

W&M ScholarWorks

CCB Technical Reports

Center for Conservation Biology (CCB)

2004

American Oystercatcher productivity monitoring, breeding survey and banding in Virginia: 2004 report

A. L. Wilke

B. D. Watts The Center for Conservation Biology, bdwatt@wm.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/ccb_reports

Recommended Citation

Wilke, A. L. and B. D. Watts. 2004. American Oystercatcher productivity monitoring, breeding survey and banding in Virginia: 2004 report. CCBTR-04-09. Center for Conservation Biology Technical Report Series. College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA. 26 pp.

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Conservation Biology (CCB) at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in CCB Technical Reports by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

AMERICAN OYSTERCATCHER PRODUCTIVITY MONITORING, BREEDING SURVEY AND BANDING IN VIRGINIA 2004 REPORT



CENTER FOR CONSERVATION BIOLOGY COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY

American Oystercatcher Productivity Monitoring, Breeding Survey and Banding in Virginia 2004 Report

Alexandra L. Wilke Bryan D. Watts Center for Conservation Biology College of William and Mary Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795

Recommended Citation:

Wilke, A. L. and B. D. Watts. 2004. American Oystercatcher Productivity Monitoring, Breeding Survey and Banding in Virginia 2004 report. Center for Conservation Biology Technical Report Series. CCBTR-04-09. College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA, 26pp.

Cover Photo: Breeding adult American Oystercatcher. Photo: Alex Wilke.

Project Funded By: The Nature Conservancy of Virginia The Volgenau Foundation The Center for Conservation Biology Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries



The Center for Conservation Biology is an organization dedicated to discovering innovative solutions to environmental problems that are both scientifically sound and practical within todays social context. Our philosophy has been to use a general systems approach to locate critical information needs and to plot a deliberate course of action to reach what we believe are essential information endpoints.

PARTNERS



SAVING THE LAST GREAT PLACES ON EARTH











TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	İV
BACKGROUND Context	1 1
Objectives	1
METHODS	3
Productivity monitoring	3
Virginia Coast Survey	4
Banding	4
RESULTS and DISCUSSION	5
Productivity Monitoring	5
Virginia Coast Survey	11
Banding	13
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	14
LITERATURE CITED	15
APPENDIX 1	16
APPENDIX 2	21
APPENDIX 3	22
APPENDIX 4	24
APPENDIX 5	25
APPENDIX 6	26

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Biologists along the east coast of the United States have recently expressed concern about the conservation status of the American Oystercatcher (Haematopus palliatus). The species has a relatively small population size and depends on coastal habitat that is under pressure from humans for development and recreation. Several studies of American Oystercatcher productivity have suggested that the species is inherently intolerant to human disturbance and experiences low productivity rates in areas where their breeding habitat is also used for human recreation. As a beach-nesting bird, their nesting attempts are also very vulnerable to destruction by mammalian and avian predators, which have been known to experience population increases because of human influenced factors. The undeveloped barrier islands and marshes of Virginia's Eastern Shore support over 500 pairs of breeding American Oystercatchers and provide a unique opportunity to study their productivity in the relative absence of disturbance from direct human activity. Some of the islands are, however, inhabited by high numbers of common raccoons (Procyon lotor). The Nature Conservancy's Virginia Coast Reserve (TNC), in cooperation with The Center for Conservation Biology at The College of William and Mary (CCB) and other partners, currently supports several efforts aimed at investigating the population status and breeding and wintering ecology of the species. These efforts include annual studies of ovstercatcher productivity on the barrier islands and in the lagoon system, annual surveys of breeding and wintering populations and a banding program for oystercatcher adults and chicks. The primary objective of productivity monitoring has been to compare productivity in habitats managed for mammalian predators and those that are not in order to assess the effectiveness of the predator removal as a management strategy for increasing the reproductive success of beach nesting shorebirds and waterbirds. The annual breeding and wintering surveys provide consistent and precise counts of ovstercatchers along the Virginia coast so that managers can track changes in population numbers and distribution. Finally, the banding program will increase the number of color-banded birds in the population so that researchers may examine questions concerning migration and dispersal, survival rates and habitat use.

One hundred and fifty-three pairs of American Oystercatchers were monitored for productivity during the 2004 breeding season. Eighty-one nested on Metompkin Island, which is managed by TNC for mammalian predators. Twenty-five nested on Wreck Island Natural Area Preserve, which is not managed for mammalian predators but did not have any predator activity during the 2004 breeding season. Forty-seven nested in the marshes of the lagoon system located adjacent to Wachapreague, Virginia. American Oystercatchers experienced high productivity on Metompkin Island and Wreck Island (0.79-1.18 young fledged per pair) for the third and second consecutive years, respectively. These productivity levels continue to be well above what is typically recorded for this species in other parts of its range, and our results suggest that the absence of mammalian predators on these islands allows for the higher productivity of pairs nesting there. American Oystercatchers breeding in the marshes off of Wachapreague also experienced high productivity in 2004 - 0.85 young fledged per pair. Productivity of oystercatchers in this habitat is very vulnerable to flooding events and appears to be highly variable from year to year depending on the timing of egg-laying, spring tide events, storms and storm influenced tide events.

A total of 706 adult American Oystercatchers (327 pairs and 52 single adults) were recorded during the 2004 Piping Plover, Wilson's Plover and American Oystercatcher survey, a 26.1% increase from 2000 when oystercatchers were first systematically surveyed along the coast of Virginia. Breeding pairs were documented on every barrier island along the Eastern Shore of Virginia except for Walllops Island. Only seven pairs were documented west and south of the Chesapeake Bay.

Sixty-two American Oystercatcher chicks and two adults were banded during the 2004 breeding season. At least fifty-seven of the chicks fledged. We will continue to work with other states to further develop Virginia's banding program, upon which researchers, managers and students can build in the future.

BACKGROUND

CONTEXT

In recent years, local scientists and wildlife managers on Virginia's Eastern Shore have recognized the importance of Virginia as a population stronghold for the American Oystercatcher (Haematopus palliatus), one of six shorebird species of HIGHEST regional conservation priority according to the Northern Atlantic Shorebird Plan (Clark et al. 2000). American Oystercatchers have a highly restricted year-round range, consisting only of fragile coastal habitat that is under intense pressure from humans for recreation and development. This increasing pressure introduces issues affecting coastal waterbirds, such as loss of habitat, increased pollution, increased human disturbance and increased numbers of mammalian and avian predators (Erwin 1980; Nol and Humphrey 1994; Davis et al. 2001). In Virginia, the barrier islands and marshes of the lagoon system of the Eastern Shore are essentially uninhabited and undeveloped and provide important breeding and wintering habitat for many waterbirds and shorebirds, including American Oystercatchers. Overall, Virginia supports the largest number of breeding ovstercatchers (Wilke et al., unpubl. data) and the second largest number of wintering oystercatchers on the east coast of the United States (Nol et al. 2000). The Nature Conservancy's Virginia Coast Reserve (TNC), in cooperation with The Center for Conservation Biology at The College of William and Mary (CCB) and other partners, currently supports several efforts aimed at investigating the population status and breeding and wintering ecology of the species. These efforts include annual studies of oystercatcher productivity on the barrier islands and in the lagoon system, annual surveys of breeding and wintering populations and a banding program for oystercatcher adults and chicks. The results of these efforts will help to better assess the current status of American Oystercatchers in Virginia and will provide information to guide the development of effective conservation and management strategies. A detailed explanation of the rationale and objectives of these efforts is given in Wilke 2003. This report summarizes the results of the productivity monitoring, Virginia coast survey and banding efforts conducted during the 2004 breeding season.

OBJECTIVES

Productivity monitoring: Researchers have monitored American Oystercatcher productivity on the barrier islands of Virginia since 2001. The primary objective of the monitoring

has been to compare productivity in habitats managed for mammalian predators and those that are not. This will enable managers to determine the effectiveness of predator removal as a management strategy for increasing productivity of beach nesting birds and will help guide local management and conservation strategies for these species. In 2004, we monitored ovstercatcher productivity on two barrier islands, Metompkin Island and Wreck Island Natural Area Preserve. As in previous years, TNC worked in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture's Wildlife Services Program to remove mammals from Metompkin Island prior to the beginning of the bird breeding season. Wreck Island currently has no management program for mammalian



American Oystercatcher clutch. Photo: Alex Wilke.

predators but did not appear to have raccoon or fox activity in 2004. We did not monitor oystercatcher productivity on a barrier island with predator activity in 2004. Because of this, we are unable to make direct within year comparisons of productivity in managed and unmanaged habitats, but our results will contribute to baseline, long-term documentation of oystercatcher productivity levels on 'predator free' islands and of factors affecting nesting success.

