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Spatial and temporal bacterioplankton dynamics during destratification of the James River estuary, Virginia, USA


Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary, Gloucester Pt., Virginia 23062, USA

ABSTRACT: Bacterioplankton abundance and production were examined over the course of a destratification event in the lower James River, Virginia, USA. Goals of the study were to determine if destratification would influence temporal patterns of bacterioplankton parameters and relationships between bacterioplankton and other biological and abiological parameters. Mean bacterial abundance grouped over stations did not change over the course of the study, and were characterized by much smaller coefficients of variation than all other planktonic constituents. However, bacterial production measured by $^{3}H$-thymidine ($^{3}H$-Tdr) incorporation decreased significantly from a stratified ($324 \mu g$ C l$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) to a destratified ($187 \mu g$ C l$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) hydrography. The importance of bacterial–autotrophic coupling was also suggested from oxygen metabolism experiments, which indicated substrate limitation of bacteria, and the existence of a rapidly utilized photosynthetically produced substrate. Correlative relationships between bacterial parameters with chlorophyll a were significant during stratified hydrography, but diminished or became non-significant during destratified hydrography. Estimates of microzooplankton grazing rates upon bacteria decreased significantly during the onset of destratification. During the stratified hydrography, bacterial parameters displayed highly significant negative correlations to ammonium, however these relationships disappeared during the destratified hydrography. Results of this study indicate that destratification changes the trophic interactions of bacteria within the microbial loop, however these changes are not necessarily reflected by temporal patterns of bacterial abundance.
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INTRODUCTION

Knowledge concerning the importance of bacterioplankton in microbial food webs has accrued rapidly since the seminal theoretical works of Williams (*1981) and Azam et al. (1983). Studies of bacterioplankton production and distribution in estuaries and coastal areas provide evidence that bacteria greatly influence carbon cycling processes by assimilating photosynthetically produced dissolved organic matter (Chrost & Faust 1983, Iturriaga & Zsolnay 1983, Gorjes et al. 1991), and by serving as an important food resource for heterotrophic flagellates and ciliates (e.g. Sherr & Sherr 1977, Haas & Webb 1979, Fenchel 1982, Wright & Coffin 1983a, b, 1984). Work by Ducklow & Peele (1987) has emphasized the importance of bacteria in estuaries, showing that bacterial production and biomass may exceed those of autotrophic assemblages for extended periods in the Chesapeake Bay, USA. Although it is generally accepted that substrate availability and grazing affect estuarine bacterioplankton activity and populations, little is known regarding the influence of estuarine hydrography upon these processes.

Estuaries provide convenient locations to examine the influence of changing hydrography upon bacterio-
plankton growth and trophic interactions. Haas (1977) demonstrated that portions of lower Chesapeake Bay subestuaries oscillate between moderately stratified and vertically homogenous conditions on time scales as brief as days. Destratification in the York River estuary, Virginia, USA, has been shown to redistribute ammonium- and phosphate-rich bottom water as well as more highly oxygenated surface water throughout the water column (Webb & D’Elia 1980). Mixing processes may also result from less extreme hydrographic changes, such as wind-driven pycnocline oscillations (Malone et al. 1986). The immediate effects of water column mixing upon various microbial components are not well known or predictable. Although phytoplankton blooms (Haas et al. 1981) and the stimulation of bacterial production (Ducklow 1982) have been reported coincident with destratified hydrography, other studies have indicated that these processes are depressed under such conditions and are enhanced only when stratification reoccurs (Malone et al. 1986, Ray et al. 1988).

Prior to this study, Ducklow (1982) had reported that destratification in the York River estuary resulted in increased bacterial abundance and production rates. Based upon this information, we hypothesized that a similar response would be observed during destratification in the neighboring James River estuary. Objectives of this study were to examine the influence of destratification upon (1) bacterial production and abundance, and (2) the relationships between bacterial and biological and abiological variables. Data were also examined to determine the degree of spatial and temporal variability of study parameters, and to determine overall relationships between bacterioplankton and ancillary parameters.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study area and sampling. Samples were collected at 4 stations on 6 dates from the RV 'Ridgely Warfield' (Johns Hopkins University). Station locations (Fig. 1) ranged from Stn 1, located 6 km outside the mouth of the James River, to Stn 4, 54 km upriver from the river mouth. Sampling of surface, pycnocline and bottom waters were performed on 6, 9 & 12 August 1983. Additional surface water samples were taken on 8, 10 & 12 August 1983. On dates when depth profile samples
Table 1. Methodologies utilized for determination of ancillary parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole and &lt;15 μm chl a, and phaeopigment ratio</td>
<td>Holm-Hansen (1966), Ray et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abundances of cyanobacteria, microflagellates,</td>
<td>Haas (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dinoflagellates, diatoms and heterotrophic</td>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flagellates</td>
<td>Patterson (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients: ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, silicate</td>
<td>Foster &amp; Morris (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and phosphate</td>
<td>Ruzecki &amp; Welsh (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate organic carbon and nitrogen</td>
<td>Wetzel (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative dissolved organic matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity, temperature and conductivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved oxygen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were taken, preliminary hydrographic information was obtained using a Neil-Brown CTD to determine the appropriate depth for sampling of the pycnocline. Surface (0.5 m), pycnocline and bottom water (ca 1 m above sediment) samples were then collected with a 5 l Niskin bottle which was washed with 95% ethanol and rinsed with distilled water between casts. Stations were sampled whenever possible at low slack water.

