Hawking radiation and classical tunneling: A ray phase space approach
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**Recommended Citation**
Acoustic waves in fluids undergoing the transition from sub- to supersonic flow satisfy governing equations similar to those for light waves in the immediate vicinity of a black hole event horizon. This acoustic analogy has been used by Unruh and others as a conceptual model for “Hawking radiation.” Here, we use variational methods, originally introduced by Brizard for the study of linearized MHD, and ray phase space methods, to analyze linearized acoustics in the presence of background flows. The variational formulation endows the evolution equations with natural Hermitian and symplectic structures that prove useful for later analysis. We derive a $2 \times 2$ normal form governing the wave evolution in the vicinity of the “event horizon.” This shows that the acoustic model can be reduced locally (in ray phase space) to a standard (scalar) tunneling process weakly coupled to a unidirectional non-dispersive wave (the “incoming wave”). Given the normal form, the Hawking “thermal spectrum” can be derived by invoking standard tunneling theory, but only by ignoring the coupling to the incoming wave. Deriving the normal form requires a novel extension of the modular ray-based theory used previously to study tunneling and mode conversion in plasmas. We also discuss how ray phase space methods can be used to change representation, which brings the problem into a form where the wave functions are less singular than in the usual formulation, a fact that might prove useful in numerical studies.

The equations for acoustic wave propagation near the event horizon exhibit an avoided-crossing-type of behavior. This avoided crossing behavior was noted, for example, by Jacobson in the study of black holes using quantum field theory. Because of the possibility of tunneling between rays, short wavelength fluctuations in the immediate vicinity of the event horizon can escape. While Jacobson cites some of the plasma literature on mode conversion, his method of approach does not pursue a ray phase space viewpoint, which we think helps to clarify things by highlighting those aspects of the theory that are representation-independent, hence of most importance.

The goal of the present paper is to examine the acoustic analogy using ray phase space methods. These methods can be used to systematically derive the normal form for the evolution equations in the immediate vicinity of the event horizon. The normal form is the simplest possible form for the governing equations, as defined by having the smallest number of terms, arranged in the most symmetrical manner, and the normal form displays those combinations of parameters that are invariant under various transformations, hence it reveals those combinations of parameters that are most important physically. For example, it displays that combination of parameters that will appear in the $S$-matrix connecting the incoming and outgoing wave amplitudes. See, for example, Ref. 8.

The current problem is not of standard type. It requires an extension of the normal form analysis presented in Ref. 8 or in Appendix F of Ref. 7 in order to identify the uncoupled ray Hamiltonians. The normal form involves a (scalar) tunneling process weakly coupled to a non-dispersive uni-directional wave (the “incoming wave,” also a scalar field). Given the normal form, the Hawking “thermal spectrum” can be derived by invoking standard tunneling theory, but only by ignoring the coupling to the incoming wave.

The methods presented here can be extended to higher dimensions (for simplicity, in this paper we only discuss the case of one spatial dimension), and to include more complicated physics. For example, the variational methodology used here was originally introduced by Brizard to study linearized MHD waves in the presence of flows. But we postpone consideration of those matters because of the greater complexity of the problem if we include magnetic fields.
The outline of the paper is as follows: In Section II, we present a heuristic treatment of the problem, highlighting key points that might get overshadowed when we dive into the technical details in Sections III–VI. In Section III, we discuss one-dimensional acoustics using a cold fluid model. We then show how to derive the acoustic model (including a background flow) using a variational principle. This will allow us to use standard methods to derive conservation laws and will lead us to a Hamiltonian formulation of the linearized wave equation. We follow Brizard for this part of the paper, and note that the symplectic inner product that plays an important role in our work is also invoked in Ref. 4, but they do not use a ray phase space approach. In Section IV, we examine eikonal solutions of the linearized wave equations and show that we recover the dispersion function (3) away from the event horizon. In Section V, we construct the local wave operator in the vicinity of the horizon using phase space methods to find the normal form. We then solve the local wave equation and compute the S-matrix.

Elsewhere, we will discuss the discretization of the phase space variational principle. This provides a means to derive numerical schemes that are symplectic and have good stability properties.

II. HEURISTIC TREATMENT

Before diving into mathematical details, it is useful to summarize the main result, which is really quite simple if we allow ourselves to ignore some technical matters we will discuss later on. The treatment here is non-relativistic, so transformations between frames are Galilean.

Start with the wave equation for acoustic waves in a uniform and stationary background. The dispersion function in that case is the familiar

$$D(k) = \omega^2 - c^2 s^2 = [\omega - cs][\omega + cs].$$

Here, $\omega$ is the wave frequency, and $k$ is the wavenumber. The two roots of $D = 0$ are left- and right-moving nondispersive waves that propagate at the sound speed $\pm cs$.

If there is flow with fluid velocity $v_0$, Doppler effects must be included, and in the lab frame the dispersion function becomes

$$D(k; \omega) = (\omega - k v_0)^2 - c^2 s^2 = [\omega - (v_0 + cs)] [\omega - (v_0 - cs)] = \lambda_+(k; \omega) \lambda_-(k; \omega).$$

Note that if $v_0 = \pm cs$, a zero-frequency root appears for $D' = 0$. This corresponds to a standing (frozen) pattern.

Figure 1 shows the curves satisfying $D' = \lambda_+ \lambda_- = 0$. These are plotted on the $(v_0, k)$-plane, rather than ray phase space $(x, k)$, which allows us to see the entire range of behaviors this system can exhibit without having to specify a flow profile. For Figure 1, the sound speed is assumed to be constant $c^2 s = 1$, implying there are “event horizons” at $v_0 = \pm 1$.

We might guess that the local dispersion function governing sound waves in a nonuniform medium with flow is of the form (we now drop the prime)

$$D(x, k; \omega) = [\omega - (v_0(x) + cs(x))k][\omega - (v_0(x) - cs(x))k] = \lambda_+(x, k; \omega) \lambda_-(x, k; \omega).$$

The notation we use here (and throughout the paper) emphasizes that, because the background is assumed to be time-stationary, we can treat single-frequency waves by Fourier analysis. In these expressions, we want to view $D(x, k; \omega)$ as a function of the variables $(x, k)$ which form a conjugate pair on the ray phase space. The frequency $\omega$ appears as a parameter.

