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**ABSTRACT** Stringent regulation of cellular levels of evolutionarily conserved centromeric histone H3 variant (CENP-A in humans, CID in flies, Cse4 in yeast) prevents its mislocalization to non-centromeric chromatin. Overexpression and mislocalization of CENP-A has been observed in cancers and leads to aneuploidy in yeast, flies, and human cells. Ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of Cse4 by E3 ligases such as Psh1 and Sumo-Targeted Ubiquitin Ligase (STUbL) Slx5 prevent mislocalization of Cse4. Previously, we identified Siz1 and Siz2 as the major E3 ligases for sumoylation of Cse4. In this study, we have identified lysine 65 (K65) in Cse4 as a site that regulates sumoylation and ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of Cse4 by Slx5. Strains expressing cse4 K65R exhibit reduced levels of sumoylated and ubiquitinated Cse4 in vivo. Furthermore, co-immunoprecipitation experiments reveal reduced interaction of cse4 K65R with Slx5, leading to increased stability and mislocalization of cse4 K65R under normal physiological conditions. Based on the increased stability of cse4 K65R in psh1Δ strains but not in slx5Δ strains, we conclude that Slx5 targets sumoylated Cse4 K65 for ubiquitination-mediated proteolysis independent of Psh1. In summary, we have identified and characterized the physiological role of Cse4 K65 in sumoylation, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, and localization of Cse4 for genome stability.
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Evolutionarily conserved centromeric histone H3, CENP-A (Cse4 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Cnp1 in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, CID in *Drosophila*, and CENP-A in humans), is essential for faithful chromosome segregation. Overexpression of Cse4, CID, and CENP-A leads to its mislocalization to non-centromeric regions and contributes to aneuploidy in yeast, flies, and human cells, respectively (Heun et al. 2006; Moreno-Moreno et al. 2006; Collins et al. 2004; Au et al. 2008; Shrestha et al. 2017). Overexpression and mislocalization of CENP-A, which is observed in many cancers, is associated with poor prognosis and increased invasiveness (Tomonaga et al. 2003; Amato et al. 2009; Hu et al. 2010; Li et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2012; Lacoste et al. 2014; Athwal et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2016). Hence, characterization of pathways that regulate cellular levels of CENP-A and its homologs will help us to understand how overexpression and mislocalization of CENP-A contributes to tumorigenesis in human cells.

In budding yeast, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of Cse4 has been shown to regulate cellular levels of Cse4 and this prevents its mislocalization to non-centromeric regions (Collins et al. 2004). Proteolysis of Cse4 is regulated by several proteins: Psh1 (E3 ubiquitin ligase), Doa1 (WD-repeat protein), Fpr3 (proline isomerase), Ubp8 (ubiquitin protease), Rcy1 (F-box protein), and Ubr1 (E3 ubiquitin ligase) (Hewawasam et al. 2010; Ranjitkar et al. 2010; Au et al. 2013; Ohkuni et al. 2014; Canzonetta et al. 2015; Cheng et al. 2016; Cheng et al. 2017). Psh1-mediated proteolysis of Cse4 is regulated by its interaction with Spt16, a component of the FACT (facilitates chromatin transcription/transactions) complex, and casein kinase 2 (CK2) (Deyter and Biggins 2014; Hewawasam et al. 2014). Even though Psh1 interacts with the CENP-A targeting domain (CATD) and ubiquitiniates lysine (K) residues (K131, K155, K163, and K172) in the C-terminus of Cse4 (Hewawasam et al. 2010; Ranjitkar et al. 2010), several observations...
support an important role of the N-terminus of Cse4 for regulating its ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis. For example, ubiquitination of cse4Δ129 (a deletion of the entire N-terminus of Cse4) is barely detectable (Au et al. 2013). The stability of cse4 KC, where all K in the N-terminus of Cse4 are mutated to arginine, is at least three-fold higher than that of wild-type Cse4 (Au et al. 2013). Furthermore, the stability of cse4 KC is at least four-fold higher than that of cse4 CK, where all K in the C-terminus of Cse4 are mutated to arginine (Au et al. 2013). Proteolysis defects in N-terminus Cse4 mutants contribute to chromosome loss, as strains expressing cse4 KC exhibit a four-fold increase in chromosome segregation defects when compared to cse4 CK strains (Au et al. 2013). These results indicate that ubiquitination and interaction of Psh1 with the C-terminus of Cse4 is not sufficient for Cse4 ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis. Studies with fission yeast have also shown that the N-terminus of Cnp1 mediates ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of Cnp1 to prevent its mislocalization to non-centromeric chromatin (Gonzalez et al. 2014).