**Bacterioplankton abundance and production.** Bacterial abundance was estimated via an epifluorescence direct-counting technique using the dye proflavine (Haas 1982). Cells were counted using a Zeiss standard microscope equipped with a 50 W high-pressure mercury lamp, 10× calibrated ocular, 100× Neofluar® or 63× Plan ap® (Carl Zeiss, New York, NY, USA) objectives. Bacterioplankton biomass was estimated using a $20 \times 10^{-15}$ g C cell$^{-1}$ conversion value (Lee & Fuhrman 1987). Total coccoid cyanobacteria were enumerated using a blue excitation (450 to 490 nm; Zeiss #487709) epifluorescence filter set for counting phycoerythrin-containing cells, and a green excitation (510 to 560 nm; Zeiss #487714) filter set which enabled counting of phycocyanin-containing cells.

Bacterial production was estimated using tritiated thymidine (3H-TdR) (Fuhrman & Azam 1980, 1982). Triplicate (pseudorePLICATE) 10 ml water samples were incubated with 5 nM of 3H-TdR (62 Ci mmol$^{-1}$) for 30 min in the dark at in situ temperatures. Triplicate killed control samples (300 μl of buffered formalin) were treated in a similar manner. Incubations were terminated with 300 μl of buffered formalin and samples were stored at 4°C prior to further processing within 48 h.

Samples were prepared for radioassay by extraction with ice-cold 5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA), filtering onto 0.45 μm Gelman GN-6 membrane filters and washing with 5 to 6 ml of ice cold 5% TCA. Filters were transferred to scintillation vials and acid hydrolyzed for 30 min with 0.5 ml of 0.5 N HCl in a boiling water bath. After cooling, filters were dissolved with 1 ml of ethyl acetate and 10 ml of Aquasol® scintillation cocktail. Disintegrations per minute were determined using a Beckman® scintillation counter, correcting for counting efficiency using an external standard channels and standard quench curve. Bacterial cell production was calculated using the conversion factor of $1.7 \times 10^{10}$ cells mol$^{-1}$ 3H-TdR (Fuhrman & Azam 1982). Carbon production was estimated assuming $20 \times 10^{-15}$ g C cell$^{-1}$ (Lee & Fuhrman 1987).

**Ancillary parameters.** Ancillary parameters were measured using the methods listed in Table 1. Multiple citations are listed for a parameter where methodological refinements described in later citations were also employed. Autotrophic biomass was estimated from chlorophyll a (chl a) concentration using $70 \mu$g C μg$^{-1}$ chl a (Malone et al. 1988).

**RESULTS**

Using a difference in surface-to-bottom salinity of less than 1 ppt to designate the destratified state (Ducklow 1982), hydrographic and physical data (Table 2) indicated that destratification occurred at Stns 2 through 4 on or about 9 August, the date of maximum spring tide. Differences between surface and bottom salinities, conductivities and temperatures were greater at each station on 6 August compared with 9 August. By 12 August, Stns 2 through 4 could be characterized as approaching a restratified state. Stn 1 remained in a stratified state throughout the duration of the study, yet displayed a progressive weakening in the vertical density gradient.

Mean coefficients of variation (CVs) of bacterial and ancillary data grouped by date, station and depth (Table 3) indicated that parameter variability was generally greater on spatial than on temporal scales. Bacterial abundance was less variable, regardless of grouping, compared with other plankton components. Bacterial abundance and production as well as diatoms, chl a and phaeopigment ratios were most variable over depth. Nutrient concentrations and
abundance of autotrophic taxa varied most when grouped by station. Only dissolved oxygen and cyanobacterial abundance displayed greater temporal than spatial variability.