In WKB theory, the dispersion function $D(x, k; \omega)$ is the ray Hamiltonian. In a two-dimensional ray phase space, the zero locus of the Hamiltonian ($D = 0$) is also the set of rays. For example, in Figure 2, we show the rays near the event horizon $v_0 = cs$ for the special case where $c_s = 1$, and use a linear velocity profile, $v_0(x) = 1 + x$, hence the event horizon is at $x = 0$.

The arrows on the rays in Figure 2 indicate the direction of the ray evolution given by Hamilton’s equations (subscripts here denote partial derivatives)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -D^{-1}_s D_k, \quad \frac{dk}{dt} = D^{-1}_s D_x.$$

The smooth central branch that passes near the origin is a right-moving wave associated with the root $\lambda_+ = 0$. This is the “incoming” wave. A little algebra shows that the group velocity for this wave equals $2c_s$ at the event horizon.

The other branch, associated with $\lambda_- = 0$, forms an avoided crossing, typical of tunneling. For those branches of the dispersion surface, as $x$ approaches zero $|k| \to \infty$. The Hamilton equations for these rays show that disturbances propagate from large- to small-$k$, meaning that to find the disturbances that escape from the vicinity of the event horizon into the subsonic region (to the left in Figure 2) we must specify initial data on the rays at the event horizon. In the
The linearized equations of one-dimensional gas dynamics, Eqs. (23)–(25), will be derived from a variational principle (30), and a Hamiltonian field theory is then developed using standard methods. The canonical field variables are the particle displacement, $\xi(x, t)$, and the momentum density, $\pi(x, t)$. Written in terms of this canonical formulation, time evolution is governed by a $2 \times 2$ Schrödinger-type equation (44). The associated $2 \times 2$ Weyl symbol is [see Eqs. (45) and (50)]

$$A(x, k; \omega) \equiv B(x, k) - \omega \mathbb{1} = \begin{pmatrix} k\nu_0(x) - \omega & i \\ -i\kappa^2k^2 & k\nu_0(x) - \omega \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(5)

To focus on the key points of this heuristic discussion here, we simplify by setting the density $\rho_0$ equal to unity, ignoring Moyal terms in the product $k\nu_0(x)$ (defined in (49) below), and using a constant sound speed $c_s$.

Notice that the symbol matrix $A$ is not self-adjoint, but it becomes self-adjoint (for real $x$, $k$, and $\omega$) when we multiply it by $i$ times the symplectic matrix, $J$, defined in (40). This important fact is a general characteristic of Brizard’s theory.9

To isolate the tunneling process as much as possible, we need to bring the symbol matrix (5) into normal form. Then, the $2 \times 2$ operator associated with that symbol will provide us with the simplest local wave equation, valid in the immediate vicinity of the event horizon.

To compute the normal form, we start with the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the non-self-adjoint $A$. The eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_\pm \equiv (\nu_0 \pm \kappa)k - \omega.$$  

(6)

For a fixed (but arbitrary) frequency $\omega$, choose $k_0(\omega)$ by solving $\lambda_+(x = 0, k_0(\omega); \omega) = 0$

$$\lambda_+ = 0 \Rightarrow k_0(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{2c_s}.$$  

(7)

The next step in the normal form calculation is to Taylor expand all quantities about the point in phase space where the incoming ray intersects the event horizon, $[x = 0, k = k_0(\omega)]$. Writing $k = k_0 + \kappa$, we keep only the leading terms in $\kappa$ in each of the expressions. (Higher order corrections can, of course, be included if they are deemed necessary. See Ref. 10 for a discussion.) After some lengthy algebra, summarized in detail later in the paper, we find we can recast the $2 \times 2$ symbol governing the local interacting waves into the self-adjoint form

$$D(x, k; \omega) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} D_+ & \varphi \\ \varphi^* & D_- \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} (\nu_0 + \kappa)(k_0 + \kappa) - \omega & -i\kappa c_s \\ i\kappa c_s & (c_s - \nu_0)(k_0 + \kappa) + \omega \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(8)

We identify $D_+$ and $D_-$ as the uncoupled dispersion functions at the event horizon, and $\varphi$ is the coupling between them. (Note that the eigenvalues of $A(x, k; \omega)$ given in (6), $\lambda_+$ and $\lambda_-$, depend upon $k$, while $D_+$ and $D_-$ depend upon $\kappa$.)
The coupling is usually evaluated at the base point of the Taylor expansion in ray phase space [here \(x = 0, k = k_0\)], setting it equal to a constant value. This simplification is often sufficient to get good results. But in the present case, setting \(k = 0\) implies zero coupling. We carry the coupling term along to remind ourselves it is not exactly zero. Neglect of the coupling is required to recover the Hawking result outlined below, and in our derivation of the \(S\)-matrix in Section V. Testing the accuracy of the neglect of the coupling will be carried out numerically and reported in another paper.

The entry \(D_+\) is the dispersion function for a right-moving wave with group velocity \(2c_s\), at the event horizon, as can easily be verified using the Hamilton equations with \(D_+\) as ray Hamiltonian. This is the “incoming wave.”

The entry \(D_-\) is the wave undergoing tunneling when \(v_0(x) \approx c_s\). We now consider this avoided crossing separately, using \(v_0(x) = c_s(1 + x/L)\), as in Eq. (95)

\[
D_-(x, \kappa; \omega) = \frac{v_0 - c_s}{L} \kappa - \omega \\
= \frac{c_s \kappa}{L} - \omega \\
= \frac{c_s}{L} \left( \kappa - \eta^2 \right),
\]

(9)

where \(\eta^2\) is defined as in Eq. (96).

The ray equations, using \(D_-\) as the ray Hamiltonian, are

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = -D_{-1}^{-1} D_{-x} = \frac{c_s}{L} x, \quad \frac{dk}{dt} = D_{-1}^{-1} D_{-x} = \frac{c_s}{L} k.
\]

(10)

This implies that disturbances that start near \(x \approx 0\) at very small spatial scales (large \(|\kappa|\)) propagate toward smaller \(|\kappa|\), while moving away from the origin in \(x\). We will continue to refer to Figure 2 in later discussions of the tunneling process, but in a slight abuse of notation the shift of the origin in \(k\)-space to \(k_0(\omega)\) given by (7) should be understood.