In addition to ubiquitination, we have recently reported that Cse4 is sumoylated by the small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO) E3 ligases Siz1 and Siz2 and that SUMO modification of Cse4 regulates its proteolysis (Ohkuni et al. 2016). We showed that slx5Δ strains exhibit defects in Cse4 proteolysis and localization that are independent of Psh1. Slx5 is a SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligase which regulates many multiplicity of Slx5 substrates, it is possible that the defect in Cse4 proteolysis in slx5Δ strains may be indirect due to defects in post-translational modifications of other substrates. Hence, we sought to identify sumoylation sites in the N-terminus of Cse4 and investigated how the interaction of Slx5 with sumoylated Cse4 regulates its proteolysis and localization. Here we report the identification of K65 in Cse4 as a site that regulates sumoylation and show that Slx5-mediated ubiquitination of Cse4 K65 prevents its mislocalization to non-centromeric regions for genome stability.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains and plasmids**

Table 1 and 2 describe the genotype of yeast strains and plasmids used for this study, respectively. Yeast cells were grown in rich (YPD: 1% yeast extract, 2% bacto-peptone, 2% glucose) or synthetic complete (SC) media containing 2% glucose, or 2% raffinose, or 2% raffinose + 2% galactose.

**Cell lysis preparation for pull down assays and coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP)**

For pull down assays and immunoprecipitation, cell lysates were prepared as follows. 50 OD₆₀₀ of logarithmically growing cells were pelleted, rinsed with sterile water, and suspended in 0.5 ml of guanidine buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 6.0 M guanidine chloride, 0.5 M NaCl) for sumoylation assay, or Ub lysis buffer (20 mM Na₂HPO₄, 50 mM NaF, 5 mM tetra-sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM beta-glycerophosphate, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1% NP-40, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 5 mM N-ethylmaleimide (NEM), 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, P8215)) for ubiquitin pull down assay, or IP lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA, 1% TritonX-100, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 10 mM beta-glycerophosphate, 1 mM PMSF, 1x protease inhibitor cocktail) for coimmunoprecipitation. Cells were homogenized with 0.5 mm diameter glass beads or Matrix C (MP Biomedicals).