Bacterial abundance ranged from 3.1 to 12.6 x 10^6 cells ml^-1, similar to values reported by Malone et al. (1986) for a study site in the upper Chesapeake Bay, and slightly higher than the range of values reported by Ducklow (1982) for the York River (1.0 to 8.0 x 10^6 cells ml^-1). Bacterial production ranged from 74 to 426 µg C l^-1 d^-1, similar to values reported by Malone et al. (1986) for the mid-Chesapeake Bay, and somewhat higher than values reported for the Delaware Bay estuary, USA (Wright & Coffin 1984, Coffin & Sharp 1987). Bacterial production and abundance decreased significantly with depth (1-tailed t-test; p = 0.033 and p = 0.0005 respectively). Bacterial production decreased from a mean of 245 µg C l^-1 d^-1 in surface waters to 165 µg C l^-1 d^-1 for bottom waters, and bacterial abundance decreased from 9.3 x 10^6 cells ml^-1 in surface waters to 6.5 x 10^6 cells ml^-1 in bottom waters. Spatial trends in surface and pycnocline waters (Fig. 2a, b, e, f) suggested that abundance and production were higher in the lower estuary compared to the upper estuary. Means of bacterial abundance (Fig. 2e)
initially displayed a gradation from low values at Stn 1 to higher values up-estuary. By 12 August this pattern had reversed with low bacterial abundance observed at Stn 4 grading to higher abundance down-estuary. Temporal variability of production was greater at Stns 1 & 2 than Stns 3 & 4. Production decreased between 6 and 9 August at Stns 1 through 3, but remained unchanged at Stn 4 (Fig. 2h). Integrated means of bacterial parameters (Fig. 2d, h) were calculated using station hypsometries. Means calculated in this manner were considered more accurate than simply averaging values from surface, pycnocline and bottom waters, as the volumes of water at these depths were markedly different.

Chl a < 15 μm constituted on average 75% of total chlorophyll standing stock. Temporal variation in surface water chl a concentrations was greater at Stns 1, 2 & 3 than Stn 4 (Fig. 3). Mean values of total chlorophyll in surface waters grouped over stations were lowest on 10 August, the day after maximum spring tides. Mean values of total and < 15 μm phaeopigment ratios, particulate organic carbon and C to N ratios of particulate organic matter (data not shown) all exhibited maximum values on 9 August. Hypsometric means of dissolved nutrients displayed strong spatial and temporal patterns (Fig. 4a to e). Ammonium, nitrate, total dissolved nitrogen and phosphate concentrations exhibited high values at Stn 3, and generally lowest values at Stn 1. Nitrite concentrations ranged from low values...
at Stn 1 to higher values up-estuary. Temporal patterns of nutrients (with the exception of nitrite) generally indicated nutrient minima on 9 August.

Significant correlations between bacterial abundance and environmental variables were more numerous than between bacterial production and these variables (Table 4a, b). Cyanobacterial abundance, concentrations of ammonium and total dissolved inorganic nitrogen exhibited highly significant (p < 0.005) correlations with both bacterial parameters for the entire data set. Other parameters manifesting highly significant relationships with bacterial abundance included total and <15 μm chl a, diatom and heterotrophic flagellate abundance, and concentrations of nitrate, nitrite and phosphate. Variables which displayed highly significant correlations with bacterial parameters based upon the entire data set generally demonstrated complex patterns of correlation when examined over spatial and temporal groupings.

Multiple discriminant analyses (MDA) of combination abundance-production groups are shown in Fig. 5. Table 5 presents information on the percent variance described by the discriminant functions, as well as standardized discriminant function coefficients, and correlation coefficients. Discriminant function I (dfI), which tended to effectively separate groups based upon abundance, accounted for 52% of sample variance but was weakly loaded (low values of correlation coefficients) with many variables. The most important variables on this axis were measures of phaeopigment ratio and dissolved inorganic nutrient concentration. Discriminant function II (dfII) tended to separate groups based upon production characteristics. This axis accounted for 36% of sample variance and was more highly loaded by a few variables including dinoflagellate abundance, ammonium and measures of chl a.

Oxygen metabolism experiments conducted during the study (Fig. 6a to c) provided information regarding the nature and mechanism of substrate utilization by heterotrophic bacteria. Dark incubations of surface water samples taken from 2 stations during the day (Fig. 6a) displayed biphasic respiration curves, with slopes diminishing markedly after periods of approximately 1 h. Light pulsing of samples (Fig. 6b) resulted in the reestablishment of the steeper slope characteristic of the initial incubation period. Incubation of a sample taken from Stn 1 in the early evening (Fig. 6c) did not result in a biphasic respiration curve, however amendment of this sample with 10 mg l⁻¹ of glucose resulted in a significantly higher respiration rate.