In Section V, we compute the \(S\)-matrix coefficients relating the general outgoing (complex) amplitudes on opposite sides of the horizon [see Eq. (103)] given a general incoming disturbance. For the special case shown in Figure 2, where there is no disturbance at large negative \(\kappa\), there is a jump in the complex amplitude as we cross the event horizon of the form \(\Delta_- = -i \Delta_+\), where \(\Delta_-\) are the amplitudes of the field to the left and right of the event horizon in the \(x\)-representation, and

\[
\tau \equiv e^{-i \eta^2} = e^{-i \omega L/c_s}.
\]

(11)

The energy associated with the outgoing transmitted ray is proportional to the absolute square of the wave amplitudes, hence \(|\Delta_-|^2 = \tau^2 |\Delta_+|^2\). In Figure 2, we show the ratios of energies assigned to the various rays for an initial disturbance that starts with large positive \(\kappa\), i.e., a fluctuation that starts just to the right of the event horizon, but which partly tunnels to the subsonic region (to the left in the figure). Because the rays have \(\kappa \to \infty\) at \(x = 0\), in the \(x\)-representation solutions of the local tunneling wave equation (98) have essential singularities. This leads to problems for numerical simulation. In Section V, will also show that the local wave equation (97) can be transformed into the standard tunneling form (114), a representation which should have better numerical properties.

To this point, these results are entirely classical. The connection with “Hawking radiation” from a black hole is through the following analogy. Rewrite the exponent in the energy transmission coefficient as [from (11), we find \(\tau^2 = \exp(-2\pi \eta^2)\)]

\[
\frac{2\pi \omega L}{c_s} \equiv \frac{\hbar \omega}{k_B T_{\text{eff}}},
\]

(12)

where \(\hbar\) is Planck’s constant, \(k_B\) is Boltzmann’s constant, and \(T_{\text{eff}}\) is an “effective temperature”

\[
T_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{\hbar c_s}{2\pi k_B L}.
\]

(13)

Note that this effective temperature is inversely proportional to the length scale. (In the famous result by Hawking, the effective temperature of a black hole is inversely proportional to the mass, while the Schwarzschild radius is proportional to the mass. This means that the temperature and characteristic length scale at the event horizon are in the same inverse relation as here.)

If we consider laboratory acoustics and transitional flow, for example, with jet nozzles, we can choose approximate values for the transition region at the throat of the nozzle. For example, following Unruh, we choose the length scale, \(L \approx 10^{-3}\) m, and the sound speed, \(c_s \approx 300\) m/s. This gives

\[
\tau(\omega) \approx \exp(-10^{-6} \omega),
\]

(14)

implying that we can only observe the “thermal” character of the emission if we look in the MHz range of frequencies, and this in an extremely turbulent environment. (Unruh acknowledges that this is a challenging measurement to make, though he points out that it is easier than using a laboratory-scale black hole!)

Our primary interest in this paper is in the theoretical formalism, in particular, an examination of the Unruh model from the perspective of ray phase space, with a view toward generalization to include more spatial dimensions and magnetic fields. The normal form method presented here requires a modification of earlier methods, and the symplectic inner product (80) plays a key role, which is new. The extension used here should be applicable to other problems where this symplectic structure appears (e.g., all those covered by Brizard’s theory of linearized MHD).

This completes our heuristic summary of the main points. We now move to the technical details.

III. ONE-DIMENSIONAL HYDRODYNAMICS

The approach followed here is a special case of the general theory presented in Ref. 9. We use a cold ideal fluid model in one spatial dimension, \(x\), which has as dependent variables the density, \(\rho(x, t)\), the velocity, \(v(x, t)\), and the pressure \(p(x, t)\). These quantities obey the following evolution equations:

\[
\rho_t + (\rho v)_x = 0,
\]

(15)

\[
\rho(v_t + vv_x) = -p_x,
\]

(16)
and
\[ p_t + \rho v_x = -\gamma \rho v_x, \]  
(17)
where the subscripts denote partial derivatives, and \( \gamma \) is the ratio of specific heats. We recognize the first equation as the statement of mass conservation, the second of momentum conservation, and the third is required for the evolution following fluid trajectories to be adiabatic with the equation of state \( p \propto \rho^\gamma \).

A. Acoustic waves

It is important to note that the derivation of the acoustic model given below is non-physical in the sense that we start with nonlinear one-dimensional inviscid fluid flow, then linearize around a given (time stationary) spatial profile in density and background flow. This means that we are ignoring the formation of shocks, which are well-known to occur in the absence of viscosity. We note that the last condition, combined with the first, follows when we require stationarity with respect to the variations in the field quantities.

We expand in powers of \( \epsilon \) and collect terms. The zeroth order equilibrium must satisfy
\[
\rho_0 v_0 = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 v_x^2 + p_0 = 0, \\
v_0 p_0 = -\gamma \rho_0 v_0. 
\]  
(18)
(19)
(20)

We note that the last condition, combined with the first, implies \( \rho \propto \rho_0^{\gamma} \). Notice, further, that these equilibrium conditions imply that the pressure, density, and velocity profiles do not appear. In particular, the sound speed profile is [see Eq. (65)]
\[ c_s^2(x) = \left. \frac{dp_0}{d\rho_0} \right|_{x} = \gamma \frac{\rho_0(x)}{\rho_0(x)}. \]  
(21)

This is not independent of the background velocity profile, \( v_0(x) \). A little algebra shows that
\[ \frac{c_s^2(x)}{c_s^2(0)} = \left( \frac{v_0(0)}{v_0(x)} \right)^{\gamma/2}. \]  
(22)

This, of course, does not preclude these two velocities from becoming equal another, which is the situation of interest to us.

