were transversions. Fifty-two haplotypes were recovered from the final alignment (after removal of indels; GenBank Accession nos KY923141–KY923192) showing an overall mean *k* of 3.45, and overall *x* and *h* of 0.0038 and 0.90, respectively. The levels of genetic diversity in the mtDNA CR were very similar among sample collections (Table 1), showing high haplotype diversities (*h*: 0.88–0.95) and equally differentiated haplotypes (*k*: 3.00–3.57).

The haplotype network showed two groups of haplotypes (or haplogroups) separated by two-step mutations, with the most frequent haplotypes in each group being connected by a minimum of four mutation steps and by two low-frequency haplotypes (Figure 2). Each haplogroup shows a star-shaped structure, with haplogroup B also showing much reticulation among haplotypes. No evidence of differential spatial distribution of haplotypes among sample collections is apparent from the haplotype network, with most shared haplotypes having nearly equal frequencies in each nursery and in Brazil (Figure 2). Pairwise comparison of all mtDNA CR sequences shows a unimodal distribution with a mode at 4 bp, while haplogroups A and B each have unimodal distributions at 2 bp and 1 bp, respectively (Figure S2). Bayesian reconstruction of phylogenetic relationships among *P. glauca* haplotypes confirmed the existence of the two haplogroups (with moderate support) and showed haplogroup B nested within haplogroup A. The two haplogroups have equal relative frequencies in all nursery sampling collections, although haplogroup A is more frequent in the Brazilian collection (Table S2).

Twelve microsatellite loci were genotyped for 302 juvenile blue sharks from the three Atlantic nursery areas (i.e., excluding Brazil), showing a total number of alleles per locus ranging from 2 to 35 (mean: 10.6) and *H*_*S* ranging from 0.20 to 0.93 (mean: 0.60; Table S1). No evidence of stuttering or allele scoring errors was found, but null alleles were detected at loci *Pgla03* for SA2000 and AZ2010, *Pgla05* for AZ2000, *Pgla07* for all collections except AZ2000, *Pgla10* for AZ2000, and *TB15* for IB2010. The genetic relatedness estimates of Li et al. (1993) showed the best performance with our data (*r* = 0.796), demonstrating that small juvenile blue sharks across all nursery collections followed closely the distribution of values expected from unrelated pairs of individuals (Figure S3). Also, observed average relatedness per collection did not deviate from expectations under random mating within and across nurseries (*p* > 0.05). Thus, all individuals collected at nursery areas were considered unrelated and included in further genetic analyses.

The levels of genetic diversity at the nuclear microsatellite loci were very similar among all nursery sample collections, with mean *A*~*s~ between 7.15 and 7.96 and mean *H*_*S* of 0.61 and 0.65 (Table 1). Concordantly, no significant temporal difference in *H*_*S*, number of alleles or *A*~*s~ was found across Atlantic nurseries (*p* > 0.05) despite the two North Atlantic nurseries showing lower values in 2010. When considering the reduced set of microsatellite loci and the Brazilian collection, similar levels of genetic diversity were also found among all collections (Table S3). Linkage disequilibrium tests detected no significant associations either within or between sample collections. Loci *Pgla03* for SA2000s and *Pgla05* for IB2000s deviated from HWE expectations (after FDR correction), both due to heterozygote deficits. Locus *Pgla07* did not conform to HWE expectations across all nursery samples or in the Brazil sample (after FDR correction), also due to heterozygote deficit, and was removed from further analyses.

#### 3.2 | Genetic differentiation among Atlantic collections of blue sharks

Pairwise *Φ*_ST tests based on mtDNA haplotype frequency data showed no significant genetic differentiation among sample collections, with comparisons including Brazil showing larger *Φ*_ST values (Table 2). Concordantly, pairwise *F*_ST tests based on microsatellite genotypes also showed no significant differentiation among nursery collections. Comparisons including the Brazilian sample showed larger pairwise *F*_ST values, with one comparison (Brazil vs. IB2000) being significant (Table 2).