**DISCUSSION**

**Trophic relationships**

Comparison of the CVs of plankton taxonomic groups (Table 3) indicated that the variability of heterotrophic bacterioplankton was considerably less than that of other plankton constituents. Although bacterial abundance and production were highly correlated for the entire data set, bacterial abundance varied by a factor of 4.
Discriminant function I

Fig. 5. Multiple discriminant analysis separation of samples within bacterial production-abundance groupings. Groups: (Δ) low production–low abundance (<190 µg C µl⁻¹ d⁻¹, <7.32 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹), (C) low production–high abundance (<190 µg C µl⁻¹ d⁻¹, >7.32 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹), (C) high production–low abundance (>190 µg C µl⁻¹ d⁻¹, <8.51 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹), and (C) high production–high abundance (>190 µg C µl⁻¹ d⁻¹, >8.51 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹).

regions. Jonas & Tuttle (1990) found that bacterioplankton abundance and production in the mesohaline portion of the Chesapeake Bay was strongly correlated to dissolved biological oxygen demand (perhaps PDOM) during the summer period. Pelagic oxygen metabolism experiments (Fig. 6a, c), although limited in number, suggested that bacterioplankton in the James River were closely coupled to or limited by available PDOM. Previous work by Ogura (1973) in Tokyo Bay, Japan, has also shown the existence of biphasic metabolic processes but over much longer time scales (days) than observed in this study. The increase in oxygen metabolism rate following glucose amendment is similar to the response observed by Hopkinson et al. (1989) for coastal Georgia waters. These findings, which use oxygen metabolism as a surrogate for bacterial production, along with more direct measurements of microbial response to DOM amendment using ³H-thymidine and ¹⁴C-leucine radioisotopes (Kirchman 1990), further support the notion that the DOM supply whereas bacterial production varied by a factor of 7. The relatively invariable nature of bacterioplankton abundance has been discussed by many investigators (e.g. Larsson & Hagström 1982, Ducklow 1983, Wright & Coffin 1983b, Malone et al. 1986, Gocke et al. 1987). It is thought that the potential for rapid bacterial biomass accumulation in coastal and estuarine systems (Kirchman et al. 1982, Wright & Coffin 1983b) is constrained by top-down (grazing) and bottom-up (substrate) influences or by interactions between these factors (Wright 1988, Weisse & Scheffel-Moser 1991).

Higher bacterial abundances in surface waters of the lower estuary (up to 12.6 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹; Table 3, Fig. 4a) parallel the general pattern observed in the Delaware Bay estuary (Coffin & Sharp 1987, Kirchman & Hoch 1988) and in the Rhode River estuary, Maryland, USA (Rublee et al. 1984). In other estuarine systems however, bacterial abundance maxima have been observed in midestuary (Wright & Coffin 1983a) or in upper estuarine regions (Palumbo & Ferguson 1978, Bell & Albright 1981, Albright 1983). The location of bacterial abundance maxima within estuarine systems is thought to be a function of substrate availability (Coffin & Sharp 1987). Since chl a concentrations tended to be higher in the lower estuary, photosynthetically produced dissolved organic matter (PDOM), which can be rapidly utilized by bacterioplankton (Gomes et al. 1991), may have been more important as a source of substrate for bacterial production in this region.
rate can limit bacterial production in a variety of marine and estuarine habitats.

Further evidence suggesting linkages between heterotrophic bacteria and the phytoplankton community included CV patterns similar to chlorophyll (Table 3), and highly significant correlations ($p < 0.005$) between bacterial abundance and both total and <15 \( \mu \)m of chlorophyll (Table 4a). Our findings augment numerous studies reporting positive correlations between bacterial abundance and chlorophyll (Fuhrman et al. 1980, Griffiths et al. 1982, Linley et al. 1983, Bird & Kalff 1984, Lancelot & Billen 1984, Fuhrman et al. 1985, Laanbroek et al. 1985, Malone et al. 1986, and see review by Cole et al. 1988). The overall regression relationship between direct counts (DC, cells ml\(^{-1}\)) and total chl \( a \) (TCHL, \( \mu \)g chl \( a \) l\(^{-1}\)) observed in this study was: $\log_{10} DC = 6.45 + 0.43 \log TCHL$ ($n = 47$, $R^2 = 0.51$). This relationship is similar to that found by Malone et al. (1986) for stratified water at stations transecting the normal axis of the upper Chesapeake Bay, which was: $\log_{10} DC = 6.52 + 0.45 \log TCHL$ ($n = 160$, $R^2 = 0.83$). These regression relationships differ markedly from those determined from literature reviews by Bird & Kalff (1984) and Cole et al. (1988), displaying bacterial abundances approximately one-half log unit greater at the zero chl \( a \) intercept, and
Table 5. Multiple discriminant analysis results of bacterial abundance-production employing a direct analysis procedure with a tolerance of 0.01. Results include percent variance described by discriminant functions (df1, dfII), correlations between variables and discriminant functions (\( \alpha \)), and standardized correlation coefficients (\( \beta \)). Discriminant function II is not shown, and was not statistically significant in group separation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>dfI</th>
<th>dfII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO2</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPHA</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO4</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO3</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCY</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH4</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHL</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHL</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDN</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUF</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHNAN</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