At first order in \( \epsilon \), one has
\[ \rho_1 = -(\rho_0 v_1 + \rho_1 v_0), \]  
(23)
\[ \rho_0 v_1 = -\gamma \rho_0 v_0, \]  
(24)
\[ p_1 = -(\gamma \rho_0 v_1 + \gamma \rho_1 v_0) - (v_1 p_0 + \gamma \rho_0 v_1), \]  
(25)

(N.B. The first term on the RHS of Eq. (24) is missing in Eq. (15) of Ref. 9.) Following Brizard, we now replace the three fields \((\rho_1, v_1, p_1)\) with the first order particle displacement \( \xi \). The variations in the field quantities are determined by the particle motions through the following identities:
\[ \rho_1 = -(\rho_0 \xi), \]  
(26)
\[ v_1 = \xi + v_0 \xi - \xi_0, \]  
(27)
\[ p_1 = -\xi_0 p_0 - \gamma \rho_0 \xi_0. \]  
(28)

These identities are inserted into the first-order evolution equations to derive the evolution equation for the particle displacement. In particular, the first-order momentum conservation law (24) becomes, after some straightforward but lengthy algebra
\[
\rho_0 \xi_0 + 2 \rho_0 v_0 \xi_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \gamma \rho_0 - \rho_0 \xi_0^2 \right) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \equiv F(\xi). \]  
(29)

Note that this result requires use of the zeroth order equilibrium conditions (18) and (19). Note also that (29) agrees with Eq. (22) of Brizard, when that expression is reduced to one spatial dimension, and zero magnetic field.

Now introduce the following variational principle (the overall factor of 1/2 will ensure that the canonical momentum is equal to the physical momentum density.)
\[ A(\xi) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dt \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \rho_0(\xi_t + v_0 \xi_x)^2 - \gamma \rho_0 \xi_x^2 \right). \]  
(30)

A standard calculation shows that the evolution equation (29) follows when we require stationarity with respect to the variation \( \delta \xi(x,t) \), assuming the stationary background obeys (18). From this point, unless otherwise noted, time integrals are from \( t_0 \) to \( t_1 \) and all spatial integrals from \(-\infty \) to \(+\infty \).

The variational principle (30) can be used to construct a Hamiltonian formulation using the following standard algorithm. First, define the Lagrangian density
\[ L = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0(\xi_t^2 + v_0 \xi_x)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \xi \dot{G} \xi, \]  
(31)

where
\[ \dot{G} \xi \equiv \dot{F} \xi + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rho_0 v_0^2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \]  
(32)
\[ = \frac{\gamma}{\partial x} \rho_0 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x}. \]

The canonical momentum density is
\[ \pi(x,t) \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\xi}}, \]  
(33)
which we identify as the physical momentum density. The Hamiltonian density is constructed using the Legendre transformation (first writing \( \dot{\xi} = \rho_0^{-1} \pi - v_0 \xi_x \))
\[ H[\xi, \pi] = \int dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} p_0^{-1} \langle \pi | \pi \rangle - \langle \pi | v_0 \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi | \hat{G} \xi \rangle \right], \tag{36} \]

where we have introduced the inner product notation
\[ \langle \chi | \lambda \rangle \equiv \int dx \chi^*(x) \lambda(x). \tag{37} \]

A little algebra verifies that
\[ \xi_t = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \pi} = p_0^{-1} \pi - v_0 \xi, \tag{38} \]
\[ \pi_t = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi} = -(v_0 \pi)_x + \hat{G} \xi. \tag{39} \]

The first of this pair of evolution equations is simply a rewriting of the relationship between \( \xi \) and \( \pi \), while the second is seen to be a recasting of (29), after using (32).

The pair of canonical evolution equations (38) and (39) can be shown to have a Hermitian structure. This will prove valuable in deriving the normal form. First, introduce the \( 2 \times 2 \) symplectic matrix
\[ J \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{40} \]

Second, define the two-component complex field \( \psi \equiv (\xi, \pi)^T \).

Third, the complex symplectic product of \( \psi_a \) and \( \psi_b \) is now introduced
\[ \omega(\psi_a, \psi_b) \equiv \psi_a^* \cdot J \cdot \psi_b, \tag{41} \]
\[ = \xi_a^* \pi_b - \pi_a^* \xi_b. \tag{42} \]

(The values of \( x \) and \( t \) are identical here, the symplectic product concerns the two-component vector indices.) Finally, define the (degenerate) canonical inner product on the linear symplectic complex vector space
\[ \langle \psi_a | \psi_b \rangle_{\text{can}} \equiv \int dx (\xi^*_a \pi_b - \pi^*_a \xi_b), \tag{43} \]
\[ = \langle \psi_a | \psi_b \rangle^* \}_{\text{can}}. \]

The evolution equations (38) and (39) are now written in the Schrödinger form
\[ i \frac{\partial \psi^x}{\partial t} \equiv B^x \psi^x, \tag{44} \]
where we sum over repeated indices. Explicitly
\[ \frac{i}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -iv_0 \partial_x & i \rho_0^{-1} \\ i \hat{G} & -i \partial_x v_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{45} \]

Unless otherwise noted, derivatives act on all quantities to the right.

The Weyl symbol of an operator \( \hat{A} \) is defined as
\[ a(x, k) \equiv \int dx e^{-ikx} \langle x + \frac{s}{2} | \hat{A} | x - \frac{s}{2} \rangle. \tag{46} \]

The Weyl symbol mapping, which is invertible, takes operators and maps them to functions on ray phase space
\[ \hat{A} \mapsto a(x, k). \tag{47} \]

This mapping is linear, and topological, meaning that it preserves neighborhood relations in the two spaces. Since operators generally do not commute, this implies that the symbol of the operator product \( \hat{A}_1 \hat{A}_2 \) cannot be simply the product of the related symbols \( a_1(x, k) \) and \( a_2(x, k) \). In fact, the symbol of the product is given by the Moyal product, denoted
\[ \hat{A}_1 \hat{A}_2 \mapsto a_1(x, k) \ast a_2(x, k), \tag{48} \]
where
\[ a_1(x, k) \ast a_2(x, k) \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( i \frac{2}{n!} \right)^n \times a_1(x, k) \]
\[ \times \left( \tilde{\partial}_k \tilde{\partial}_k - \tilde{\partial}_k \tilde{\partial}_k \right)_{\text{Moyal}} a_2(x, k). \tag{49} \]

These facts follow from the properties of the Moyal product (49).