We also monitored oystercatcher productivity in the lagoon system located adjacent to Wachapreague, Virginia (referred to as Wachapreague). Many researchers and managers have suggested that American Oystercatchers, throughout their breeding range, may be choosing non-traditional nesting habitats (eg. marsh islands, dredge-spoil islands) because of various factors making more traditional habitats (eg. barrier island beaches) either unavailable or unsuitable (Frohling 1965; Lauro and Burger 1989; Shields and Parnell 1990; Toland 1992). The Virginia barrier islands are separated from the Eastern Shore mainland by an extensive lagoon system that provides non-traditional nesting habitat for several species of colonial nesting waterbirds, as well as American Oystercatchers (Rounds 2003, unpubl. M.Sc. thesis, B. Truitt pers.comm.). Information on numbers and productivity of American Oystercatchers breeding in this lagoon system is lacking. This is only the second year that oystercatcher breeding activity has been systematically documented and monitored in these areas, and these results will provide important insight into the quality of the lagoon system as 'non-traditional' breeding habitat for the species.

Virginia Coast Survey: Annual surveys of breeding American Oystercatchers on the Virginia coast have been conducted since 2000. Beginning in 2001, these surveys have been done in conjunction with the annual Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*) and Wilson's Plover (*Charadrius wilsonia*) survey of the Virginia coast, coordinated by the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (VDGIF). The survey focuses only on plovers and oystercatchers and provides consistent and precise counts of the three species along the Virginia coast so that managers can track changes in population numbers and distribution.

Banding: In 2003, we initiated a color-banding program for oystercatcher chicks in Virginia. The primary objective of the program is to increase the number of color-banded birds in the population so that researchers may examine questions concerning migration and dispersal patterns, survival rates and habitat use. We continued banding efforts in 2004 using a new banding scheme that has been developed in coordination with research groups from other states. The scheme includes a standardized protocol for the types of bands to be used and placement of the bands. We also began banding oystercatcher adults in 2004 using a protocol devised by researchers and students from North Carolina State University. We anticipate further developing Virginia's banding program, upon which researchers, managers and students can build in the future.

METHODS

PRODUCTIVITY MONITORING

We began surveys for breeding oystercatchers on the barrier islands in April: Metompkin Island – April 10; and Wreck Island – April 30. Metompkin Island was monitored as frequently as possible, usually every 2-7 days, through 17 August. Because of logistical constraints, Wreck Island was monitored approximately once every month through July. This restricted visitation to Wreck Island was prohibitive for determining productivity endpoints such as clutch size and hatching success. However, it is instructive for evaluating the feasibility of determining oystercatcher productivity estimates (number of young fledged per pair) with minimal time commitment. We made the assumption that pairs observed on a particular territory more than twice were active, breeding pairs, even though we may have never observed a clutch or brood. We surveyed Metompkin Island 35 times and Wreck Island 5 times. Metompkin Island required two days to survey and Wreck Island required only one day to survey.

We began surveying Wachapreague for breeding pairs on 26 May and continued monitoring the area approximately once per week (16 times total) through 20 August. Because we began surveys of this area late relative to when oystercatchers begin nesting in Virginia, we found many of the nesting attempts already at the chick stage and may have missed several first nesting attempts. We made the assumption that pairs observed on a particular territory more than twice were active, breeding pairs, even though we may have never observed a clutch or brood.

Productivity monitoring consisted of locating breeding oystercatcher pairs and their nests or broods and following the nesting attempts until the broods fledged or the attempts failed. Oystercatcher nests with eggs were found by locating incubating adults at the nest from a distance or by following adult tracks to the nest. We recorded the location of each nest with a



Monitoring an oystercatcher nest. Photo: Jerry Uhlman

Garmin 12 XL Global Positioning System (GPS) unit. We also marked each nest with a 12-inch unpainted paint-stick, dug into the sand approximately 10 meters to the east of the scrape. The nest number and species were marked on the paint-stick with black permanent marker. In cases where prominent landmarks were present to mark the location of nests, we did not use paint-sticks. For nesting attempts found during the chick stage, we marked the chicks' location with a GPS unit and continued to monitor them as with other nesting attempts. During each subsequent visit, we checked the status of the eggs in the scrape or located chicks by observing from a distance. The presence of young was sometimes assumed based on adult behavior rather

than visual confirmation. Every effort was made to determine causes of failure during the egg and chick stages. Nesting attempts were monitored through fledging or until the attempt failed. Young were considered fledged 35-40 days after hatching or when observed flying (Nol and Humphrey 1994). The time spent within any one nesting or feeding territory was limited to 20 minutes to avoid excessive disturbance to the birds. We report the productivity estimate (number of young fledged per pair), hatching success percentages and causes of nesting failure for Metompkin Island and productivity estimates only for Wreck Island and Wachapreague.

VIRGINIA COAST SURVEY

For the fourth consecutive year, TNC and VDGIF combined resources in order to survey Piping Plovers, Wilson's Plovers and American Oystercatchers along the Virginia coast. The survey was conducted between 1 June and 9 June and covered the Virginia barrier island chain extending from Chincoteague National Wildlife Refuge at the Virginia/Maryland border to Fisherman Island National Wildlife Refuge at the southern tip of the Delmarva Peninsula. The survey also covered sites located on the western shore of the Chesapeake Bay (Grandview Beach, Craney Island and Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge) and on beaches south of the Chesapeake Bay (Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge and False Cape State Park). Groups of two or three people walked the length of each island or site and surveyed all suitable nesting habitat for American Oystercatchers. Participants were asked to record the following: observations of pairs, single adults, nests and broods, the location of each observation using a GPS unit, territorial behavior and signs of predators or human activity. Oystercatcher pairs were assumed to be breeding birds. Due to time constraints, we did not ask participants to spend time searching for nests or broods, but only to report them when observed.

BANDING

Oystercatcher banding was conducted on an opportunistic basis during regular productivity monitoring. We did not attempt to band during wet or excessively windy or hot weather conditions. We targeted chicks that were 25-35 days old and adults that were actively rearing

small young. Chicks were captured by hand, after their location was determined by observing from a distance. We captured adults by using an oystercatcher decoy surrounded by a set of noose carpets. Our methods followed protocol developed by researchers at North Carolina State University (C. McGowan pers. comm.). We placed the decoy within the targeted pair's breeding territory and arranged three noose carpets, camouflaged with sand, wrack and vegetation, in a triangular pattern around it. We hid at a distance and watched as the adult oystercatchers reacted to the 'intruder' in their territory. As soon as an adult was successfully noosed, we



American Oystercatcher decoy used to trap adults. Photo: Alex Wilke.

quickly retrieved it from the trap and banded it. We did not spend more than 30 minutes targeting a particular pair. Each chick and adult received a size 5 incoloy U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) band on their right tarsometatarsus (lower right). We did not encounter any individuals that required a size 6 USFWS band. In addition, each chick and adult received two identical size 6 black color bands each engraved twice with white, field-readable two-digit numeric codes. One band was placed on each tibiotarsus (upper left and right) and secured using PVC cement before the birds were released. The bands are 15 mm high and made of a triple-layer, UV-resistant darvic plastic. All chicks from a brood were released at the same time in the same area where they were captured as long as appropriate cover (i.e. vegetation) was available. Adults were released on their breeding territory.



Engraved color band. Photo: Deniz Aygen.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PRODUCTIVITY

We monitored 81 oystercatcher pairs on Metompkin Island, 25 pairs on Wreck Island and 47 pairs at Wachapreague. We recorded a total of 151 fledged young (Table 1). See Appendices 1-3 for results of individual nesting attempts. Fifty-four pairs fledged at least one young on Metompkin, seventeen pairs on Wreck and twenty-two at Wachapreague (Figures 1-3).

	of American Oysic	reaterier breeding ac	livity by Site in Z	
SITE	NO. PAIRS	NO. NESTING	NO. YNG	PRODUCTIVITY
	MONITORED	ATTEMPTS ¹	FLEDGED	ESTIMATE ²
Metompkin	81	96	93	1.18
Wreck	25	-	19	0.79
Wachapreague	47	-	39	0.85

Table 1. Summary of American Oystercatcher breeding activity by site in 2004.

¹ Includes re-nesting attempts

² No. young fledged/no. pairs. Calculated based on pairs with known success (i.e. whether they fledged chicks or not). Success of 2 pairs on Metompkin, 1 pair on Wreck and 1 pair at Wachapreague was unknown.