lower regression slope values. In fact, bacterial abundance observed in Chesapeake Bay studies (Malone et al. 1986, Ducklow & Peele 1987, Jonas & Tuttle 1990, present study) are among the highest reported for estuarine environments. Ducklow & Peele (1987) found that in the mid Chesapeake Bay bacterial biomass often exceeded phytoplankton biomass by a factor of 3 during the summer season. In the present study bacterial biomass averaged 20% of chlorophyll indicated phytoplankton biomass, however bacterial production rates averaged 80% of areal primary production rates (unpubl. data) measured on 9 & 12 August. Elevated bacterial biomass and production rates in the Chesapeake Bay and its subestuaries may reflect high inputs of allochthonous carbon and nutrients and/or high rates of inorganic and organic nutrient recycling between components in the microbial loop.

Correlative relationships between bacteria and total chl a at Stn 1 in the lower estuary were stronger than at other stations. This may have resulted from the increased water column depth at the mouth of the estuary, which minimized wind-driven mixing, thereby allowing greater differences between surface and bottom plankton communities. A similar trend in correlations of bacterial abundance with concentrations of DOM as indicated by salinity residuals (sensu Foster & Morris 1974) was observed at Stns 1 & 2 in the lower estuary (Table 4a, b). Although associations between bacterioplankton abundance and total chl a concentration in the euphotic zone were anticipated, they were not observed. Relationships between bacterial abundance and bacterial production with total chl a in surface waters may have been obscured by the grazing activities of flagellates, which were highly correlated (\( p < 0.001 \)) with bacterial abundance in surface waters. In pycnocline and bottom waters, where no relationships between bacteria and flagellates were found, weak relationships (0.05 < \( p \leq 0.01 \)) to total and chl a were observed.

Correlations of bacterial abundance and production with <15 \( \mu \)m chl a and cyanobacterial abundance (based upon the entire data set; Table 4a, b) suggested stronger relationships between bacteria and these smaller phytoplankton assemblages as compared to the total phytoplankton population. MDA suggested similar findings, since loadings of <15 \( \mu \)m chl a and cyanobacteria on dfI and dfII (Table 5) were higher than for total chlorophyll a. <15 \( \mu \)m chl a was highly loaded on dfII, which discriminated between bacterial production groupings. Such findings are reasonable if these smaller forms comprised a major portion of the biomass or were important in the production of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the phytoplankton community. In this study chlorophyll <15 \( \mu \)m averaged 75% of total chlorophyll. Similar proportions (88%) of <15 \( \mu \)m chl a have been observed in the York River during the fall by Ray et al. (1988).

Relationships between cyanobacterial and heterotrophic bacterial abundance were strong despite the minimal contribution of cyanobacterial carbon to total autotrophic biomass (mean of 6% using 115 fg C cell\(^{-1}\)) and that in Ray et al. (1988). The correlation \( r^2 \) and frequency of significant correlations between heterotrophic bacterial parameters and cyanobacterial abundance were greater than bacterial correlations with other autotrophic parameters (Table 4a, b). Hagström et al. (1988) found that protozooplankton grazing of cyanobacteria and the associated addition of substrate to the DOM pool via sloppy feeding may provide an indirect yet strong coupling between cyanobacteria and heterotrophic bacteria. Such an energy flow was probably important in the present study, given the high abundances of cyanobacteria observed (mean = 3.0 \times 10^7 cells ml\(^{-1}\)).