The reader is referred to Chap. 2 of Ref. 7 for a complete discussion. Here, we simply quote results unproven.

Using (49), the Weyl symbol of \( \hat{B} \) is
\[ B(x, k) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_0(x) & \rho_0^{-1}(x) \\ iG(x, k) & k \end{pmatrix}. \tag{50} \]

From (32), we have
\[ G(x, k) = -\gamma k \ast p_0(x) \ast k. \tag{51} \]

Note that, although the symbol matrix \( B \) is not self-adjoint, the symbol matrix
\[ iJ \cdot B(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma k \ast p_0(x) \ast k & ik \ast v_0(x) \\ -iv_0(x) \ast k & \rho_0^{-1}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{52} \]

is self-adjoint. The symbol \( k \ast p_0(x) \ast k \) is real, and the symbol \( k \ast v_0(x) \ast k \) is the complex conjugate of the symbol \( v_0(x) \ast k \), for real \( x \) and \( k \). These facts follow from the properties of the Moyal product (49).

Given an inner product (in this case the canonical inner product), the adjoint of any operator \( \hat{O} \) is that unique operator \( \hat{O}^\dagger \) defined by the property
\[ \langle \hat{O}^\dagger \psi_a | \psi_b \rangle_{\text{can}} = \langle \psi_a | \hat{O} \psi_b \rangle_{\text{can}}, \quad \forall \psi_a, \psi_b. \tag{53} \]

Therefore, a little algebra shows that the adjoint evolution equation is
\[ -i \frac{\partial \psi^x}{\partial t} \equiv \psi^x \hat{B}^\dagger. \tag{54} \]
where

$$\hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} i\partial_t v_0 & -iG \\ -i\rho_0^{-1} v_0 \partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(55)

In the current case, it is possible to show that $\hat{B}$ is self-adjoint with respect to the canonical inner product $\langle | \rangle_{\text{can}}$

$$\langle \psi_a | \hat{B} | \psi_b \rangle_{\text{can}} = \int dx \langle \xi_a | \pi_a \rangle \cdot J \cdot \langle \xi_b | \pi_b \rangle$$

$$= \int dx [\gamma \rho_0 \xi_a \xi_b + \xi_a(v_0 \pi_b)_x - v_0 \pi_a \xi_b + \rho_0^{-1} \pi_a \pi_b].$$

(56)

After integration by parts, a little algebra shows that

$$\langle \psi_a | \hat{B} | \psi_b \rangle_{\text{can}} = \langle \hat{B} \psi_a | \psi_b \rangle_{\text{can}}, \quad \forall \psi_a, \psi_b,$$

(57)

implying that $\hat{B}^\dagger = \hat{B}$ with respect to $\langle | \rangle_{\text{can}}$ as claimed.

Before discussing the WKB analysis of the evolution equations, we note that using the concepts we now have in hand, we can introduce the phase space variational principle

$$\mathcal{A}[\psi] = \int dt \langle \psi | (i\partial_t - \hat{B}) | \psi \rangle_{\text{can}},$$

(58)

$$= \int dt \langle \psi | \psi \rangle_{\text{can}} - i \langle \psi | \hat{B} | \psi \rangle_{\text{can}}.$$

Explicitly

$$\mathcal{A}[\psi] = i \int dt dx \left\{ \left( \xi^* \pi - \pi^* \xi \right) - \gamma \xi^* (v_0 \pi)_x - v_0 \pi^*, \frac{\pi^2}{\rho_0} \right\}.$$

(59)

This variational principle will prove useful when we derive the $2 \times 2$ normal form. Also, it is the starting point to discretize the dynamics and derive symplectic integrators, which we will discuss in a separate paper.

It is sometimes useful to write the phase space variational principle in terms of real canonical fields $(\xi, \pi)$, this variational principle becomes

$$\mathcal{A}[\xi, \pi] = \int dt dx \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\xi} \pi - \pi \dot{\xi}) - \frac{\gamma \rho_0}{2} \xi^2 + v_0 \xi \pi + \frac{\pi^2}{2 \rho_0} \right\}.$$

(60)

We have integrated by parts and introduced an overall constant factor of $i/2$ in order to cast this into a more standard form, using the fact that overall factors in the variational principle do not affect the resulting evolution equations. A short calculation leads back to Hamilton’s equations (38) and (39), as required.

**IV. WKB ANALYSIS**

Now we introduce a single-frequency eikonal ansatz

$$\psi(x,t) = a(x) e^{i[\theta(x) - \omega t]} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_x(x) \\ \epsilon_z(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(61)

where the “polarization” $\hat{e}(x) \equiv (\epsilon_z, \epsilon_x)^T$ is assumed to vary on the same length scale as the background. Use this ansatz in (38) and (39). At leading order, assuming the derivative acts only on the phase, we get

$$\mathcal{A}(x, k; \omega) \cdot \hat{e}(x) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_0 k(x) - \omega & i \rho_0^{-1} \\ iG(x,k) & v_0 k(x) - \omega \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_z(x) \\ \epsilon_x(x) \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

(62)

where

$$k(x) \equiv \frac{d\theta}{dx}$$

(63)

and

$$G(x,k) \equiv -\gamma \rho_0 k^2(x) = -\rho_0 \epsilon_x^2 k^2(x).$$

(64)

We have introduced the sound speed (invoking the equation of state $\rho_0 \propto \epsilon_x^2$)

$$c_s^2 \equiv \frac{dp_0}{d\rho_0} = \frac{\rho_0}{\epsilon_x^2}.$$

(65)

For there to be non-trivial solutions of (62), for a given $\omega$ and $x$, at least one of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}(x, k; \theta_z(x); \omega)$ must vanish. These eigenvalues are denoted

$$\lambda_{\pm}(x, k; \omega) \equiv \omega - [v_0 (x) \pm c_z(x)] k(x).$$

(66)

These are a pair of Hamiltonians, one for each of the two types of rays. Rays of each type live on the surfaces $\lambda_{\pm}(x, k; \omega) = 0$.