Figure 1. Distribution of breeding American Oystercatcher pairs on Metompkin Island in 2004. Top figure shows the northern half of the island and bottom figure shows the southern half. White dots indicate successful pairs (fledged at least one chick), black Xs indicate unsuccessful pairs and question mark symbols indicate pairs with unknown outcome. Satellite imagery source: www.modis-land.gsfc.nasa.gov/val/ coresite.asp?SiteID=27. Inset map source: www.vcrlter.virginia.edu/gisimages.html.



Figure 2. Distribution of breeding American Oystercatcher pairs on Wreck Island Natural Area Preserve in 2004. White dots indicate successful pairs (fledged at least one chick), black Xs indicate unsuccessful pairs and question mark symbols indicate pairs with unknown outcome. Satellite imagery source: www.modis-land.gsfc.nasa.gov/val/ coresite.asp?SiteID=27. Inset map source: www.vcrlter.virginia.edu/gisimages.html.



Figure 3. Distribution of breeding American Oystercatcher pairs in marshes off of Wachapreague, Virginia in 2004. White dots indicate successful pairs (fledged at least one chick), black Xs indicate unsuccessful pairs and question mark symbols indicate pair with unknown outcome. Satellite imagery source: www.modis-land.gsfc.nasa.gov/val/ coresite.asp?SiteID=27. Inset map source: www.vcrlter.virginia.edu/gisimages.html.

American Oystercatchers experienced high productivity on Metompkin Island and Wreck Island for the third and second consecutive years, respectively (Tables 1-2). These productivity levels continue to be well above what is typically recorded for this species in other parts of its range where predators and human disturbance are chronic problems (see Wilke 2003 for summary). As in previous years, our results suggest that the absence of mammalian predators on these islands allows for the higher productivity of pairs nesting there. Only fifteen cases of clutch loss were documented on Metompkin Island including only five cases of known depredation (Tables 3-4).

We did not collect productivity data from sites with mammalian predators in 2004. In previous years, we monitored breeding pairs on Fisherman Island National Wildlife Refuge as a comparison since that island had raccoon activity throughout the oystercatcher breeding seasons. In 2004, the refuge implemented a program to remove raccoons from parts of the island, and the refuge biologist continued to monitor productivity throughout the breeding season. She documented an increase in hatching success from 2003, however productivity remained very low suggesting that pairs are experiencing losses at the chick stage (Table 2). A more detailed examination of the effects of predator removal on hatching success and productivity of oystercatchers is underway, and further investigation into the reproductive constraints of oystercatchers nesting on Fisherman Island is scheduled for 2005.

Table 2. Summary of Americ	an Oystercatcher bree	ung activity by Island,	2002 - 2004.
	METOMPKIN	WRECK	FISHERMAN ³
2002			
No. pairs monitored	65	-	41
No. nesting attempts ¹	73	-	53
No. yng fledged	57	-	17
Productivity estimate ²	0.88	-	0.41
2003			
No. pairs monitored	71	23	48
No. nesting attempts ¹	92	27	84
No. yng fledged	66	29	10
Productivity estimate ²	1.05	1.26	0.21
2004			
No. pairs monitored	81	25	50
No. nesting attempts ¹	96	-	60
No. yng fledged	93	19	5
Productivity estimate ²	1.18	0.79	0.1

Table 2. Summary of American Overesteher breading activity by island 2002 2004

¹ Includes re-nesting attempts ² No. young fledged/no. pairs

³ 2004 data provided by P. Denmon, Fisherman Island National Wildlife Refuge

Our results suggest that predator removal on Metompkin and Wreck Islands results in consistently high productivity of oystercatchers nesting on those islands. In addition, the level of productivity recorded on these islands continues to be higher than what is typically recorded in other parts of the species' breeding range and emphasizes the importance of Virginia for the breeding population. We recommend continuing productivity monitoring of oystercatchers on both managed and unmanaged barrier islands in order to document long-term productivity levels and to continue to assess the effectiveness of predator removal for increasing reproductive success of beach-nesting birds.

Table 3. Summary of documented causes of American Oystercatcher clutc	h loss on Metompkin
Island in 2004.	

CAUSE OF FAILURE	METOMPKIN (n=15)	
PREDATOR (RACCOON)	-	
PREDATOR (AVIAN)	-	
PREDATOR (UNKNOWN)	4	
GHOST CRAB	1	
WASH OUT	-	
ABANDONED	2	
UNKNOWN	8	

Table 4.	Summar	v of American	Ovstercatcher hatch	na success on	Metompkin Island in 2004.

	7 interioun Oyeteret	atomor matorning buob		
SITE	HATCHED YNG	DID NOT HATCH YNG	UNKNOWN ²	HATCHING SUCCESS (%) ³
Metompkin (n=96 ¹)	72	15	9	75.0 – 84.4
7				

¹Includes re-nesting attempts

² Refers to nesting attempts that failed during an interval between nest visits when the eggs could have hatched. Hatching success in these cases is unknown.

³ Successful nests are those that hatched at least one young. Range indicates a lower limit if all unknown attempts did not hatch, and an upper limit if all unknown attempts did hatch.

Our results from Wreck Island were obtained through only five visits to the island during the breeding season. As stated previously, this restricted methodology is instructive for evaluating the feasibility of determining oystercatcher productivity estimates with minimal time commitment. We believe that this methodology has very good potential for providing accurate productivity estimates in certain situations. First, the general distribution of breeding pairs on the island should be known prior to the breeding season. Oystercatchers tend to occupy the same breeding territories year after year, and knowing the location of these territories facilitates identifying active breeding pairs and successfully determining whether or not they produce young, even with infrequent monitoring. This also requires identifying the feeding territories of breeding pairs (i.e. where the adults feed the young) since these areas are often separate from the actual nest scrape location. Adults tend to use the same feeding territories year after year as well. Second, the habitat on the island that is used by the oystercatchers needs to be accessible enough so that the presence of fledged broods can be visually confirmed at some point late in the breeding season. The middle portion of Wreck Island has extensive marshes on the lagoon side of the island. These areas are difficult to access, and although we were able to determine whether or not certain pairs were successful in fledging young based on adult behavior, we most likely underestimated the number of young produced by pairs in this area because we could not get visual confirmations of the entire brood. Third, monitoring should be planned in order to

document critical stages during the nesting cycle, i.e. incubation of first and second clutches and presence of fledged chicks. This is especially important when broods approach fledging age so that the observer does not miss the opportunity to document the young before they move off their breeding territories. Family units tend to stay on their breeding territories for 4-6 weeks after the chicks have fledged, but this time decreases towards the end of the breeding season. We believe that in these particular situations, oystercatcher productivity can be accurately estimated with surveys every 2-4 weeks.

American Oystercatchers breeding in the marshes off of Wachapreague also experienced high productivity in 2004 (Table 1). This is in sharp contrast to oystercatcher productivity documented in the marshes of the lagoon system in 2003. Of 154 nesting attempts monitored in 2003, only 5 produced chicks. One hundred and forty-nine nests were flooded by high spring tides in mid June of that year (B. Truitt, pers. comm.). Productivity of oystercatchers in this habitat is very vulnerable to flooding events and appears to be highly variable from year to year depending on the timing of egg-laying, spring tide events, storms and storm influenced tide events. We recommend that productivity monitoring continue in the lagoon system in order to better assess the long-term variability of oystercatcher breeding success in this habitat and the quality of the marshes of the lagoon system as breeding habitat.



American Oystercatcher chick hiding in a horseshoe crab shell. Photo: Alex Wilke.

VIRGINIA COAST SURVEY

A total of 706 adult American Oystercatchers were recorded during the 2004 Piping Plover, Wilson's Plover and American Oystercatcher survey, a 26.1% increase from 2000 when oystercatchers were first systematically surveyed along the coast of Virginia (Table 5, Figure 4). Notable changes in site totals since 2000 include the following: 29.8% decrease on Assateague Island, 58.8% increase on Cedar Island, 107.1% increase on Cobb Island, 42.1% decrease on Little Cobb Island, 133.3% increase on Myrtle Island and 150% increase on Fisherman Island.