Power simulations indicated our microsatellite panel had high power (>95%) to detect pairwise *F*_ST values >0.005 but low power to detect values in the range of 0.0025–0.0001 (<60% and <5%, respectively), for an *α* value of 0.04, regardless of the number of loci used (i.e., 11 or six) or sampling scheme tested (i.e., including only Atlantic nursery collections, and including Atlantic nursery and Brazilian collections, respectively). For the lower *F*_ST value and 11 loci, increasing the sample size per deme from 50 to 500 individuals still showed <20% power to reject the null hypothesis.
TABLE 2 Pairwise $F_{ST}$ (below diagonal) based on 11 nuclear microsatellite loci, and $\phi_{ST}$ values (above diagonal) based on the mtDNA CR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IB2000s</th>
<th>AZ2000s</th>
<th>SA2000s</th>
<th>IB2010s</th>
<th>AZ2010s</th>
<th>SA2010s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IB2000s</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ2000s</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA2000s</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB2010s</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ2010s</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA2010s</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values based on six loci, as indicated in text. Significant P-value (after FDR correction) in bold.

Results of the AMOVA confirmed the lack of significant genetic heterogeneity among nursery collections at the mtDNA CR or at the microsatellite loci, regardless of the time period considered. Likewise, no significant temporal differences were detected when considering the three nursery collections together per time period (i.e., 2000s vs. 2010s). However, the AMOVA showed that samples from Atlantic nursery areas were weakly but significantly differentiated from the Brazilian collection both at the mtDNA CR and at the nuclear microsatellite loci (Table 3). However, in the locus-by-locus AMOVAs based on microsatellite data, locus Pglo03 showed $F_{ST}$ and $F_{CT}$ values about 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than the remainder loci. Removal of this locus from the analyses yielded nonsignificant $F_{CT}$ values (data not shown). Despite these results, all microsatellite loci were found to conform to neutrality expectations, both when considering only the samples from nursery areas genotyped at 11 microsatellite loci ($n = 6$ “populations”), and when considering all Atlantic collections genotyped at only six loci ($n = 7$ “populations”).

The DAPC had little discriminating power among Atlantic nursery collections, or among nursery collections and Brazil, as indicated by the very low alpha values (<0.1; Figure S4). This was evident in the final DAPC using either the K-means clustering method (data not shown) or location information (Figure 3), where samples from different clusters/collections had largely overlapping clouds of points. Consistent with these findings, the STRUCTURE runs showed that the most likely K value was 1 in the two datasets considered (Figure S5), with individuals having equal probabilities of membership across clusters regardless of the K value (data not shown).

3.4 | Demography of Atlantic blue sharks

The estimated contemporary effective size of the Atlantic blue shark population was 4,513 (parametric bootstrap 95% confidence interval: 3,422–5,752). Neutrality tests based on mtDNA CR sequences and Fu’s $F_s$ test detected significant deviations from selective neutrality and population equilibrium in Atlantic nurseries (considered together per time period) and in the Brazilian collection. In all cases, significantly large negative Fu’s $F_s$ values were found (2000s group: $F_s = -18.46$; 2010s group: $F_s = -26.60$; Brazil: $F_s = -14.42$; all $P$-values < 0.001), suggesting past population expansions. When considering the two mtDNA CR haplogroups detected above, both haplogroups also had significant negative Fu’s $F_s$ values (A: −16.93; B: −17.12; $P < 0.000$), consistent with past population size expansion. On the other hand, analysis of historical population size changes using nuclear microsatellite loci found no signal of a recent population bottleneck, regardless of the parameter combination used ($P > 0.05$).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Blue shark genetic diversity and population structure

Genetic homogeneity at the Atlantic-wide level was found for the blue shark in the present study. Juvenile sharks born at three distinct Atlantic nursery areas, located on either side of the Equator, share the same genetic composition at 11 nuclear microsatellites and at the mtDNA CR (Tables 1 and 2). The lack of genetic structure among Atlantic nursery areas was consistently found in the two temporal sampling replicates. Moreover, genetic homogeneity at the maternally inherited mtDNA CR indicates that adult female blue sharks may give birth in nursery areas other than their natal ones, even if located in opposite hemispheres. Thus, there is no evidence of female phylopatry to discrete Atlantic nursery areas in *P. glauca*.

The genetic homogeneity among Atlantic nursery areas and across hemispheres is in agreement with previously published genetic studies on the population genetics of blue sharks. Specifically, genetic homogeneity at mitochondrial and nuclear markers was found in blue sharks sampled at various locations within the North Atlantic (Queiroz, 2010), and within the North Pacific (King et al., 2015), as well as across the Atlantic and the Pacific basins (this study; Ovenden et al., 2009;
The very low or zero genetic differentiation observed across such large spatial scales suggests that enough gene flow currently exists among distant areas resulting in homogenous allelic frequencies, or that it occurred until very recently and genetic drift has not yet had time to produce detectable differences among areas. Regardless, all studies so far consistently indicate that blue sharks exhibit/exhibited unrestricted gene flow at the within-ocean basin level mediated both by females and by males.