MDA and correlation analysis of the phaeopigment ratio (also called the acidification ratio) indicated that bacterioplankton were more abundant and productive when phaeopigment contribution to total pigments was low. Phaeopigment ratios indicate the relative proportions of chlorophyll to chlorophyll degradation products (phaeopigments), with lower ratios indicating a predominance of phaeopigments. Herbivorous zooplankton grazing can generate phaeopigments due to
sloppy feeding and passage of labile photopigments through their guts (Welschmeyer & Lorenzen 1985, Litaker et al. 1988). Total and <15 \mu m phaeopigment ratios were found by MDA to be highly loaded on df1, which discriminated between low and high bacterial abundance groupings (Table 6). This suggests that the presumed coupling of phytoplankton with bacteria was due to the excretion of PDOM by healthy phytoplankton cells and not due to herbivory or cellular lysis. Highly significant positive correlations between bacterial parameters and total phaeopigment ratios were observed on 6 August (Table 4), but disappeared following destratification and mixing of the water column. Although herbivory can be important in generating a source of DOM substrates for bacteria (Hagström et al. 1988, Jumars et al. 1989), our data suggest it was of minor importance in this study. This was further substantiated by the results of the oxygen metabolism experiment data (Fig. 6a to c), which also suggested that the major bacterial substrates were PDOM.

Heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNAN) appeared important in the control of bacterioplankton during the course of this study. High HNAN abundances were generally associated with stations exhibiting high bacterial abundance and production rates (surface water, Stns 1 & 2). The mean ratio of bacteria to HNAN was ca 2000:1, very similar to that observed by McManus & Fuhrman (1988) for the Chesapeake Bay plume. This ratio is somewhat high relative to the recent literature review findings of Sanders et al. (1992) (ca 1000:1), and may reflect a "trophic cascade", where higher-trophic-level grazing upon HNAN permits higher bacterial abundances. Bacterial abundance displayed a highly significant positive correlation with HNAN abundance based upon the entire data set, yet correlations based upon temporal and spatial groupings revealed only a single highly significant relationship in surface waters. The existence of such a strong correlation was somewhat unexpected, given the generally observed time-lagged relationships between these groups in field and in vitro studies (Fenchel 1982, Anderson & Sørensen 1986, Børsen et al. 1988). Flagellate bacterial interactions may have been more pronounced at surface waters for a number of reasons. Sibbald et al. (1987) showed that HNAN display positive chemotaxis towards amino acids and ammonium. The release of PDOM by phytoplankton cells in photic-zone surface waters may give rise to locally high substrate availability and hence greater production rates and abundances of heterotrophic bacteria. This, in combination with the release of dissolved organics (i.e. amino acids) (Andersson et al. 1985, Taylor et al. 1985) and inorganic nutrients (see review by Caron & Goldman 1990) during HNAN grazing upon surface water microbial populations, is likely to promote greater bacterial production and a positive feedback response in both HNAN and phytoplankton populations (Stone 1990). Finally, greater interactions between HNAN and bacteria would have occurred in surface waters simply based upon the probability of encounter. The ratio of bacteria to HNAN was significantly greater in surface waters than in bottom waters (1-tailed t-test, p ≤ 0.001).

Direct measurement of HNAN bacterial grazing rates were not obtained in this study. However, maximum grazing rates upon bacteria were estimated from bacterial abundance and cellular production data (Table 6). These calculations assume the absence of bacterial cell emigration and non-predatory mortality, and reflect the combined activities of all potential grazers including HNAN and heterotrophic ciliates (Sherr & Sherr 1977, Sherr et al. 1989). Based upon the aforementioned assumptions, the total grazing rate (TGR) in surface waters ranged from 7.1 to 17.6 \times 10^6 cells ml^-1 d^-1 (mean = 12 \times 10^6 ml^-1 d^-1). These theoretical rates are somewhat higher than the results from direct measurements made by Coffin & Sharp (1987) in the Delaware Bay and by Sherr et al. (1989) in tidal creeks of Sapelo Island, Georgia, USA (8 and 9.6 \times 10^6 cells ml^-1 d^-1 maximum respectively), but could be supported by the observed HNAN populations alone, given literature findings on HNAN clearance rates (Capriulo 1990). Conversion of grazing rates to % loss