SITE	2004 PAIRS	2004 SINGLES	2004 TOTAL ADULTS
Assateague	15	3	33
Wallops	0	0	0
Assawoman	11	1	23
Metompkin	84	13	181
Cedar Island/Sandbar	76	10	162
Parramore	3	6	12
Hog	14	1	29
Cobb	14	1	29
Little Cobb	5	1	11
Wreck	21	9	51
Myrtle	5	4	14
Ship Shoal	13	1	27
Smith	9	2	20
Fisherman	50	0	100
Craney Island	0	0	0
Grand View Beach	5	0	10
Plum Tree Is. NWR	2	0	4
Back Bay NWR	0	0	0
False Cape State Park	0	0	0
TOTALS	327	52	706

Table 5. Results of the 2004 American Oystercatcher survey of the Virginia coast.

Breeding pairs were documented on every barrier island along the Eastern Shore of Virginia except for Walllops Island. Ninety-eight per cent of the survey total was recorded on the barrier islands, and only 7 pairs were documented west and south of the Chesapeake Bay. Four hundred and forty-three adults (62.7%) were recorded on only three of the islands (Metompkin Island – 181; Cedar Island and Cedar Sandbar – 162; Fisherman Island – 100). Metompkin Island and Cedar Island/sandbar have consistently maintained the two highest concentrations of oystercatchers on the Virginia coast from 2000-2004 (Terwilliger and Cross 2000, Kroll and Beck 2001, this report). Unlike Piping Plovers, of which 92% are found on the northern barrier islands (Cedar Island to Assateague Island) (R. Boettcher, pers.comm.), the distribution of oystercatchers along the barrier island chain in Virginia is relatively even with 56.5% of the population from Cedar Island north to Chincoteague NWR and 41.5% from Parramore Island south to Fisherman Island NWR.



Virginia Coast American Oystercatcher Survey Results 2000-2004

Figure 4. Results of American Oystercatcher surveys, 2000-2004. Data for 2000 from Terwilliger and Cross 2000 and data for 2001 from Kroll and Beck 2001. Parentheses indicate number of pairs within survey total.

BANDING

We banded a total of 62 chicks and 2 adults during the 2004 breeding season (Table 6 and Appendices 4-6). Our efforts to target adult birds were delayed until early July, and consequently we were able to band only two individuals. Following the advice of researchers from other states with well-established oystercatcher banding protocols, we targeted adults with very small young because of the adults' aggressiveness towards the trapping decoy at this stage. By early July, only a few breeding pairs still had small young. We were successful in two out of five attempts.

On one occasion towards the end of the breeding season, we 'spotlighted' for fledged oystercatcher young and adults on Metompkin Island. This involved traveling to the island at dusk on a day when high tide fell a few hours after dark. After dark, we walked the beach 'spotlighting' for roosting birds using high-powered headlamps. We captured stunned birds either by hand or with long-handled nets. This method proved very effective for trapping fledged juveniles, and we anticipate using this method in the future to target pre-fledged birds as well as adults.

Table 6. Summary of A	American Oystercatcher b	anding by site in 2004.	
SITE	NO. YNG BANDED	NO. BANDED YNG FLEDGED	NO. ADULTS BANDED
Metompkin	39	38	0
Wachapreague	17	17	2
Fisherman	6	2	0

Table 6. Summary of American Ovstercatcher banding by site in 2004

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many thanks to The Nature Conservancy of Virginia and its Virginia Coast Reserve, The Volgenau Foundation, the Center for Conservation Biology and the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries for funding and supporting this project. The results will be used as part of a Master's thesis in Biology at the College of William and Mary. Many thanks to Ruth Beck at the College of William and Mary who serves as a co-advisor for the project. We are very grateful to the following people who provided local knowledge and expertise, logistical support and access to study sites throughout the season: Barry Truitt of TNC, The Volgenau Foundation seasonal interns Dan Chuquin and Meredith Bundy, Ruth Boettcher of the VDGIF and her seasonal intern Carissa Smith, Pam Denmon, Sue Rice and the staff at Fisherman Island NWR and Dot Field at the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, Natural Heritage Program. Special thanks to Ruth Boettcher and Dot Field who provided boat transportation throughout the season. Special thanks also to others who provided field assistance or advice throughout the season: Richard Ayers, Deniz Aygen, Robin Haggie, Elizabeth Long, Conor McGowan, Bart Paxton, Felicia Sanders, Shiloh Schulte, Matt Ramah, Tom Penn, John Weske and Brad Winn. Finally, thanks to all the participants in the Piping Plover, Wilson's Plover and American Oystercatcher survey of the Virginia Coast: Bob Anderson, Amanda Avery, Deniz Aygen, MIchael Beck, Ruth Beck, Ruth Boettcher, Marijo Bogue, Meredith Bundy, Dan Chuquin, Rachael Cliche, Sara Constantino, Pam Denmon, Michelle Donlan, Dot Field, Heather Hollis, Pam Loring, Diana Meckley, Tom Penn, Adam Phelps, Susan Rice, Eva Savage, Brandi Skone, Carissa Smith, Jen Taimi, Barry Truitt and Melissa VanderLinden.

LITERATURE CITED

Clark, K.E. et al. 2000. Northern Atlantic Regional Shorebird Plan, Version 1.0. Endangered and nongame species program – New Jersey Division of Fish and Wildlife, Woodbine, New Jersey.

Davis, M.B., T.R. Simons, M.J. Groom, J.L. Weaver, and J.R. Cordes. 2001. The breeding status of the American Oystercatcher on the east coast of North America and breeding success in North Carolina. Waterbirds 24(2): 195-202.

Erwin, R.M. 1980. Breeding habitat use by colonially nesting waterbirds in two Mid-Atlantic US Regions under different regimes of human disturbance. Biological Conservation 18: 39-51.

Frohling, R.C. 1965. American Oystercatcher and Black Skimmer nesting on salt marsh. Wilson Bulletin 77:193-194.

Kroll, K., R.A. Beck. 2001. Estimate of reproductive status and population size of the American Oystercatcher along coastal Virginia. Final report submitted to The Nature Conservancy, Virginia Coast Reserve.

Lauro, B. and J. Burger. 1989. Nest-site selection of American Oystercatchers in salt marshes. The Auk 106:185-192.

Nol, E. and R.C. Humphrey. 1994. American Oystercatcher (*Haematopus palliates*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 82 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). Philadelphia: The Academy of Natural Sciences; Washington, D.C.: The American Ornithologists' Union.

Nol, E., B. Truitt, D. Allen, B. Winn, and T. Murphy. 2000. A survey of wintering American Oystercatchers from Georgia to Virginia, U.S.A., 1999. Wader Study Group Bulletin 93:46-50.

Rounds, R.A. 2003. Nest-site selection and hatching success of four waterbird species in coastal Virginia. M.Sc. thesis, University of Virginia.

Shields, M.A. and J.F. Parnell. 1990. Marsh nesting by American Oystercatchers in North Carolina. Journal of Field Ornithology 61:431-433.

Terwilliger, K. and R.R. Cross. 2000. Virginia coast American Oystercatcher survey 2000. Final Report submitted to The Nature Conservancy, Virginia Coast Reserve.

Toland, B. 1992. Use of forested spoil islands by nesting American Oystercatchers in southeast Florida. Journal of Field Ornithology 63:155-158.

Wilke, A. L. 2004. American Oystercatcher productivity monitoring and banding on the Eastner Shore of Virginia: year 2003 report. Center for Conservation Biology Technical Report Series. CCBTR-04-01. College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia, 26 pp.

APPENDIX 1. Results of individual American Oystercatcher nesting attempts on Metompkin Island, 2004. Black text indicates successful pairs (fledged at least one young) and red text indicates unsuccessful pairs.