The Brazilian blue shark samples show some discordance with the above pattern of unrestricted gene flow at large spatial scales. Low but significant genetic differentiation was found between the Atlantic nurseries and Brazil at both nuclear and mtDNA loci, albeit with a stronger signal at the microsatellite loci. This result is surprising given the absence of genetic differences among Atlantic collections on either side of the Equator, and the potential for long distance dispersal and apparent lack of barriers for the blue sharks throughout the Atlantic and Pacific oceans (this study; Ovenden et al., 2009; King et al., 2015; Taguchi et al., 2015). Given the low gDNA quality of the Brazilian samples and its effect in amplification and scoring of half of the microsatellite loci, allele scoring in the six loci successfully amplified may have been affected also, falsely indicating genetic differentiation to exist. This is of particular notice as genetic differentiation at nuclear microsatellites is strongly influenced by a single locus (i.e., Pglo03), which also showed evidence of null alleles at two sample collections (SA2000s and AZ2000s) and significant deviations from HWE expectations (in SA2000s). Future confirmation of this signal is thus needed, and we caution against definitive conclusions regarding the existence of a discrete population of blue sharks in the western South Atlantic.

The current study also shows evidence of high genetic connectivity between Atlantic and Indo-Pacific blue sharks at the mtDNA CR. The most common haplotypes within each region were shared, and there were no observed phylogeographic breaks among ocean basins in *P. glauca*. Moreover, the levels of genetic differentiation between basins were very low and not significant. These results suggest that gene flow is occurring within as well as among whole ocean basins.

### TABLE 3 Analysis of molecular variance among Atlantic collections of *P. glauca*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nuclear microsatellites</th>
<th>mtDNA CR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{ST}$</td>
<td>$F_{SC}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H0: Panmixia among Atlantic Nurseries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000s</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010s</td>
<td>-0.0005</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H0: Temporal differences across Atlantic nurseries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000s vs. 2010s</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H0: Atlantic Nurseries vs. Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000s vs. Brazil</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010s vs. Brazil</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Analyses based on nuclear microsatellite loci and including Brazil are based on only six loci, as indicated in the text. Significant P-values are *.05; **.005.

![Discriminant analysis of principal components](image)
Connectivity between the South Atlantic and the western Indian oceans has been proposed by da Silva et al. (2010) based on the length frequency distributions of blue shark longline catches and tag-recapture data. These authors argued that blue sharks around South African waters may comprise a population spanning the South Atlantic and Indian Ocean, possibly extending throughout the Southern Hemisphere (da Silva et al., 2010). The absence of female blue shark phylopatry to discrete pupping areas, coupled to the species’ high dispersal potential and wide thermal range, may effectively allow unrestricted dispersal throughout the world’s oceans.

In contrast to the above finding, previous studies on blue shark biology in Atlantic and Pacific waters have pointed out differences in key life-history parameters (e.g., size and age at maturity, and growth rates) within as well as between ocean basins (e.g., Pratt, 1979; Castro & Mejuto, 1995; Skomal & Natanson, 2002; Lessa, Santana, & Hazin, 2004; Francis & Duffy, 2005; Nakano & Stevens, 2008 and references therein; Jolly et al., 2013), suggesting population differentiation. However, many of these studies suffer from inadequate, incomplete, and biased samples sizes (Skomal & Natanson, 2002; Tanaka, Cailliet, & Yudin, 1990), such as the absence of a representative size range in specimens, which compromises both the accuracy of the parameter estimates and interstudy comparisons. Thus, further studies are still needed to reliably test for spatial differences in key life-history traits.

Available literature on the population genetic structure of other oceanic epipelagic sharks (sensu Stevens, 2010) indicates that connectivity between Atlantic and Indian/Indo-Pacific basins was found also in the crocodile shark Pseudocarcharias kamoharai (Matsubara, 1936) (da Silva Ferrette et al., 2015) and the basking shark Cetorhinus maximus (Gunnerus, 1765) (Hoelzel, Shivji, Magnussen, & Francis, 2006), and two other species showed genetic homogeneity at the within-ocean basin level, that is, the whale shark Rhincodon typus Smith, 1828 (Castro et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2007; Vignaud et al., 2014) and the bigeye tresher Alopias superciliosus Lowe, 1841 (Morales, 2012).