Hamilton’s equations are (using Eq. (4), now with $\lambda_\pm$ as ray Hamiltonians):

$$\frac{dx}{dt} |_\pm = v_0 (x) \pm c_z(x),$$

(67)

$$\frac{dk}{dt} |_\pm = -[v_0 (x) \pm c_z(x)] k.$$

(68)

We can find the associated polarization for each ray by assuming the relevant dispersion relation is satisfied, using it in (62), and then solving for the associated null eigenvector. For example, write $\omega = (v_0 \pm c_z) k$. The null eigenvectors must satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} +c_z k & i \rho_0^{-1} \\ -i \rho_0 c_z k & +c_z k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$ 

(69)

The associated polarization vectors are

$$\hat{e}_\pm(x, k) = \frac{1}{(2 \rho_0 c_z k)^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} +c_z k \\ \pm i \rho_0 c_z k \end{pmatrix},$$

(70)

where $\rho_0$, $c_z$ are functions of $x$, and $k$ is treated as a free parameter here. These have been normalized with respect to the symplectic inner product, as per the discussion after (80), which simplifies later expressions. To use this result in the
eikonal solution, we set \( k(x) = d\theta/dx \), after we have solved for \( \theta(x) \), as described below.

The amplitude \( a(x) \) varies in a manner governed by the action conservation law, which we can derive as follows. Choose one of the two polarizations, for example, the “+” polarization. Using the polarization (70) with \( k(x) \) unspecified as yet, insert the ansatz (61) into the variational principle (59), keeping only the leading order terms, i.e., the derivatives act only \( \theta(x) \), but not the background quantities, or \( a(x) \) and \( k(x) \), consistent with the leading order eikonal approximation. After some algebra, this gives

\[
\tilde{A}[a, \theta] = \int dx \left[ \omega - (v_0 - c_s)\theta_s \right] a^2(x).
\] (71)

Stationarity with respect to variations in the amplitude implies

\[
\frac{\delta \tilde{A}}{\delta a(x)} = 2 \left[ \omega - (v_0 - c_s)\theta_s \right] a(x) = 0,
\] (72)

which gives us the local dispersion relation

\[
\lambda_{\pm}[x, k = \theta_s; \omega] = 0 \Rightarrow \omega = |v_0(x) - c_s(x)|\theta_s.
\] (73)

Solving this for \( \theta_s \), we can now solve for \( \theta(x) \)

\[
\theta(x) = \theta_0 + \omega \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{dx'}{v_0(x') - c_s(x')}.
\] (74)

Variation of (71) with respect to the phase gives us the action conservation law

\[
\frac{\delta \tilde{A}}{\delta \theta(x)} = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ (v_0 - c_s) a^2(x) \right] = 0.
\] (75)

Therefore

\[
a^2(x) = \frac{v_0(x_0) - c_s(x_0)}{v_0(x) - c_s(x)} a^2(x_0).
\] (76)

Clearly, the eikonal approximation breaks down near the event horizon where the denominator goes to zero.

At each \( x \), we use the instantaneous polarization by setting \( k(x) = \theta_s(x) \) in (70), as already mentioned. This, together with the results (74) and (76), gives the eikonal solution (61).

\[ \text{V. THE NORMAL FORM} \]

To deal more carefully with the region near the event horizon, we return now to the phase space variational principle (58), which we reproduce here for ease of reference

\[
\mathcal{A}[\psi] = \int dt [\langle \psi_t, \psi \rangle_{\text{can}} - i \langle \psi, \tilde{B}\psi \rangle_{\text{can}}].
\] (77)

Recall the canonical inner product is defined in Eq. (43).

The normal form is developed about a fixed point in ray phase space, \( x_0 \) and \( k_0 \). Suppose we have an event horizon where \( v_0(0) = c_s(0) \). Then of course, we choose \( x_0 = 0 \) as our base point.

Because the background is time-stationary, we can treat each frequency separately. Choose a fixed, but arbitrary, frequency \( \omega \not= 0 \), and introduce the constant (in \( x \) and \( k \)) polarization vectors

\[
\tilde{e}_+(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\rho_0c_s(0)k_0(\omega)|}} \left[ -i \rho_0c_s(0)k_0(\omega) \right],
\] (78)

and

\[
\tilde{e}_-(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\rho_0c_s(0)k_0(\omega)|}} \left[ i \rho_0c_s(0)k_0(\omega) \right].
\] (79)

The polarization vectors are mutually orthogonal with respect to the complex form of the symplectic inner product, defined as

\[
\Omega(u, w) \equiv i u^\dagger \cdot J \cdot w.
\] (80)

That is \( \Omega(e_+, e_-) = \Omega(e_-, e_+) = 0 \). We have normalized them so \( \Omega(e_+, e_+) = \pm 1 \).

We expand about that point where the incoming ray crosses the event horizon at \( x = 0 \). That is, we choose \( k_0(\omega) \) such that \( \lambda_+(x = 0, k_0(\omega)) = 0 \) [see Eq. (6)], implying

\[
k_0(\omega) \equiv \frac{\omega}{v_0(0) + c_s(0)} = \frac{\omega}{2c_s(0)}.
\] (81)

Using \( k_0(\omega) \) in the polarizations (78) and (79), construct a new ansatz for the wave functions, appropriate for the local region around the event horizon

\[
\psi_{x_0}(x, t) = e^{i k_0(\omega)x - \omega t} [\phi_+(x)\tilde{e}_+ + \phi_-(x)\tilde{e}_-].
\] (82)

Note that we have the identity

\[
-i \frac{\partial \psi_{x_0}}{\partial x} = e^{i k_0(\omega)x - \omega t} \left[ k_0(\omega) - i \partial_x \right] [\phi_+(x)\tilde{e}_+ + \phi_-(x)\tilde{e}_-].
\] (83)

This is how the shift of the origin in ray phase space to \( x = 0 \) and \( k = k_0(\omega) \) appears in terms of wave functions. Note also that \( \psi_{x_0}(x, t) \) is a two-component object, while \( \phi_\pm(x) \) are scalars. The amplitudes \( \phi_\pm(x) \) are not assumed to be eikonal in form. We have made no approximations yet. The wave function (82) simply reflects a change of dependent variable by choosing a particular polarization basis for a single-frequency solution.