### Table 6. Estimated surface-water grazing rates of microzooplankton on bacteria over sampling intervals. TGR (total grazing rate, cells ml^-1 d^-1 \times 10^6 = BA_0 + (BP_0 + BP_{+1})/2 - BA_{+1}, where BA_0 and BA_{+1} = bacterial abundance at the beginning and end of the interval; BP_0 and BP_{+1} = bacterial production at the beginning and end of the interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Stn</th>
<th>TGR</th>
<th>PPG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6–8 August</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–9 August</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–10 August</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–12 August</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–13 August</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of daily bacterial production revealed that from 85 to 132% of daily bacterial production would be consumed through grazing. A SAS (Systat, Inc.) general linear model analysis indicated that TGR was significantly different among stations (p = 0.028), with the difference confined to end-member Stns 1 & 4. Although the number of grazing estimates was small (n = 20), our results were similar to those obtained by Coffin & Sharp (1987) for the Delaware Bay estuary, to the extent that both bacterial production and bacterial grazing rates were highest in the lower estuary. Temporal patterns indicated depression of TGR during the onset of destratification. TGR for the interval of 8–9 August was significantly lower (28% lower, p ≤ 0.05) compared to the first interval. It appears then that the decrease in bacterial production and the concomitant decrease in microzooplankton grazing, both of which occurred during destratification, were responsible for the temporal stability of bacterial abundance in this study. Our findings, though based upon theoretical rates and not specific to grazer type, show a parallel to results of McManus & Peterson (1988), who observed disruptions of HNAN grazing activities during destratification events in the coastal zone off Chile.

**Bacterial-nutrient dynamics**

Correlations between bacterial abundance and dissolved nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients were negative and highly significant for the entire data set. Rublee et al. (1984) observed similar relationships with ammonium and nitrate in the Rhode River estuary, but reported a positive relationship with phosphorus. In the present study correlations of nutrients with bacterial abundance (Table 4a) were all more highly significant than analogous correlations to total chlorophyll a (data not shown), suggesting that the relationships between bacteria and nutrients were not indirectly due to nutrient uptake and activity by phytoplankton.

Multiple discriminant analysis (Fig. 5, Table 5) indicated that nitrate concentration was the most important discriminatory variable with regard to bacterial abundance, while ammonium concentration was an important discriminator of production groups. Phosphate displayed moderately high loadings on both dfI and dfII and therefore contributed somewhat to separation of all groups. A basic question with regard to these data is why relationships between nutrients and bacterial abundance should differ from relationships between nutrients and bacterial production. Perhaps certain nutrients differentially influence constituents of the microbial loop whose interactions with bacteria are important in determining bacterial abundance. For example, heterotrophic flagellates displayed significant negative correlations (p < 0.001, data not shown) to nitrite concentrations based upon the entire data set, and therefore discrimination of bacterial abundance groups by nitrite may be indirectly related to flagellate activities.

Temporal changes in nutrient concentrations revealed patterns observed previously by Webb & D'Elia (1980) during a spring-neap tidal cycle in the York River. Integrated water-column concentrations of ammonium, total dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and phosphate grouped over stations displayed minima that coincided with destratification on 9 August (Fig. 4a to e). After restratification, phosphate concentrations increased to levels greater than observed prior to destratification. The most marked temporal change in DIN nutrients occurred at Stn 3, where integrated concentrations of total DIN, ammonium, and nitrate all decreased from 60 to 70% between 6 and 9 August. This phenomenon coincided with a rapid increase in integrated chl a concentration and therefore was probably due to nutrient uptake associated with autotrophic growth. Temporal changes in correlations between heterotrophic bacteria and dissolved nutrients were apparent for various nutrient species. On 6 August, bacteria were highly correlated with ammonium and nitrate in the Rhode River estuary, but decline. Price et al. (1985) and Harrison & Wood (1988) have demonstrated that uptake rates and preference for certain nitrogen nutrient species by autotrophs may be dramatically affected by the hydrography (stratified vs frontal) of the system. However, similar information concerning heterotrophic bacteria is still lacking. Billen (1984) concluded that bacteria utilize primarily amino acids as their nitrogen source, while phytoplankton utilize ammonium, nitrate and urea. However, work by Wheeler & Kirchman (1986), Fuhrman et al. (1988), and by Koepfler et al. (York River, unpubl.) has shown that in the euphotic zone significant amounts of ammonium uptake into particulate material were due to assimilation by heterotrophic bacteria.

Correlation analysis indicated a weakening in the relationship between bacterial abundance and nutrients with depth in the water column (Table 4a, b). Strong negative correlations with oxidized forms of nitrogen and weaker relationships with ammonium and phosphate were found in the surface waters. Pycnocline waters exhibited only weak correlations between oxidized nitrogen forms and bacterial abun-
dance. Bottom waters yielded no significant correlations between nutrients and bacterial abundance. It is highly probable that nutrient concentrations under the pycnocline are influenced by benthic regeneration and consumption processes, and these processes obscure relationships which may exist between planktonic components and nutrients in bottom waters. Correlations of nutrients to bacterial abundance based upon stations displayed a pattern similar to that seen for cyanobacteria and chlorophyll a, with strong correlations to all nitrogen nutrient species at Stn 1 and generally decreasing associations to nutrients progressing upriver.