However, most species of large oceanic epipelagic sharks show genetic structure at smaller spatial scales than expected based on their high dispersal ability, such as the pelagic thresher shark Alopias pelagicus Nakamura, 1935 (Cardeñoso, Hyde, & Caballero, 2014), the great white Carcharodon carcharias (Linnaeus, 1758) (Andreotti et al., 2016; Blower, Pandolfi, Bruce, Gomez-Cabreriza, & Ovenden, 2012; Jorgensen et al., 2010; O’Leary et al., 2015), the mako shark Isurus oxyrinchus Rafinesque, 1810 (Heist, Musick & Graves, 1996; Schrey & Heist, 2003), the silky shark Carcharhinus falciformis (Müller & Henle, 1839) (Clarke et al., 2015; Galván-Tirado, Díaz-Jaimes, García-de León, Galván-Magana, & Uribe-Alcocer, 2013), and the oceanic whitetip Carcharhinus longimanus (Poey, 1861) (Camargo et al., 2016). Clearly, the combination of pelagic habit, oceanic habitat, and wide distribution ranges does not necessarily result in widespread mixing of individuals across large spatial scales. Other factors need to be taken into account when drawing inferences on putative population structure patterns of this group of species, such as site fidelity to mating/pupping grounds (Andreotti et al., 2016; Blower et al., 2012; Cardeñoso et al., 2014; Jorgensen et al., 2010; O’Leary et al., 2015; Schrey & Heist, 2003) or, simply, lower than expected dispersal ability (Camargo et al., 2016).

Current distribution patterns of genetic diversity are also influenced by a variety of factors including historical demographic events (e.g., population size changes), vicariant/dispersal events, selection, etc., which may be shaped by both biological and environmental processes. Environmental variability associated with the glacial-interglacial cycles of the Late Quaternary predating the appearance of modern climatic conditions (~11.5 ky before present) have been recurrently invoked to explain present-day phylogeographic patterns in a variety of living organisms (e.g., Maggs et al., 2008; Randi, 2007). For instance, colder water conditions around the tip of South Africa during glacial periods, and the presence of the cold Benguela current during warm interglacial periods have been proposed to explain Atlantic versus Indo-Pacific isolation and mitochondrial lineage divergence in warm-temperate and tropical sharks, such as in cosmopolitan oceanic epipelagic species (e.g., C. limbatus, Keeney & Heist, 2006; Keeney, Heupel, Hueter, & Heist, 2005; Carcharhinus obscurus (Lesseur, 1818) Benavides et al., 2011; Carcharhinus plumbeus (Nardo, 1827), Portnoy, McDowell, Heist, Musick, & Graves, 2010; Carcharhinus brachyurus (Günther, 1870), Benavides et al., 2011; Benavides et al., 2011; Galeocerdo cuvier (Péron & Lesueur, 1822) Bernard et al., 2016; Sphyraena lewini (Griffith & Smith, 1834), Duncan, Martin, Bowen, & De Couet, 2006; Daly-Engel et al., 2012). Lineage divergence associated with between-ocean cessation of gene flow in temperate species with wide temperature ranges, such as the blue shark, may also have occurred during periods when water temperatures around South Africa went below their thermal tolerance limit. However, extensive interbasin gene flow resuming during interglacial periods (as seen presently) may homogenize the distribution of mtDNA lineages globally.

4.2 | Demography of blue sharks

Effective population size estimates for Atlantic and Pacific blue sharks are concordant with a contemporary \( N_e \), of ~ 4,000–5,000 (this study, King et al., 2015). The similar \( N_e \) estimates were obtained despite the different sample sizes of the two studies (302 vs. 844, respectively), and the distinct estimation methods used (temporal method of Jorde & Ryman, 1995 vs. linkage disequilibrium method implemented in NEEstimator, Do et al., 2014). This convergence of independent estimates suggests relatively accurate contemporary \( N_e \) values (Hare et al. 2011). If genetic panmixia occurs between Atlantic and IP blue sharks, then it is perhaps expected that \( N_e \) should be similar throughout the species range and thus reflect global contemporary \( N_e \) values.