	-			-	_			_			_	_	_		_	_		_				_		_		
NOTES	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North; found at chick stage	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North; found at chick stage
# BANDED YNG FLEDGED	0	0	C	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# YNG BANDED	0	0	c	0	-	0	0	0	.	-	-	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
REASON FOR CHICK LOSS	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown			unknown			unknown	unknown	unknown			unknown	unknown		unknown	dead in scrape	unknown	unknown		unknown		unknown	
# YNG FLEDGED	0	0	C	0	e	0	2	0	,	2	-	-	0	2	2	0	0	-	0	0	7	2	0	3	0	2
REASON FOR EGG LOSS						unknown; not washed out		depredated	1 egg partially pipped but dead			1 egg infertile	depredated				unknown; not washed out		2 eggs infertile			1 egg infertile				
# EGGS HATCHED	3	assumed 2	assumed 3	3	3	0	assumed 3	0	-	assumed 3	3	2	0		3	3	0	ю	1	assumed 2	assumed 3	2	assumed 3	3	assumed 3	
CLUTCH	3	2	8	, w	3	3	3	2	2	e	3	3	3		3	3	3	3	3	0	ŝ	3	3	3	e	
LONGITUDE	-75.56036	-75.55976	-75.53508	-75.53576	-75.5596	-75.55892	-75.55797	-75.55732	-75.55319	-75.55045	-75.55014	-75.54838	-75.54075	-75.54236	-75.53803	-75.5375	-75.5349	-75.55295	-75.55129	-75.55162	-75.54744	-75.54677	-75.54407	-75.54184	-75.5389	-75.5377
LATITUDE	37.74020	37.74128	37.77576	37.77590	37.74120	37.74130	37.74186	37.74232	37.74481	37.74890	37.74967	37.75279	37.76508	37.76522	37.76954	37.77128	37.77579	37.74547	37.74709	37.74655	37.75521	37.75558	37.75934	37.76253	37.76869	37.76871
NEST	M0304	M8804	M0204	M8504	M1204	M1304	M8404	M1404	M1504	M1604	M1704	M1804	M1904	M9104C	M2004	M2104	M2204	M3504	M3604	M9004	M3704	M3804	M3904	M4004	M4104	M9204C
DATE	17-Apr	8-Jun	10-Apr	8-Jun	18-Apr	18-Apr	1-Jun	18-Apr	18-Apr	18-Apr	18-Apr	18-Apr	18-Apr	29-Jun	18-Apr	18-Apr	18-Apr	25-Apr	25-Apr	18-Jun	25-Apr	25-Apr	25-Apr	25-Apr	25-Apr	5-Jul
ATTEMPT	1	2	+	0	-	1	7	1	۲	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	1	-	-	7	Ļ	-	-	-	-	2
PAIR	1	-	2		e	4	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	10	11	12	13	14	15	15	16	17	18	19	20	20

NOTES	North	North; found at chick stage	North	North	North	North	North; found at chick stage	North	North	North	North; lost track of chick; unknown outcome	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North	North; found at chick stage	North
# BANDED YNG FLEDGED	0	0	0	0	L	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	٢	-		0	0	0	0	Ļ
# YNG BANDED	0	0	0	0	.	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	e	0	٢	-	0	0	0	0	0	-
REASON FOR CHICK LOSS			unknown					unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown			unknown	unknown	unknown			unknown		unknown
# YNG FLEDGED	0	3	-	0	2	0	2	٢	2	1	unknown	۴	1	e	3	-	0	0	1	2	۲	3	1
REASON FOR EGG LOSS	unknown; not washed out			unknown; not washed out	1 egg partially pipped but dead	abandoned		1 egg infertile											1 egg infertile				
# EGGS HATCHED	0		assumed 3	0	2	0		2	assumed 3	assumed 3	assumed 2	assumed 3	assumed 3	e	assumed 3	3	assumed 3	3	1	2	assumed 3	3	assumed 3
CLUTCH	3		ε	3	ę	1		3	3	3	2	ε	3	с	3	3	3	3	2	2	з	3	ŝ
LONGITUDE	-75.53735	-75.53756	-75.53634	-75.53601	-75.53492	-75.55633	-75.55618	-75.55589	-75.55026	-75.54852	-75.54832	-75.54215	-75.54025	-75.53942	-75.53902	-75.53485	-75.53573	-75.53678	-75.53656	-75.54326	-75.54497	-75.54441	-75.54412
LATITUDE	37.77275	37.77252	37.77339	37.77442	37.77618	37.74296	37.74296	37.74332	37.75143	37.75168	37.75350	37.76407	37.76529	37.76733	37.76808	37.77513	37.77382	37.77196	37.77252	37.76057	37.75746	37.75927	37.75967
NEST	M4204	M8604C	M4304	M4404	M4504	M4604	M9304C	M4704	M4804	M4904	M5004	M5104	M5204	M5304	M5404	M6304	M6404	M6504	M8704	M6604	M7504	M7604C	M7704
DATE	25-Apr	8-Jun	25-Apr	25-Apr	25-Apr	6-May	5-Jul	6-May	6-May	6-May	6-May	6-May	6-May	6-May	6-May	12-May	12-May	12-May	8-Jun	12-May	16-May	16-May	16-May
АТТЕМРТ	,	7	-	ţ	.	1	2	٢	+	ţ.	ţ	.	÷	-	t.	-	÷	-	2	٢	-	+	.
PAIR	21	21	22	23	24	25	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	36	37	38	39	40

PAIR	ATTEMPT	DATE FOUND	NEST ID	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	CLUTCH	# EGGS HATCHED	REASON FOR EGG LOSS	# YNG FLEDGED	REASON FOR CHICK LOSS	# YNG BANDED	# BANDED	NOTES
Ę	•	16 Mou	100210	27 76000	76 EAAAA	c		unknown if eggs hatched	c	unknown if eggs hatched	c	c	4
42		7-Jun	10	37.75854	-75.54632	۰ ۱			-	5	0	0	North
43	-	-7un	13	37.76117	-75.54439				e		-	-	North
4	-	-7un	14	37.76202	-75.54327				2		0	0	North
45	-	10-Apr	M0104	37.73919	-75.56159	ю	2	1 egg infertile	-	unknown	0	0	South
46	-	17-Apr	M0404	37.73536	-75.56414	ო	unknown	unknown if eggs hatched or not	0	unknown if eggs hatched or not	0	0	South
47	-	17-Apr	M0504	37.73460	-75.56555	ო	0	unknown; not washed out	0		0	0	South
47	2	4-Jun	M8204	37.73459	-75.56454	-	0	abandoned	0		0	0	South
48	-	17-Apr	M0604	37.71906	-75.57304	ę	assumed 3		-	unknown	-	~	South
49	1	17-Apr	M0704	37.71082	-75.57603	3	3		3		0	0	South
50	1	17-Apr	M0804	37.70804	-75.57642	3	3		3		0	0	South
51	~	17-Apr	M0904	37.70668	-75.57654	2	unknown	unknown if eggs hatched or not	0	unknown if eggs hatched or not	0	0	South
51	2	15-May	M6904	37.70649	-75.57657	3	e		3		2	2	South
52	-	17-Apr	M1004	37.70246	-75.57872	з	в		2	unknown	0	0	South
53	F	17-Apr	M1104	37.69385	-75.58815	ß	unknown	unknown if eggs hatched or not	0	unknown if eggs hatched or not	0	0	South
53	2	8-May	M6204	37.69388	-75.58883	3	assumed 3		0	unknown	0	0	South
54	1	24-Apr	M2304	37.73884	-75.56145	3	assumed 3		,	unknown	0	0	South
55	-	24-Apr	M2404	37.73333	-75.56795	m	assumed 3		0	1 chick dead in scrape	0	0	South
56	1	24-Apr	M2504	37.72985	-75.56705	ß	assumed 3		2	unknown	0	0	South
57	1	24-Apr	M2604	37.72894	-75.56725	3	assumed 3		+	unknown	1	٢	South
58	-	24-Apr	M2704	37.72809	-75.56799	ო	0	1 egg remained in scrape, others depredated	0		0	0	South
59	-	24-Apr	M2804	37.72712	-75.56826	e	2	1 egg infertile	-	unknown	-	-	South
60	-	24-Apr	M2904	37.72573	-75.56887	3	с		2	unknown	0	0	South

NOTES	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South; lost track of chick; unknown outcome
# BANDED YNG FLEDGED	-	٢	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# YNG BANDED	-	٢	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REASON FOR CHICK LOSS	unknown	unknown	•		unknown		uwouyun		unknown	unknown if eggs hatched or not	unknown		large chick found with no head	unknown if eggs hatched or not	uwouyun	unknown if eggs hatched or not	unknown if eggs hatched or not	unknown	unknown
# YNG FLEDGED	1	1	0	0	+	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	unknown
REASON FOR EGG LOSS			unknown; not washed out	unknown; not washed out		unknown; not washed out		ghost crab		unknown if eggs hatched or not		 egg partially pipped but dead; others depredated 		unknown if eggs hatched or not		unknown if eggs hatched or not	unknown if eggs hatched or not		1 egg infertile
# EGGS HATCHED	assumed 3	assumed 3	0	0	2	0	assumed 3	0	з	uknown	з	0	assumed 3	unknown	assumed 3	unknown	unknown	e	7
CLUTCH	ε	3	unknown	unknown	2	unknown	£	unknown	3	8	3	e	ო	F	3	2	unknown	e	ę
LONGITUDE	-75.57232	-75.57095	-75.5735	-75.5776	-75.57757	-75.57975	-75.57918	-75.56244	-75.56253	-75.56761	-75.5706	-75.57108	-75.57167	-75.57227	-75.5842	-75.56487	-75.5839	-75.57322	-75.57276
LATITUDE	37.72138	37.71978	37.71472	37.70484	37.70416	37.69915	37.70001	37.73831	37.73766	37.73207	37.72451	37.72226	37.72061	37.71603	37.69391	37.73360	37.69339	37.71319	37.71922
NEST	M3004	M3104	M3204	M3304	M8004	M3404	M8104	M5504	M7904	M5604	M5704	M5804	M5904	M6004	M6104	M6704	M6804	M7004	M7104
DATE	24-Apr	24-Apr	24-Apr	24-Apr	25-May	24-Apr	25-May	8-May	25-May	8-May	8-May	8-May	8-Mav	8-May	8-May	12-May	15-May	15-May	15-May
ATTEMPT	-	-	t	-	2	t	7	-	2	1	٢	-	-	-	t	-	-	-	-
PAIR	61	62	63	64	64	65	65	99	66	67	68	69	02	7	72	73	74	75	76