This new form for \( \psi_{x_0} \) is inserted into (77). Because we have chosen a single frequency for the wave function, we drop the integration over \( t \), retaining only the integral over \( x \), which gives us the variational principle for the amplitudes
\[ A[^\text{I}][\phi_+, \phi_-] = \int dx [\phi_+^* \hat{D}_+ \phi_+ + \phi_-^* \hat{D}_- \phi_- + \phi_+^* \hat{\eta} \phi_+ + \phi_-^* \hat{\eta} \phi_-], \]  

(84)

Here

\[ \hat{D}_+ \equiv -\omega + i \epsilon^k_{\, k} \cdot J \cdot \hat{B} \cdot \hat{e}_+, \]

(85)

\[ \hat{D}_- \equiv -\omega + i \epsilon^k_{\, k} \cdot J \cdot \hat{B} \cdot \hat{e}_-, \]

(86)

and

\[ \hat{\eta} \equiv i \epsilon^k_{\, k} \cdot J \cdot \hat{B} \cdot \hat{e}_-, \]

(87)

\[ \hat{\eta}^\dagger \equiv i \epsilon^k_{\, k} \cdot J \cdot \hat{B} \cdot \hat{e}_+. \]

(87)

By construction, the operator-valued matrix \( \hat{D} \) is self-adjoint with respect to the \textit{standard} inner product (37). [Recall that \( \hat{B} \) is self-adjoint with respect to the \textit{canonical} inner product (43)]. Because the polarizations \( \epsilon_{\, \ldots}(\omega) \) are constant in \( x \), the operators \( \hat{D}_\pm \) and \( \hat{\eta} \) are clearly just linear combinations of the entries of \( \hat{B} \). Note also that in moving from (77) to (84), no approximations have been made. The variational principle (84) is still general. We have simply chosen a particular polarization basis for the wave functions, one that best isolates the incoming wave from the tunneling process.

The calculation of the normal form for the local wave equation is carried out using Weyl symbol methods, as outlined in the discussion leading to (8). For general background densities and flow profiles, the entries of \( \hat{D} \) are messy because of the derivatives acting on the background quantities \( \rho_0(x), c_s(x), \) and \( v_0(x) \), in addition to the action on the amplitudes \( \phi_\pm \). This obscures what is going on, so let’s simplify things and assume that \( \rho(x) = \rho_0 = 1 \), and take \( c_s \) to be constant. (We need to retain the \( x \) dependence in \( v_0(x) \) to keep the resonance local.) We will also neglect the higher order terms in the Moyal series that appear in the symbol matrix (52), replacing \( v_0(x) \cdot k \) and \( k \cdot v_0(x) \) by \( v_0(0) k \). This means that we are assuming the background variation is on a long spatial scale compared to that of the amplitudes \( \phi_\pm(x) \). We emphasize that this is a much less restrictive assumption than that used in WKB theory, because we do not assume any special form for the amplitudes \( \phi_\pm(x) \).

The normal form transformation is summarized most compactly if we construct the constant (in \( x \) and \( k \)) matrix \( Q(\omega) \) by using the polarizations (78) and (79) as the column entries

\[ Q(\omega) \equiv [\hat{e}_{\, \ldots}(\omega), \hat{e}_{-}(\omega)]. \]  

(88)

Note that \( Q(\omega) \) is not unitary, but instead satisfies

\[ iQ^\dagger(\omega) \cdot J \cdot Q(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(89)

Note also that \( Q(\omega) \) is not defined when \( \omega = 0 \). The limit \( \omega \to 0 \) is singular, reflected in the fact that (89) remains true for all \( \omega \neq 0 \).

The symbol for the \( 2 \times 2 \) wave operator associated with the \( 2 \times 2 \) Schrödinger form (44), derived using the variational principle (77), is

\[ A(x, k; \omega) \equiv B(x, k) - \omega \mathbf{1} = \begin{pmatrix} k v_0(x) - \omega & i \\ -i c_s^2 k^2 & k v_0(x) - \omega \end{pmatrix}. \]

(90)

The next step is to use (88) to compute the new representation of the symbol matrix, the one associated with (84), by noting that the variational principle is a bilinear form, hence the transformation induced by the change of polarization basis results in the congruence transformation

\[ D(x, k; \omega) \equiv iQ^\dagger(\omega) \cdot J \cdot A(x, k; \omega) \cdot Q(\omega). \]

(91)

This new matrix is self-adjoint by construction because \( iJ \cdot A \) is self-adjoint for all real \( x, k, \) and \( \omega \). More details regarding the appearance of congruence transformations in ray phase space methods for multicomponent wave equations can be found in Appendix C of Ref. 7. Some straightforward algebra leads to the result

\[ D(x, k; \omega) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} D_+ & 0 \\ 0 & D_- \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \approx \begin{pmatrix} (v_0 + c_s)(k_0 + \kappa) - \omega & -ic_s\kappa \\ ic_s\kappa & (c_s - v_0)(k_0 + \kappa) + \omega \end{pmatrix}. \]

(92)

Here, \( \kappa = k - k_0(\omega) \), and we have retained all terms linear in that quantity. The normal form isolates as much as possible the \textit{uncoupled} dispersion functions, \( D_+(x, k; \omega) \), which are closely related to (6), but with a shift in the origin in \( k \).