**Table 1 S. cerevisiae strains used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BY4741</td>
<td>MATA</td>
<td>his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>Open Biosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY4742</td>
<td>MATA</td>
<td>his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>Open Biosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMB9470</td>
<td>BY4741/BY4742</td>
<td>cse4Δ::kanMX6 [pRS415-6His-3HA-CSE4]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMB9471</td>
<td>BY4741/BY4742</td>
<td>cse4Δ::kanMX6 psh1Δ::kanMX6 [pRS415-6His-3HA-CSE4]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMB9472</td>
<td>BY4741/BY4742</td>
<td>cse4Δ::kanMX6 slx5Δ::kanMX6 [pRS415-6His-3HA-CSE4]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMB9547</td>
<td>BY4741/BY4742</td>
<td>cse4Δ::kanMX6 [pRS415-6His-3HA-cse4 K65R]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMB9548</td>
<td>BY4741/BY4742</td>
<td>cse4Δ::kanMX6 psh1Δ::kanMX6 [pRS415-6His-3HA-cse4 K65R]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMB9549</td>
<td>BY4741/BY4742</td>
<td>cse4Δ::kanMX6 slx5Δ::kanMX6 [pRS415-6His-3HA-cse4 K65R]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMB9694</td>
<td>BY4741</td>
<td>MATa SLX5-Mycl::kanMX6</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPH1018</td>
<td>BY4741</td>
<td>MATa ura3Δ5-2 lys2-801 ade2-101 trp1Δ63 his3Δ200 leu2Δ1</td>
<td>P. Hieter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Plasmids used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pYES2</td>
<td>Vector (pGAL, 2μ, URA3)</td>
<td>(Boeckmann et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB433</td>
<td>Vector (p426-pGAL1, 2μ, URA3)</td>
<td>(Nurnberg et al. 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB1344</td>
<td>pYES2-pGAL-8His-HA-cse4 16KR (2μ, URA3)</td>
<td>(Ohkuni et al. 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB1345</td>
<td>pYES2-pGAL-8His-HA-CSE4 (2μ, URA3)</td>
<td>(Ohkuni et al. 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB1458</td>
<td>pMB433-pGAL-6His-3HA-CSE4 (2μ, URA3)</td>
<td>(Au et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB1725</td>
<td>pRS415-6His-3HA-CSE4 (CEN, LEU2)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB1749</td>
<td>pRS415-6His-3HA-cse4 K65R (CEN, LEU2)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB1762</td>
<td>pYES2-pGAL-8His-HA-cse4 K65R (2μ, URA3)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB1791</td>
<td>pMB433-pGAL-6His-3HA-cse4 K65R (2μ, URA3)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMB1814</td>
<td>pMB433-pGAL-3HA-cse4 K65R (2μ, URA3)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sumoylation assay

In vivo sumoylation was assayed in crude yeast extracts using Ni-NTA agarose beads to pull down His-HA-tagged Cse4 as described previously (Ohkuni et al. 2015). Cell lysates were incubated with 100 μl of Ni-NTA superflo columns (Qiagen, 30430) overnight at 4°C. After being washed with guanidine buffer one time and with breaking buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 20% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF) four times, beads were incubated with 2x Laemmli buffer including imidazole at 100°C for 10 min. The protein samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting.

Ubiquitin pull down assay

Ubiquitin-pull down assay was performed as described previously (Au et al. 2013). Cell lysates were incubated with 25 μl of tandem ubiquitin-binding entities Agarose-TUBE1 (LifeSensors, Inc. UM401) overnight at 4°C. After being washed with TBS-T (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween20) three times, beads were incubated with 2x Laemmli buffer at 100°C for 5 min. The protein samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting.

Coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP)

Cell lysates were incubated with 25 μl of Anti-HA-Agarose antibody (Sigma, A2095) overnight at 4°C. After being washed with IP lysis buffer three times, beads were incubated with 2x Laemmli buffer at 100°C for 5 min. The protein samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting.

Protein stability assay

Protein stability assays were performed as described previously (Ohkuni et al. 2016). Logarithmically growing cells in YPD were treated with cycloheximide (CHX) (20 μg/ml). Protein extracts were prepared from cells at the indicated time points and levels of Cse4 were quantitated by western blot analysis. Multiple independent measurements were made, and means are given on the applicable figures ± the standard error of the mean with the number of replicates given in parentheses. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA (P = 0.0075) with selected pairwise post hoc tests. Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust P values for multiple comparisons.

SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting

SDS-PAGE was performed using 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (Novex). After running the gels, proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (VitaScientific, DBOC80014). Western blotting was performed according to a standard protocol. SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific, 34078), or SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific, 34580), or One Component Chemiluminescent Substrate (Rockland, UniGlow-0100) was used for the detection. Protein levels were quantified using Gene Tools software (version 3.8.8.0) from SynGene (Frederick, MD) or Image Lab software (version 6.0.0) from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc (Hercules, CA). Antibodies were as follows: anti-HA (12CA5) mouse (Roche, 11583816001), anti-HA rabbit (Sigma, H6908), anti-c-Myc (A-14) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-789), anti-Smt3 (y-84) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-28649), and anti-Tub2 antibodies (Basrai labora-