Hydrographic influences

In pelagic ecosystems with temporally persistent conditions, the structure of the pelagic food web appears to be a system based on a longer microbial loop under stratified hydrography, while the shorter 'classic' food web prevails under mixed hydrography (Cushing 1988, Kierboe et al. 1990). However, these findings do not address ecosystems such as estuaries that possess hydrographies that may change from stratified to mixed and back on the order of days.

Investigations of oceanic and coastal environments have generally shown heterotrophic bacterial production in the euphotic zone of stratified waters to exceed that observed under mixed conditions (Table 7). In the upper Chesapeake Bay, Malone et al. (1986) observed that bacterial production ranged from 52 to 680 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹ during mixed conditions, versus 250 to 1000 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹ under stratified hydrography. Results of the present study indicate that the same pattern occurs in the James River. Depth-integrated bacterial production grouped over stations decreased significantly (p = 0.015, SAS-General linear model) from 324 μg C l⁻¹ d⁻¹ on 6 August to 187 μg C l⁻¹ d⁻¹ on 9 August, the date of greatest mixing. Reduction of bacterial production with mixing of the water column may be due to an uncoupling between bacterioplankton and substrate supplied from euphotic phytoplankton components (Malone et al. 1986, McManus & Peterson 1988). Several mechanisms may promote this uncoupling, including depression of primary productivity under...
light-limited conditions of destratification (see review by Legendre 1981), reduction in PDOM excretion rates with increasing nutrient concentration (Joiris et al. 1982) or simple mixing and dilution of euphotic zone PDOM substrates. Malone et al. (1986) found that rates of primary production in the upper Bay were lower under mixed conditions than during the stratified state.

In the present study, a limited number of $^{14}$C primary production estimates were obtained on 9 & 12 August (unpubl. data). Mean rates of $^{14}$C primary production grouped over stations were ca 3 times higher on 12 August (9.13 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) than 9 August (3.24 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$), the date of maximum destratification. Further indications of trophic uncoupling upon destratification were evident from correlation analysis. Relationships between bacterial abundance and both measures of chlorophyll were more highly significant on 6 August than on 9 August, when they were weak or absent. Relationships between microbial production and chl a displayed a similar pattern. By 12 August, when the stations had begun to restratify, more highly significant correlations reappeared between chl a and bacterial parameters. Malone et al. (1986) also found that correlation coefficients between direct counts of bacteria and chl a were higher during stratified hydrography as compared to the mixed period.

Increases in chl a in pycnocline and bottom waters combined with a depression in surface water chl a values at Stns 1 to 3 on 10 August suggested mixing of surface water chlorophyll throughout the water column. Although this would reduce bacterial substrate (PDOM) in the surface waters, increased chlorophyll in euphotic waters may be accompanied by increased substrate to those bacterial populations. Substrate relocation may explain why bacterial production increased over the study in pycnocline waters (Fig. 2f). Mechanisms to explain the influence of destratification upon relationships between heterotrophic bacteria and cyanobacteria are speculative. Morris & Glover (1981) have found that *Synechococcus* sp. (the numerically dominant autotroph during this study) contribute proportionately more towards total primary production under low light conditions than under high light conditions. In the York River estuary, Ray et al. (1988) also found that the percent of total primary production attributable to cells <3 µm (primarily *Synechococcus*) was negatively correlated with light. It is possible that cyanobacterial production assumed a greater proportion of total primary production as destratification progressed in the James River. Increased turbidity associated with destratification would be likely to enhance this process. Alternatively, increasing abundances of cyanobacteria in pycnocline and bottom waters could have been due to advection of populations from the surface waters. Regardless of the mechanism, bacterial production in pycnocline waters was strongly associated with cyanobacterial abundance (Table 4b).

The consequences of destratification may depend upon the type of controls exerted upon bacterial populations when destratification occurs. Depression of bacterial production rates would be likely if surface water populations were close to substrate-limited conditions with regard to carbon, and destratification further diluted available substrate. Enhancement of rates could occur if surface populations were nutrient limited and mixing of nutrient-rich bottom waters provided increased concentrations throughout a greater portion of the water column. If the grazing activities of microheterotrophs and bacterial production were depressed during destratification, then bacterial abundance would appear constant.

This study has illustrated that bacterioplankton activities and trophic relationships in the microbial loop are markedly altered during destratification. Since hydrographic phenomena such as destratification occur on a regular basis in many temperate estuaries, it is of great importance and interest to determine how these changes affect the microbial loop. In particular, more information is needed regarding qualitative and quantitative changes in microbial substrates and changes in the activities of grazers as the result of hydrographic alterations.
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