Given a matrix symbol like \( D(x, k; \omega) \), the related operator is given by applying the usual correspondence

\[ \kappa \leftrightarrow -i \partial_x, \]

(93)

entry by entry. For product terms like \( x k \), the Weyl calculus ensures that we end up with the symmetrized product

\[ x k \leftrightarrow -\frac{i}{2} (x \partial_x + \partial_x x). \]

(94)

Now consider the tunneling process in isolation. Let’s focus on the event horizon that occurs when \( v_0(x) \approx c_s \). In that case, the dispersion function \( D_-(x, k; \omega) \) is the one associated with the tunneling. Let’s choose our origin such that \( v_0(0) = c_s \), and linearize \( v_0(x) \)

\[ v_0(x) = c_s \left( 1 + \frac{x}{L} \right), \]

(95)

where \( L \) is the length scale characteristic of the flow at the event horizon. This implies

\[ D_-(x, k; \omega) = \frac{c_s}{L} (x k - \eta^2), \quad \eta^2 \equiv \frac{L \omega}{c_s}, \]

(96)

The zero locus in \( x \) and \( k \) of \( D_-(x, k; \omega) = 0 \), for \( \omega \neq 0 \), has the characteristic avoided-crossing (hyperbolic) shape for the rays.

To find the transmission coefficient of the tunneling process, we need to solve the wave equation for the amplitude...
We now identify the elements of the $S$-matrix, connecting the amplitudes coefficients

\[
\begin{pmatrix} b_+ \\ b_- \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{i\eta^2 + 1}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\eta}} & e^{\frac{\pi}{\eta}} \\ e^{\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}} & e^{-\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_+ \\ a_- \end{pmatrix} = S^{-1}(\omega) \begin{pmatrix} a_+ \\ a_- \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
\tau(\omega) = e^{-\pi\eta^2(\omega)}.
\]

We choose to define (103) as the inverse of the $S$-matrix so as to retain the understanding that $S(\omega)$ is the matrix connecting incoming to outgoing amplitudes. The incoming field amplitudes are $b_+$, the outgoing amplitudes are $a_+$, as seen by referring to Figure 2.

Using Euler’s Reflection Formula (Eq. (5.5.3) of Ref. 13)

\[
\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)},
\]

with $z = i\eta^2 - 1$, it is straightforward to show that $S^{-1}(\omega)$ is unitary, which implies $S$ is unitary as well. Thus $S^T S = 1$, hence total energy is conserved

\[
|a_+|^2 + |a_-|^2 = |b_+|^2 + |b_-|^2.
\]

The case shown in Figure 2 corresponds to setting $b_- = 0$. This implies

\[
a_- = -ie^{-\pi\eta^2}a_+ \equiv -i\tau a_+,
\]

as quoted in (11). Therefore, the energies on the two outgoing rays are related by $|a_-|^2 = \tau^2|a_+|^2$. From (106), the energy on the incoming tunneling ray, $|b_+|^2 = 1 + \tau^2$, as indicated in Figure 2.

The solution (98) has an essential singularity at $x = 0$, reflected in the ray behavior in Figure 2, where the rays connect to large $\kappa$. A similar behavior can be expected locally for the full $2 \times 2$ problem, now compounded by the presence of the incoming wave. This causes difficulties for numerical studies. It would be useful to find a representation of the problem that has better numerical properties. Here, we simply sketch the approach we are examining, results will be reported in a separate paper as this is still work in progress.

Staying with the tunneling problem in isolation, consider now the following linear canonical transformation:

\[
x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X + K), \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X - K).
\]

This is a rotation by $45^\circ$, and it puts (96) into the tunneling normal form (see, for example, page 243, Eq. (6.41), and Figure 6.7 on page 244 of Ref. 7)

\[
D'_{\omega}(X, K; \omega) \equiv \frac{C_2}{L} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(X^2 - K^2) - \eta^2(\omega) \right].
\]

Linear canonical transformations on ray phase space induce related unitary transformations in the associated Hilbert space of wave functions. This change of representation is a generalization of the Fourier transform, called the metaplectic transform. For example, the unitary transform
that takes wave functions in the $x$-representation to wave functions in the $X$-representation is

$$\psi_-(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int dx \, e^{-iF_1(x,X)} \phi_-(x), \quad (110)$$

where

$$F_1(x,X) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( x^2 - 2\lambda X + X^2 \right). \quad (111)$$

The inverse of (110) is simply

$$\phi_-(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int dx \, e^{iF_1(x,X)} \psi_-(X). \quad (112)$$

See Appendix E of Ref. 7 for details.

Because $X$ and $K$ form a canonical pair, if we choose the $X$-representation to write our wave equation, we have the familiar association

$$K \leftrightarrow -i \frac{\partial}{\partial X}, \quad (113)$$

implying that the equation governing the mode shape for frequency $\omega$ is

$$\left[ X^2 - 2\eta^2(\omega) \right] \psi(X) + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial X^2} = 0. \quad (114)$$

The solution of this equation can be written in terms of parabolic cylinder functions, and the $S$-matrix elements connecting incoming and outgoing rays computed. The parabolic cylinder functions have much nicer behavior in the tunneling region than $\phi_-(x)$ the original $x$-representation.

As already mentioned, the linear canonical transformation (108) from $(x, \kappa) \to (X, K)$ generates a unitary transformation on the Hilbert space of wave functions. Therefore, so long as we keep track of incoming and outgoing pairings (using the rays), the $S$-matrix elements are unchanged. This allows us to compute the connection coefficients for the original $x$-representation. Preliminary results suggest that this approach is promising for numerical work, even when we include the incoming wave.

VI. SUMMARY

In this paper, we have sketched a methodology for studying linear acoustics in transitional regions using ray phase space methods. The main contribution of the paper is to show how to derive the normal form (92). This isolates the tunneling phenomenon from the non-resonant “incoming” wave, while also providing the leading order coupling between the wave undergoing tunneling and the incoming wave (while the coupling is weak, it is not zero, as assumed in the computation of the $S$-matrix given here).

Isolation of the tunneling and casting it into normal form uncovers the coupling constant for that process ($\eta^2(\omega)$, as defined in Eq. (96)), and a standard calculation to compute the $S$-matrix connecting the incoming and outgoing tunneling wave fields uncovers the Boltzmann factor in the transmission coefficient, which is at the heart of the theory of Hawking radiation.

In further work, we are pursuing the use of the phase space variational principle (77) as a tool for deriving symplectic integrators. Working in ray phase space, as opposed to only $x$- or $k$-space, implies we have a much wider class of transformations (the metaplectic transformations) available to simplify the problem, and to find the best representation for numerical work. We will report on this elsewhere.
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