Figure 1 Lysine 65 is a target of Cse4 sumoylation. (A) Wild-type strain (BY4741) transformed with vector (pYES2), pGAL-8His-HA-CSE4 (pMB1345), pGAL-8His-HA-cse4 16KR (pMB1344), or pGAL-8His-HA-cse4 K65R (pMB1762) was grown in raf-galactose (2%) for 4 hr to induce expression of Cse4. Sumoylation of 8His-HA-Cse4 and non-modified 8His-HA-Cse4 was assayed using protein extracts after pull down on Ni-NTA beads followed by western blot analysis with anti-Smt3 and anti-HA (Cse4) antibodies, respectively. At least three high molecular weight bands of 8His-HA-Cse4 (arrows) were detected. Input samples were analyzed using anti-HA (Cse4) and anti-Tub2 antibodies served as loading control for input. Asterisk shows nonspecific sumoylated proteins that bind to beads. (B) Quantitation of sumoylation in arbitrary density units (normalized to non-modified Cse4 probed by anti-HA in pull down sample) determined in multiple experiments. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA (P = 0.007) followed by all possible pairwise comparisons of the means with Bonferroni correction. Error bars indicate standard deviation from the mean.
HRP-linked whole Ab (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, NA931V) or ECL Rabbit IgG, HRP-linked whole Ab (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, NA934V) at 1:5000 dilution.

Chromosome spreads

Chromosome spreads were performed as described previously (Crotti and Basrai 2004; Collins et al. 2004) with some modifications. Cells were grown in YPD logarithmically. 16B12 Mouse anti-HA antibody (Covance, Babco; MMS-101P) was used as primary antibody at 1:2500 dilution. Cy3 conjugated Goat anti mouse (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., 115165003) was used as secondary antibody at 1:5000 dilution. DNA was visualized by DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining (1 μg/ml in phosphate-buffered saline) mounted in antifade mountant (Molecular Probes, P36935). Cells were examined under an Axioskop 2 (Zeiss) fluorescence microscope equipped with a Plan-APOCROMAT 100X (Zeiss) oil immersion lens. Image acquisition and processing were performed with the IP Lab version 3.9.9 r3 software (Scanalytics, Inc.).

Figure 2 Cse4 ubiquitination is reduced in a cse4 K65R mutant. (A) Protein extracts were prepared from wild-type strain (BY4741) transformed with vector (pMB433), pGAL-3HA-CSE4 (pMB1458), or pGAL-3HA-cse4 K65R (pMB1791) grown in raffinose/galactose (2%) for 4 hr to induce expression of Cse4. Agarose-TUBE1 was used to pull down tandem ubiquitin binding entities and ubiquitination levels of Cse4 were detected by western blot analysis with anti-HA antibody. Input samples were analyzed using anti-HA (Cse4) and anti-Tub2 antibodies. Asterisk shows non-modified Cse4. (B) Quantitation of ubiquitination in arbitrary density units (normalized to levels of Cse4 in input) was determined in three independent trials (with additional replicates for 3HA-cse4 K65R). Statistical significance was assessed by unpaired t-test. Error bars show standard deviation from the mean.

Figure 3 K65R mutation in Cse4 contributes to reduced interaction with Slx5. (A) Protein extracts were prepared from the indicated strains grown in raffinose/galactose (2%) for 4 hr to induce expression of Cse4 and incubated with anti-HA agarose antibody. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and levels of Slx5 and Cse4 were detected by western blot analysis with anti-Myc and anti-HA antibodies, respectively. Isogenic yeast strains were: untagged (BY4741), or Slx5-Myc (YMB9694) strain transformed with vector (pMB433), pGAL-3HA-CSE4 (pMB1458), or pGAL-3HA-cse4 K65R (pMB1791). (B) Levels of Slx5 (α-Myc, IP) after immunoprecipitation of 3HA-Cse4 were quantified in arbitrary density units after normalization to Cse4 levels in the IP (α-HA, IP) in three independent experiments (with additional replicates for 3HA-cse4 K65R). Statistical significance of the normalized values was assessed by unpaired t-test. Error bars show standard deviation from the mean.
Chromosome loss assay
Chromosome loss assays were performed as described previously (Spencer et al. 1990; Au et al. 2008). Briefly, strains containing a non-essential reporter chromosome were plated on synthetic defined medium with limiting adenine plus 2% galactose/rafinose and incubated at 30°C for 5 days. Loss of the reporter chromosome results in red sectors in an otherwise white colony. Colonies that are at least half red indicate loss of the reporter chromosome in the first cell division. At least 2,500 colonies were assayed from three individual transformants for each strain.