DATE NES		F	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	CLUTCH	# EGGS HATCHED	REASON FOR EGG LOSS	# YNG =LEDGED	REASON FOR CHICK LOSS	# YNG BANDED	# BANDED YNG FLEDGED	NOTES
15-May M7204 37.72011	M7204 37.72011 -:	37.72011	10	75.57226	m	7	1 egg infertile	0	half of small dead chick found	0	0	South
15-May M7304 37.73329 -7	/ M7304 37.73329 -7	37.73329 -7(12-	5.56587	0	2	1 egg infertile	0	unknown	0	0	South
15-May M7404 37.73577 -75	M7404 37.73577 -75	37.73577 -75	-75	5.56391	3	unknown	unknown if eggs hatched or not	0	unknown if eggs hatched or not	0	0	South
9-Jun M8304C 37.69496 -75	M8304C 37.69496 -75	37.69496 -75	32-	5.58373				2		0	0	South; found at chick stage
9-Jun M8904C 37.71176 -75	M8904C 37.71176 -75	37.71176 -75	-75	.57628				1		0	0	South; found at chick stage

APPENDIX 2. Results of individual American Oystercatcher nesting attempts on Wreck Island, 2004. Black text indicates successful pairs (fledged at least one young) and red text indicates unsuccessful pairs.

NOTES	Bone Island	North end	North end	North end; near colonies	North end; near colonies	North end; near colonies	Shell wall	End of gut at middle of island	North end of main gull colony	Middle of gull colony	South tip	Middle overwash area	Shell wall	Shell wall	Shell wall	=									
# YNG FLEDGED	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	٢	1	1	1	0	1	unknown	1	3	0	1	1	1	0	
FOUND WITH PAIR / EGGS / YOUNG	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	pair	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	eggs	young	young	eggs	young	young	young	pair	
LONGITUDE	-75.80396	-75.79932	-75.80054	-75.79803	-75.79740	-75.79741	-75.79650	-75.79654	-75.79663	-75.79686	-75.79735	-75.79741	-75.79628	-75.79914	-75.80489	-75.80956	-75.80900	-75.80984	-75.81043	-75.80889	-75.79831	-75.79721	-75.79678	-75.79695	
LATITUDE	37.29527	37.28511	37.28734	37.28333	37.28408	37.28224	37.27826	37.27735	37.27636	37.27340	37.26990	37.26873	37.25482	37.25210	37.24737	37.24173	37.24216	37.24195	37.24264	37.24308	37.26375	37.27186	37.27948	37.28060	07 00010
PAIR ID	WI01	W102	48	W103	49	W104	W105	W106	WI07	W108	60IM	W110	W111	W112	W113	W114	W115	54	55	56	57	61	67	70	70
DATE FOUND	30-Apr	30-Apr	14-Jun	30-Apr	14-Jun	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	30-Apr	14-Jun	14-Jun	14-Jun	14-Jun	14-Jun	14-Jun	14-Jun	OC
PAIR ID	٢	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	Ľ

APPENDIX 3. Results of individual American Oystercatcher nesting attempts at Wachapreague, Virginia, 2004. Black text indicates successful pairs (fledged at least one young) and red text indicates unsuccessful pairs.

PAIR ID	DATE	PAIR ID	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	FOUND WITH PAIR / EGGS / YOUNG	# OF YNG	# YNG FLEDGED	REASON FOR LOSS OF EGGS OR CHICKS	# YNG BANDED	# BANDED YNG FLEDGED	NOTES
-	27-May	001	37.60878	-75.66570	pair		0		0	0	Did not find nesting attempt
2	27-May	002	37.60544	-75.65195	edds		0	eggs of second attempt washed out	0	0	Found second nesting attempt
з	27-May	003	37.60334	-75.64610	bung	e	-		-	-	
4	27-May	004	37.60187	-75.64549	young	3	3		0	0	Found attempt with large yng
Q	27-Mav	005	37.59479	-75.64560	edas		0	first attempt unknown; eggs of second attempt washed out	0	0	Two attempts found
9	27-May	011	37.59405	-75.64571	bunok	-	-		1	-	
7	18-Jun	011	37.59405	-75.64571	edds		-		1	-	
œ	27-May	012	37.59343	-75.64560	pair		0		0	0	Did not find nesting attempt; assumed young
6	10-Jun	024	37.61011	-75.64513	bung	1	1		1	1	
10	10-Jun	025	37.61177	-75.64527	pair		0		0	0	Did not find nesting attempt
11	10-Jun	026	37.61414	-75.64592	bund	3	3		3	3	
12	27-May	027	37.59250	-75.64536	eggs		0	wash out	0	0	Two attempts found
13	10-Jun	028	37.61490	-75.64587	bonng	1	1		1	1	
14	10-Jun	029	37.61601	-75.64676	pair		0		0	0	
15	10-Jun	029	37.61601	-75.64676	pair		0		0	0	
16	10-Jun	030W	37.61966	-75.64614	young	ю	e		0	0	
17	10-Jun	030E	37.61966	-75.64614	young	2	2		-	-	
18	10-Jun	032	37.58834	-75.62891	pair	-	-		0	0	Club House Point; amidst gull colony
19	10-Jun	032	37.58834	-75.62891	pair	0	0		0	0	Club House Point; amidst gull colony
20	10-Jun	032	37.58834	-75.62891	pair	0	0		0	0	Club House Point; amidst gull colony
21	10-Jun	033	37.58548	-75.62703	pair	0	0		0	0	Club House Point; amidst gull colony
22	10-Jun	033	37.58548	-75.62703	pair	0	0		0	0	Club House Point; amidst gull colony
23	10-Jun	033	37.58548	-75.62703	pair	0	0		0	0	Club House Point; amidst gull colony
24	10-Jun	034	37.58223	-75.62787	eggs		0	wash out	0	0	
25	10-Jun	035	37.58025	-75.62738	young		2		0	0	
26	27-May	036	37.59008	-75.64389	eggs		0	1 egg depredated	0	0	
27	10-Jun	037	37.57929	-75.62699	pair		0		0	0	Did not find nesting attempt
28	10-Jun	038	37.57791	-75.62748	pair		0		0	0	Did not find nesting attempt
29	10-Jun	039	37.59909	-75.62568	pair		0		0	0	Did not find nesting attempt
30	10-Jun	040	37.60057	-75.62934	young	2	2		2	2	
31	10-Jun	041	37.59488	-75.62881	pair		unknown		0	0	
32	10-Jun	042	37.59459	-75.63085	young	1	-		0	0	
33	10-Jun	043W	37.59457	-75.63192	young	3	e		0	0	
34	10-Jun	043E	37.59457	-75.63192	young	٢	-		0	0	
35	10-Jun	044	37.59506	-75.63545	young	-	-		-	-	
36	10-Jun	045	37.60134	-75.63812	pair		0		0	0	Did not find nesting attempt
37	11.5	046	37 58006	-75 64364	nair		c	wash out	c	C	Found second attempt

PAIR	DATE	PAIR ID	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	FOUND WITH PAIR / EGGS / YOUNG	# OF YNG	# YNG FLEDGED	REASON FOR LOSS OF EGGS OR CHICKS	# YNG BANDED	# BANDED YNG FLEDGED	NOTES
38	10-Jun	047	37.58837	-75.64135	young	3	3		2	2	
39	27-May	050	37.58941	-75.64199	pair		0		0	0	Did not find nesting attempt
40	27-May	090	37.58816	-75.63123	eggs		0		0	0	Two attempts found
41	27-May	062	37.58843	-75.63095	young	2	2		0	0	
42	27-May	068	37.58159	-75.62748	buno	1	0		0	0	
43	27-May	690	37.57868	-75.62691	young	2	1		1	1	
44	15-Jun	072	37.59777	-75.68123	eggs		0	depredated	0	0	
45	15-Jun	073	37.59773	-75.68114	eggs	1	1		0	0	
46	23-Jun	075	37.60406	-75.64694	young	3	3		0	0	
47	27-May	084	37.57333	-75.62604	young	2	2		2	2	