In any case, populations with contemporary \( N_e \) values >3,000 have been suggested to be at a lower risk of loss of genetic diversity even when subjected to high fishing mortality (~90% bottlenecks; Pinsky & Palumbi, 2014). Indeed, we found no evidence of recent population bottlenecks in Atlantic blue sharks, and King et al. (2015) also found concordant estimates of contemporary and historical \( N_e \) values in the Pacific Ocean, suggesting little population size variation in the past. In turn, evidence of past population expansion was found at the mtDNA level in both Atlantic and Pacific samples (this study, Taguchi et al., 2015).
Surprisingly, $N_e$ estimates for blue sharks appear somewhat low given the very high abundance of the species worldwide, with annual estimates of $\sim 10^7$ individuals being traded in the global fin market alone (Clarke, 2008; Clarke et al., 2006). Assuming a census size $\sim 10^8$ globally, the ratio of contemporary $N_e$ to census size ($N_c$) of blue sharks may be in the order of $10^{-5}$. The $N_e/N_c$ ratio of blue sharks appears to be much smaller than the one observed in another pelagic carcharhinid, the sandbar shark ($N_e/N_c \sim 0.5$; Portnoy, McDowell, McCandless, Musick & Graves, 2009). Theoretical expectations based on generation time, age at maturity ($\alpha$), and adult life span (AL) predict that in species with larger AL/\alpha (i.e., where lifetime reproductive output is higher), the $N_e/N_c$ will be lower (Waples, Luikart, Faulkner, & Tallmon, 2013). Thus, the much lower $N_e/N_c$ in blue sharks compared to sandbar sharks are in agreement with these expectations, given its much shorter generation times (4–5 vs. 15–16 year) and longer AL (11 vs. 5 year).

### 4.3 Management considerations

The genetic homogeneity across whole ocean basins seen in Atlantic (present study) and Pacific oceans (Ovenden et al., 2009; Taguchi et al., 2015) is at odds with the currently assumed distinction of northern and southern stocks within each ocean basin. Indeed, the bulk of the evidence gathered thus far indicates that the blue shark exhibits dispersal with gene flow over very large spatial scales, and little to no philopatry to the sampled nursery areas or to distinct ocean basins. Nevertheless, ocean-wide genetic stocks (i.e., where allele frequencies are similar among distant locations) can be maintained at the rate of only a few long-distance migrants per generation and thus does not preclude the existence of several demographic stocks relevant to stock assessment and management (Palsbøll, Berube, & Allendorf, 2007). Levels of gene flow among areas are often difficult to estimate when $F_{ST}$ values are very small, as the relationship between $F_{ST}$ and $\mu N_e$ (i.e., the effective number of migrants per generation) has a long flat right hand tail as $F_{ST}$ approaches zero and $N_e > 1,000s$ (Lowe & Allendorf, 2010; Waples, 1998). Indeed, genetic connectivity may be reached at migration rates much lower than those leading to demographic connectivity among areas (Lowe & Allendorf, 2010; Ovenden, 2013; Palsbøll et al., 2007). However, in cases where effective populations sizes are $\sim 1,000s$, as in blue sharks, the levels of genetic divergence associated with migration rates which could lead to demographic connectivity ($\sim 10\%$; Hastings, 1993) may be difficult to detect using traditional molecular markers and moderate to large samples sizes ($\sim 50–500$ individuals per population; e.g., White, Fotherby, Stephens, & Hoelzel, 2010; this study).

Based on the above, the precautionary approach in conservation and fisheries management would be to consider each nursery area as independent, with potentially different demographic parameters and vulnerability to fishing pressure. If each nursery area currently exchanges only a few migrant individuals per generation with other nurseries, the replenishment of each stock would be mostly dependent on recruit survival rather than on immigration from adjacent stocks. However, assuming such a scenario would make stock assessment and resource management particularly challenging given the highly migratory nature and complex movement dynamics of blue sharks in time and space (Kohler & Turner, 2008; Nakano & Seki, 2003; Nakano & Stevens, 2008; Queiroz et al., 2012, 2016). Thus, perhaps reconsidering the current assumptions of northern and southern stocks should await additional information on demographic connectivity among nursery areas (e.g., from long-term tracking studies).

The small sample size and limited geographic coverage of the IP collection used here preclude a definitive conclusion concerning the levels of genetic connectivity of blue shark populations at a global scale; more comprehensive sampling is needed to properly address this issue. However, our results, and those of others (e.g., da Silva et al., 2010), strongly suggest that the South Atlantic “stock” of blue sharks is continuous with (at least) that of the adjacent western Indian Ocean and should thus be managed in concert by the two regional fisheries management organizations implicated, that is, ICCAT and the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission.
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