Data availability
All strains and plasmids are available upon request. The authors state that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions presented in the article are represented fully within the article.

RESULTS
Cse4 K65 is a target of sumoylation
The consensus motif ψ-K-x-D/E (ψ is a hydrophobic residue, K is the lysine to be conjugated to SUMO, x is any amino acid, D or E is an acidic residue) is frequently found in sumoylated substrates (Sampson et al. 2001; Rodriguez et al. 2001; Bernier-Villamor et al. 2002). We identified lysine (K) 65 (64-SKSD-67) in the N-terminus of Cse4 and K215/K216 (214-MKKD-217) in the C-terminus of Cse4 as potential sumoylation sites. Given the importance of the Cse4 N-terminus in ubiquitination and proteolysis (Au et al. 2013), we examined whether Cse4 K65 is sumoylated in vivo and further characterized its role in regulating proteolysis and localization of Cse4. We assayed the sumoylation status of transiently overexpressed 8His-Hemagglutinin (HA)-CSE4, 8His-HA-cse4 16KR (all K mutated to R), or 8His-HA-cse4 K65R (K65 mutated to R). Pull down experiments were done using Ni-NTA agarose beads, and SUMO-modified Cse4 species were detected using anti-Smt3 antibody (Figure 1). At least three distinct sumoylated Cse4 species were observed in wild-type cells (Figure 1A, denoted by arrows), as previously described (Ohkuni et al. 2016). These SUMO-modified species were not detected in strains expressing vector alone or 8His-HA-cse4 16KR. Strains expressing 8His-HA-cse4 K65R showed greatly reduced levels of Cse4 sumoylation even though similar levels of Cse4 and cse4 K65R were pulled down (Figure 1A). Significant reduction in sumoylation status was observed for cse4 K65R when compared to Cse4 (P = 0.029), but not between cse4 K65R and cse4 16KR (P = 0.63) (Figure 1B). Taken together, our results suggest that Cse4 K65 is a target of sumoylation.

Cse4 ubiquitination is reduced in a cse4 K65R mutant
The reduced sumoylation of cse4 K65R prompted us to investigate if sumoylation of Cse4 K65 affects the ubiquitination of Cse4. We assayed
the level of ubiquitinated Cse4 by performing an affinity pull down assay using agarose with tandem ubiquitin-binding entities (Ub+) from strains transiently overexpressed either 3HA-CSE4 or 3HA-cse4 K65R (Figure 2). A faster migrating species (Figure 2A, asterisk) was observed in all strains expressing Cse4. These faster migrating Cse4 species were similar in size to that in the input lanes. These species were also observed in experiments with cse4 16KR mutant (Au et al. 2013). Since cse4 16KR cannot be ubiquitinated, this faster migrating species represents unmodified Cse4, which likely interacts with ubiquitinated proteins such as canonical histones. Ubiquitinated Cse4 was detected as a laddering pattern in wild-type cells expressing 3HA-CSE4 but was absent in strains with vector alone (Figure 2A). However, reduced levels of ubiquitination were observed in strains expressing 3HA-cse4 K65R (Figure 2, A and B). The lack of a drastic reduction in the levels of ubiquitinated cse4 K65R is not surprising given that the other fifteen lysines can still be ubiquitinated. These results show that Cse4 K65 contributes to Cse4 ubiquitination.