Appen	dix 4. S	amm	ary of k	anded	ΨŬ	erican Oys	stercatch	ers on N	letompki	n Island i	n 2004.
DATE	SITE	NEST ID	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	AGE	USFWS BAND #	UPPER LEFT	LOWER LEFT	UPPER RIGHT	LOWER RIGHT	FLEDGED?
17-Jun-04	Metompkin	M4504	37.77618	-75.53492	L	87598812	BL (11)		BL (11)	USFWS	YES
18-Jun-04	Metompkin	M1204	37.74120	-75.5596	_	87598813	BL (12)		BL (12)	USFWS	YES
18-Jun-04	Metompkin	M1504	37.74481	-75.55319	_	87598814	BL (13)		BL (13)	USFWS	YES
18-Jun-04	Metompkin	M1604	37.74890	-75.55045	_	87598815	BL (14)		BL (14)	USFWS	YES
18-Jun-04	Metompkin	M1704	37.74967	-75.55014	Γ	87598816	BL (15)		BL (15)	USFWS	YES
21-Jun-04	Metompkin	M0604	37.71906	-75.57304	_	87598817	BL (16)		BL (16)	USFWS	YES
21-Jun-04	Metompkin	M3104	37.71978	-75.57095	_	87598818	BL (17)		BL (17)	USFWS	YES
22-Jun-04	Metompkin	M2804	37.72712	-75.56826	_	87598819	BL (18)		BL (18)	USFWS	YES
22-Jun-04	Metompkin	M3004	37.72138	-75.57232	_	87598820	BL (19)		BL (19)	USFWS	YES
22-Jun-04	Metompkin	UNK	37.72011	-75.57226	_	87598821	BL (20)		BL (20)	USFWS	YES
22-Jun-04	Metompkin	M5704	37.72451	-75.5706	_	87598822	BL (21)		BL (21)	USFWS	YES
22-Jun-04	Metompkin	M5704	37.72451	-75.5706	L	87598823	BL (22)		BL (22)	USFWS	YES
22-Jun-04	Metompkin	M2604	37.72894	-75.56725	_	87598824	BL (23)		BL (23)	USFWS	YES
29-Jun-04	Metompkin	M5304	37.76733	-75.53942		87598835	BL (34)		BL (34)	USFWS	YES
29-Jun-04	Metompkin	13	37.76117	-75.54439	Γ	87598836	BL (35)	•	BL (35)	USFWS	YES
29-Jun-04	Metompkin	M7704	37.75967	-75.54412	_	87598837	BL (36)		BL (36)	USFWS	YES
5-Jul-04	Metompkin	M5304	37.76733	-75.53942	_	87598839	BL (38)		BL (38)	USFWS	YES
5-Jul-04	Metompkin	M5304	37.76733	-75.53942	_	87598840	BL (39)		BL (39)	USFWS	YES
6-Jul-04	Metompkin	M6304	37.77513	-75.53485	_	87598841	BL (40		BL (40	USFWS	YES
6-Jul-04	Metompkin	M6404	37.77382	-75.53573	_	87598842	BL (41)		BL (41)	USFWS	YES
8-Jul-04	Metompkin	M6904	37.70649	-75.57657	Γ	87598843	BL (42)		BL (42)	USFWS	YES
8-Jul-04	Metompkin	M6904	37.70649	-75.57657	Γ	87598844	BL (43)	•	BL (43)	USFWS	YES
15-Jul-04	Metompkin	M7904	37.73766	-75.56253	Γ	87598848	BL (47)		BL (47)	USFWS	YES
15-Jul-04	Metompkin	M7904	37.73766	-75.56253	L	87598847	BL (46)		BL (46)	USFWS	YES
28-Jul-04	Metompkin	M9304C	37.74296	-75.55618	_	87598850	BL (49)		BL (49)	USFWS	YES
28-Jul-04	Metompkin	M9304C	37.74296	-75.55618	_	87598851	BL (50)		BL (50)	USFWS	YES
28-Jul-04	Metompkin	M9104C	37.76508	-75.54075	_	87598852	BL (51)		BL (51)	USFWS	2 OF 3
28-Jul-04	Metompkin	M9104C	37.76508	-75.54075	_	87598853	BL (52)		BL (52)	USFWS	2 OF 3
28-Jul-04	Metompkin	M9104C	37.76508	-75.54075	_	87598854	BL (53)		BL (53)	USFWS	2 OF 3
10-Aug-04	Metompkin	M9204C	37.76871	-75.5377	_	87598855	BL (54)		BL (54)	USFWS	YES
10-Aug-04	Metompkin	M9204C	37.76871	-75.5377	_	87598856	BL (55)		BL (55)	USFWS	YES
17-Aug-04	Metompkin		37.76003	-75.54414	Η	87598857	BL (56)		BL (56)	USFWS	YES
17-Aug-04	Metompkin		37.76003	-75.54414	Η	87598858	BL (57)		BL (57)	USFWS	YES
17-Aug-04	Metompkin		37.76057	-75.54326	¥	87598859	BL (58)		BL (58)	USFWS	YES
17-Aug-04	Metompkin		37.76057	-75.54326	Η	87598860	BL (59)		BL (59)	USFWS	YES
17-Aug-04	Metompkin		37.76057	-75.54326	۲	87598901	BL (60)		BL (60)	USFWS	YES
17-Aug-04	Metompkin		37.76253	-75.54184	Η	87598902	BL (61)		BL (61)	USFWS	YES
17-Aug-04	Metompkin		37.76954	-75.53803	₽	87598903	BL (62)		BL (62)	USFWS	YES
17-Aug-04	Metompkin		37.76954	-75.53803	¥	87598904	BL (63)		BL (63)	USFWS	YES

--Ň -Ć • < 4 Ū . 2:7

BL = black color band with white engraved 2-digit codes. Parentheses indicate 2-digit code. USFWS = incoloy U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service band.

24

<u>2</u>	
Virginia	
at Wachapreague,	
Oystercatchers a	
of banded American	
Summary o	
Appendix 5.	2004.

		s YES	s YES YES	s YES S YES S YES	 YES YES YES YES YES 	YES YES YES YES YES	s YES S YES S YES S YES S YES S YES	 YES 	 YES 	 YES /ul>	 YES 	 YES /ul>	 YES /ul>	 YES /ul>	 YES /ul>	 YES /ul>	 YES /ul>	 YES /ul>
		USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS
		<pre>USFWS</pre>	H) USFWS) USFWS) USFWS) USFWS	DISEWS) USFWS () USFWS () USFWS () USFWS () USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS) USFWS () USFWS () USFWS () USFWS () USFWS () USFWS) USFWS () USFWS	USFWS	USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS USFWS	USFWS	USFWS	Image: Construction of the second	USFWS	USFWS	Image: Construction of the second	Image: Construction of the second
	BL (04)		BL (05)	BL (05) BL (06)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (08)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (08) BL (09)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (08) BL (09) BL (10)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (07) BL (08) BL (09) BL (10) BL (24)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (09) BL (24) BL (25)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (09) BL (26) BL (26) BL (26)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (06) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (10) BL (24) BL (25) BL (25) BL (27) BL (27)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (24) BL (25) BL (25) BL (27) BL (27) BL (27) BL (27)	BL (05) BL (07) BL (07) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (09) BL (24) BL (25) BL (25) BL (27) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (09) BL (24) BL (25) BL (26) BL (26) BL (27) BL (28) BL (28) BL (23) BL (23) BL (23) BL (23)	BL (05) BL (06) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (09) BL (24) BL (26) BL (26) BL (27) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (27) BL (28) BL (27) BL (28) BL (27) BL (28) BL (27) BL (28) BL (27) BL (28) BL (28	BL (05) BL (07) BL (07) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (09) BL (26) BL (26) BL (27) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (37) BL (44) BL (44)	BL (05) BL (07) BL (07) BL (07) BL (09) BL (09) BL (29) BL (26) BL (26) BL (27) BL (27) BL (27) BL (29) BL (29) BL (27) BL (27
•									· · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · ·					
- (03) - (04)	11.5	- (05)	- (06)		- (07)	- (07) - (08)	- (07) - (08) - (09)	- (07) - (08) - (09) - (10)	- (07) - (08) - (09) - (10) - (24)	(07) (08) (09) (10) (24) (25)	- (07) - (08) - (09) - (10) - (24) - (25) - (26)	(07) (08) (09) (10) (24) (25) (25) (27)	(07) (08) (09) (10) (24) (25) (25) (27) (28)	(07) (08) (09) (10) (24) (25) (25) (25) (25) (23) (23)	(07) (08) (09) (10) (24) (25) (25) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27	(07) (08) (08) (10) (28) (21) (25) (25) (25) (25) (27) (28) (28) (27) (28) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27	(07) (08) (09) (10) (24) (24) (25) (25) (25) (25) (22) (23) (23) (44) (45)	(07) (08) (09) (10) (24) (22) (25) (27) (28) (22) (29) (29) (29) (41) (45) (48)
BL (05 BL (05	BL (05		BL (06	BL (07		BL (08	BL (09 BL (09	BL (08 BL (09 BL (10	BL (08 BL (09 BL (10 BL (24	BL (08 BL (09 BL (10 BL (24 BL (25	BL (08 BL (09 BL (10 BL (24 BL (25 BL (26	BL (08 BL (10 BL (10 BL (24 BL (24 BL (25 BL (26 BL (26 BL (27 BL (27)	BL (08 BL (10 BL (10 BL (21 BL (21 BL (27 BL (27 BL (27 BL (27 BL (27 BL (27) BL (27) BL (27) BL (27) BL (27) BL (27) BL (28) BL (28)	BL (08 BL (10 BL (10 BL (21 BL (25 BL (26 BL (27 BL (27 BL (28 BL (28 BL (28) BL (28)	BL (08 BL (09 BL (10 BL (21 BL (25 BL (26 BL (27 BL (28 BL (28 BL (28 BL (28 BL (28) BL (28) BL (28) BL (28)	BL (08 BL (10 BL (10 BL (24 BL (25 BL (25 BL (26 BL (26 BL (27 BL (27)BL	BL (08 BL (10 BL (10 BL (21 BL (22 BL (21 BL (43	BL (08 BL (10 BL (21 BL (22 BL (22 BL (21 BL (41 BL (41 BL (41
87598804 87598804 87598805	87598805		87598807	87598808		87598809	87598809 87598810	87598809 87598810 87598811	87598809 87598810 87598811 87598811	87598809 87598810 87598811 87598825 87598825 87598825	87598809 87598810 87598811 87598825 87598825 87598826 87598827	87598809 87598810 87598811 87598825 87598826 87598826 87598828 87598828	8759809 8759810 8759811 8759825 8759826 8759826 87598827 87598828 87598828 87598828	87598809 87598810 87598811 87598825 87598826 87598827 87598828 87598829 87598829 87598829 87598830	87598809 87598809 87598810 87598826 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598838 87598838 87598838	87598809 87598810 87598811 87598825 87598826 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598838 87598838 87598838 87598838	87598809 87598810 87598811 87598825 87598827 87598827 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598828 87598838 87598846 87598846	87598809 87598810 87598811 87598825 87598827 87598827 87598827 87598828 87598829 87598829 87598829 87598846 87598846 87598846 87598846 87598846
		Γ		L	L		L										ч – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	АД С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С
-75.64592 -75.64592 -75.64592	-75.64592		-75.64614	-75.64513	71 0 11 74	-/0.040/1	-75.64135	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64587	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64587 -75.64610	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64587 -75.64610 -75.64610	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64587 -75.64587 -75.64610 -75.62604 -75.62604	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64587 -75.64610 -75.62604 -75.62604 -75.62934	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64587 -75.64610 -75.62604 -75.62604 -75.62934 -75.62934	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64610 -75.64610 -75.62604 -75.62934 -75.62934 -75.62934	-75. 64135 -75. 64135 -75. 64135 -75. 64135 -75. 64610 -75. 6204 -75. 6204 -75. 62034 -75. 62034 -75. 62034 -75. 62034 -75. 62034 -75. 62034	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64610 -75.62604 -75.62604 -75.62934 -75.62934 -75.62934 -75.62934 -75.62934 -75.62934 -75.6291 -75.6291	-75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64135 -75.64610 -75.62604 -75.6204 -75.620345 -75.62934 -75.62934 -75.629345 -75.629345 -75.629345 -75.62911 -75.62911 -75.62911 -75.62911 -75.62911
37.61414		37.61414	37.61966	37.61011		37.59405	37.58837	37.58837 37.58837 37.58837	37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.61490	37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.61490 37.60334	37.59405 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.51490 37.60334 37.57333	37.59405 37.58837 37.58837 37.61490 37.60334 37.57333 37.57333	37.59405 37.58837 37.58837 37.61490 37.60334 37.57333 37.57333 37.57333 37.57333	37.59837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58334 37.57333 37.57333 37.57333 37.57333 37.50057 37.60057	37.59405 37.58837 37.58837 37.51490 37.61490 37.57333 37.57333 37.57333 37.57333 37.57333 37.57333 37.50057 37.59506	37.59405 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.60034 37.557333 37.557333 37.557333 37.50506 37.57868	37.59405 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.55833 37.5733 37.5733 37.50057 37.59506 37.59703 37.59506	37.59405 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.58837 37.55833 37.60334 37.57333 37.57333 37.50057 37.59506 37.59405 37.59405 37.59405
26	24	26	30E	24		11	47	11 47 47	11 47 47 28	11 47 47 28 3	11 47 47 28 28 3 3 84	11 47 47 28 28 3 3 84 84	11 47 47 28 3 3 84 84 84 84 84	11 47 28 28 84 84 84 40 40	11 47 47 28 28 84 88 40 40 44	11 47 47 47 28 84 84 40 40 40 44 69 69	11 47 47 47 47 84 84 84 40 40 40 40 40 69 69 73	11 47 47 47 47 47 40 84 84 84 84 84 84 40 40 40 86 9 69 73 73
	Wachapreague	Wachapreague	Wachapreague	Wachapreague		Wachapreague	Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague	Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague Wachapreague
	Jun-04 V	-Jun-04 \	-Jun-04 \	5-Jun-04 \		6-Jun-04 V	6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V	6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V	6-Jun-04 / 6-Jun-04 / 6-Jun-04 / 3-Jun-04 /	6-Jun-04 / 6-Jun-04 / 6-Jun-04 / 3-Jun-04 / 3-Jun-04 /	6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V (3-Jun-04 V (3-Jun-04 V (3-Jun-04 V	6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V	6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V	6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 3-Jun-04 3-Jun-04 1	6-Jun-04 v 6-Jun-04 v 6-Jun-04 v 3-Jun-04 v 3-Jun-04 v 3-Jun-04 v 3-Jun-04 v 3-Jun-04 v 3-Jun-04 v 3-Jun-04 v	6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 8-Jul-04 V	6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 6-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 3-Jun-04 V 2-Jun-04 V 12-Jun-04 V	6-Jun-04 v 6-Jun-04 v 6-Jun-04 v 3:3-Jun-04 v 3:3-Jun-

BL = black color band with white engraved 2-digit codes. Parentheses indicate 2-digit code. USFWS = incoloy U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service band.

25

•			•								
DATE	SITE	NEST ID	LATITUDE		AGE	USFWS BAND #	UPPER LEFT	LOWER	UPPER RIGHT	LOWER RIGHT	FLEDGED?
15-Jun	Fisherman	F08	37.08775	-75.94453	_	87598801	BL (01)	•	BL (01)	USFWS	ON
15-Jun	Fisherman	F20	37.10372	-75.94753		87598802	BL (02)		BL (02)	USFWS	YES
24-Jun	Fisherman	F28	37.08681	-75.94567	_	87598831	BL (30)	•	BL (30)	USFWS	ON
24-Jun	Fisherman	F28	37.08681	-75.94567	_	87598832	BL (31)	•	BL (31)	USFWS	ON
24-Jun	Fisherman	F33	37.09214	-75.94192	_	87598833	BL (32)	•	BL (32)	USFWS	YES
24-Jun	Fisherman	F38	37,08536	-75.94842	_	87598834	BI (33)		BI (33)	SFWS	CN

Appendix 6. Summary of banded American Oystercatchers on Fisherman Island in 2004.

BL = black color band with white engraved 2-digit codes. Parentheses indicate 2-digit code. USFWS = incoloy U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service band.