Cse4 K65 is regulated by STUbL Slx5

We have previously shown that STUbL Slx5 interacts with Cse4 and promotes its ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis (Ohkuni et al. 2016). Slx5 contains SUMO-interacting motifs (SIMs), which interact with sumoylated substrates (Xie et al. 2007; Xie et al. 2010). We reasoned that reduced sumoylation and ubiquitination of cse4 K65R may be due to reduced interaction of cse4 K65R with Slx5. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) experiments were done using strains co-expressing either 3HA-CSE4 or 3HA-cse4 K65R with SLX5-Myc (Figure 3). Consistent with our previous results (Ohkuni et al. 2016), immunoprecipitation with anti-HA showed an interaction between wild-type Cse4 and Slx5 (Figure 3A). In contrast, reduced interaction was observed between cse4 K65R and Slx5 (Figure 3, A and B). Hence, we conclude that sumoylation of Cse4 K65 contributes to its interaction with Slx5.

Sumoylation of Cse4 K65 regulates its proteolysis and localization under normal physiological conditions

To determine if defects in sumoylation and ubiquitination of cse4 K65R contribute to a defect in proteolysis of cse4 K65R, we performed protein stability assays using cells expressing either CSE4 or cse4 K65R from its endogenous promoter. Cells were grown logarithmically and then treated with cycloheximide (CHX) to inhibit protein translation. Whole cell extracts were assayed for Cse4 levels at different times after CHX treatment. Cse4 was rapidly degraded (t½ = 33.5 ± 0.8 min) in wild-type strains, whereas cse4 K65R was modestly stabilized (t½ = 50.8 ± 0.8 min) (Figure 4, A and B). These observations indicate that K65 of Cse4 regulates its proteolysis.

Previous studies have shown that defects in ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of Cse4 in slx5Δ and psh1Δ strains lead to mislocalization of Cse4 to non-centromeric regions under normal physiological conditions (Ohkuni et al. 2016). Therefore, we examined whether the increased stability observed for cse4 K65R expressed from its own promoter leads to mislocalization to non-centromeric regions. Chromosome spreads were used to visualize localization of chromatin-bound Cse4. In wild-type cells, Cse4 localization is usually restricted to one or two foci, corresponding to kinetochore clusters (Figure 4, C and D). In contrast, a higher incidence of multiple or diffuse cse4 K65R foci were observed under the same condition (Figure 4, C and D). We...
conclude that ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of Cse4 via sumoylation of K65 prevents its mislocalization to non-centromeric chromatin.

**Sumoylation of Cse4 K65 is required for Sxl5-mediated proteolysis independent of Psh1**

Based on the reduced interaction of cse4 K65R with Sxl5 (Figure 3), we predicted that the stability of cse4 K65R would not differ significantly from that of Cse4 in sxl5Δ strains under normal physiological conditions. In agreement with previous results (Ohkuni et al. 2016), protein stability assays showed that Cse4 was more stable in the sxl5Δ strain (t1/2 = 43.0 ± 6.5 min) when compared to the wild-type strain (t1/2 = 33.5 ± 0.8 min) (Figure 5, A and B). Consistent with our hypothesis, the stability of cse4 K65R (t1/2 = 43.5 ± 2.7 min) was not significantly different than that of Cse4 (t1/2 = 43.0 ± 6.5 min) in the sxl5Δ strain (Figure 5, A and B).

We next analyzed the stability of Cse4 using a psh1Δ strain. Protein stability assays showed that Cse4 was more stable in a psh1Δ strain (t1/2 = 42.9 ± 3.4 min) compared to that observed in a wild-type strain (t1/2 = 33.5 ± 0.8 min) under normal physiological conditions (Figure 5, C and D). The stability of cse4 K65R (t1/2 = 58.0 ± 2.8 min) was much higher than that of Cse4 (t1/2 = 42.9 ± 3.4 min) in the psh1Δ strain (Figure 5, C and D). Hence, we conclude that Sxl5-mediated proteolysis of Cse4 dependent on Cse4 K65 is independent of Psh1.

**Sumoylation of Cse4 K65 regulates faithful chromosome segregation**

To determine the physiological consequence of mislocalized cse4 K65R on genome stability, we used a colony color assay to measure the loss of a nonessential reporter chromosome. Previous studies have shown that strains overexpressing cse4 16KR exhibit increased chromosome loss compared to GAL-CSE4 (Au et al. 2008). However, no measurable increase in chromosome loss was observed in cells expressing cse4 16KR from its native promoter (Au et al. 2008). Therefore, we measured chromosome loss using wild-type strain transformed with vector alone, GAL-CSE4 and GAL-cse4 K65R. The GAL-cse4 K65R strain exhibits 1.6-fold higher chromosome loss compared to GAL-CSE4 strains (Figure 6, P < 0.001). These results show that sumoylation of Cse4 K65 regulates faithful chromosome segregation.

**DISCUSSION**

We have identified Cse4 K65 as a site that regulates its sumoylation and showed that Cse4 sumoylation regulates its ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis and localization for genome stability. Strains expressing cse4 16KR exhibit defects in Cse4 sumoylation and ubiquitination and show reduced interaction of cse4 K65R with Sxl5. Defects in proteolysis and mislocalization of cse4 K65R to non-centromeric chromatin were observed under normal physiological conditions. The increased stability of cse4 K65R in psh1Δ strains but not in sxl5Δ strains indicates that sumoylation of Cse4 K65 regulates Sxl5-mediated proteolysis, independently of Psh1. We propose that sumoylation of Cse4 K65 promotes its interaction with Sxl5, and this is required for Sxl5-mediated proteolysis of Cse4 to prevent its mislocalization for faithful chromosome segregation.

Reduced Cse4 sumoylation observed for the cse4 K65R mutant supports the role of Cse4 K65 as a sumoylation site in the N-terminus of Cse4. The defects in sumoylation and ubiquitination of cse4 K65R are not as severe as that observed for cse4 16KR. Furthermore, ubiquitination defects of Cse4 are more pronounced in sxl5Δ strains (Ohkuni et al. 2016), compared to that observed for cse4 K65R. These results suggest that sumoylation and ubiquitination of other lysine residues of Cse4 or other substrates of Sxl5 also contribute to proteolysis of Cse4.

Our results show that Cse4 K65 is required for Sxl5-mediated proteolysis independent of Psh1. Several experimental findings support this conclusion: 1) reduced interaction of cse4 K65R with Sxl5, 2) no additional proteolysis defects of cse4 K65R in sxl5Δ strains, and 3) increased proteolysis defects of cse4 K65R in psh1Δ strains. Our results are consistent with previous studies which showed ubiquitination of K131, K155, K163 and K172, but not K65 of Cse4, by Psh1 (Hewawasam et al. 2010).

Studies to date have described multiple E3 ligases including Psh1, Slx5, Ubr1, and Rcy1 (F-box protein in the SCF (Skp1-Cullin-F-box) complex) in the proteolysis of overexpressed Cse4 (Cheng et al. 2017). We note that the physiological consequence of defects in the Cse4 E3 ligase characterized to date is most apparent when Cse4 is overexpressed. We propose that this type of regulation ensures that levels of endogenously expressed Cse4 are maintained at a critical threshold for the essential role of Cse4 at centromeres.

In summary, our studies have identified and characterized the physiological role of Cse4 sumoylation. Cse4 K65 regulates ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis by Sxl5 to prevent mislocalization of Cse4 and is itself a likely sumoylation target. Sumoylation of kinetochore proteins or proteins required for sister chromatid cohesion and spindle assembly checkpoint regulate faithful chromosome segregation (Eifler and Vertegaal 2015; Mukhopadhyay and Dasso 2017). Deregulation of sumoylation machinery causes severe defects in cell proliferation and genome stability and promotes tumorigenesis (Eifler and Vertegaal 2015; Seeler and Dejean 2017). Unlike Psh1, Slx5 is evolutionarily conserved and the mammalian ortholog RNF4 has been shown to regulate chromosome segregation (van de Pasch et al. 2013). Since ubiquitination and sumoylation prevent mislocalization of Cse4 for genome stability, it will be of interest to determine if these post-translational modifications of CENP-A also prevent its mislocalization to preserve genome stability in human cells.
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