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Abstract Methane (CH4) exchange between trees and the atmosphere has recently emerged as an important, but poorly quantified process regulating global climate. The sources (soil and/or tree) and mechanisms driving the increase of CH4 in trees and degassing to the atmosphere are inadequately understood, particularly for coastal forests facing increased exposure to seawater. We investigated the eco-physiological relationship between tree stem wood density, soil and stem oxygen saturation (an indicator of redox state), soil and stem CH4 concentrations, soil and stem carbon dioxide (CO2) concentrations, and soil salinity in five forests along the United States coastline. We aim to evaluate the mechanisms underlying greenhouse gas increase in trees and the influence of seawater exposure on stem CH4 accumulation. Seawater exposure corresponded with decreased tree survival and increased tree stem methane. Tree stem wood density was significantly correlated with increased stem CH4 in seawater exposed gymnosperms, indicating that dying gymnosperm trees may accumulate higher levels of CH4 in association with seawater flooding. Further, we found that significant differences in seawater exposed and unexposed gymnosperm tree populations are associated with increased soil and stem CH4 and CO2, indicating that seawater exposure significantly impacts soil and stem greenhouse gas abundance. Our results provide new insight into the potential mechanisms driving tree CH4 accumulation within gymnosperm coastal forests.

Plain Language Summary Trees emit greenhouse gases such as methane and carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. The origin of these gases includes production in the tree or in the surrounding soils. Disturbances to these systems, such as seawater exposure that increases soil salinity, have an unknown impact on gas production and connectivity between soil and trees. We found that higher soil salinities corresponded to higher soil methane content and increased stem methane. The accumulation of soil and tree methane was lower in sites with no salinity exposure and higher in sites with high salinity. As coastal systems become more vulnerable to changes in seawater exposure, this may have consequences on methane emitted from trees to the atmosphere.

1. Introduction

Increasing atmospheric greenhouse gas (GHG) levels have resulted in polar warming that is projected to cause sea levels to rise substantially in the next century (de Coninck et al., 2018; Overpeck et al., 2006). The amount of methane (CH4) in the atmosphere is increasing globally, representing an important positive feedback on climate warming that is regulated by numerous terrestrial and aquatic sources and sinks (Winterstein et al., 2019). Upland soils take up 30 Tg CH4 yr−1, freshwater wetlands emit ~150 Tg CH4 yr−1, and wetlands exposed to seawater (e.g., salt marshes) are thought to play a net neutral role in global CH4 cycling (Poffenbarger et al., 2011; Saunois et al., 2016). Critical gaps in mechanistic understanding of how
Natural ecosystems are faced with a variety of anthropogenic disturbances that may dramatically shift their biogeochemical function (Fichtner et al., 2014) and coupling or decoupling of different ecosystem components (e.g., rhizosphere-tree stem) (Mark et al., 2005; Noe et al., 2011; Willig et al., 1996). Increased coastal forest inundation events such as due to rising sea level and increasing storm frequency (Fagherazzi et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2020; K. Williams et al., 2003; C. A. Williams et al., 2016), ultimately may turn forests that were net carbon sinks to net carbon sources (Hadden & Grelle, 2016). There have already been demonstrable changes in coastal ecosystems, with wetlands drowning and coastal forests dying (Kirwan & Gedan, 2019; W. Wang et al., 2019), and upland terrestrial ecosystems also feeling pressure from increasing drought/flood cycles and rising temperatures (McDowell et al., 2018).

Environmental disturbances such as freshwater flooding (Pangala et al., 2017; Pitz et al., 2018) and salt intrusion (Ward et al., 2019) are expected to increase either the production of GHGs within tree stems or the export of GHGs from soils to the atmosphere. Environmental changes and/or disturbances to forest soil CH4 and CO2 source or sink functions can be influenced by changes to soil temperature, soil moisture content, and soil biogeochemistry (Bowden et al., 1993; King, 1997; Ni & Groffman, 2018; Ojima et al., 1993; Raich & Schlesinger, 1992). Environmental changes and/or disturbances to these ecosystems can influence CH4 and CO2 net greenhouse gas budgets (Bousquet et al., 2006; Dlugokencky et al., 2011). However, these responses are neither understood from a mechanistic perspective nor easily measured across spatial scales broad enough to reveal continental-to global-scale patterns. Therefore, gaining a mechanistic understanding on the effects of coastal forest flooding on soil/tree GHG cycling is important in predicting climate-induced carbon feedbacks.

Global CH4 budget estimates typically do not include an important pathway for gas exchange between soils and the atmosphere—CH4 emissions from woody vegetation (Barba et al., 2019; Bousquet et al., 2006; Covey & Mégonigal, 2019; Mégonigal & Guenther, 2008). Nonwoody vegetation has long been recognized as playing an important role in wetland CH4 exchange, yet little attention has been paid to the contributions of tree stems, despite the large surface area they represent in forested ecosystems (Crowther et al., 2015). The few examples in the literature have found that CH4 emissions from tree stems are locally (Covey & Mégonigal, 2019) and regionally relevant (Machacova et al., 2016; Pangala et al., 2017; Z. P. Wang et al., 2017), with the potential to offset the soil CH4 sink by up to 63% (Covey & Mégonigal, 2019). Furthermore, CH4 emissions from trees represent a conduit for CH4 to escape from deeper soil layers to the atmosphere in ecosystems that are often considered CH4 sinks, such as upland forests (Carmichael et al., 2014; Covey et al., 2012; Le Mer & Roger, 2001) and coastal floodplain forests (Ward et al., 2019).

The magnitude of tree CH4 exchange partially depends on the provenance of CH4 (i.e., soil vs. tree sources), transport pathways and mechanisms (i.e., diffusion vs. mass flow), and drivers of gas diffusion rates (e.g., stem morphology and stem water content) (Barba et al., 2019; Mégonigal et al., 2020). The extent to which CH4 emissions from trees are coupled to soils will vary with each of these factors, which in turn are influenced by ecosystem disturbances. Flooding induced increases in soil saturation severely restricts exchange of oxygen (O2) between the atmosphere, soil pore spaces, and tree pore spaces. Molecular O2 is consumed rapidly by aerobic plant and microbial respiration in soil and tree stems and can only be replaced by atmospheric gas flux through soil and tree pore spaces. As these spaces fill with water, diffusion pathways are effectively blocked as O2 diffusion rates decline 103-fold and O2 concentrations subsequently decline (Boyer et al., 1997; Collin & Rasmussen, 1988). The development of hypoxic or anoxic conditions fundamentally alters the dominant pathways of microbial respiration, both in soils (Mégonigal et al., 2003) and in tree stems (Covey & Mégonigal, 2019), and negatively impacts the physiology of plants that are not flood tolerant.

Here we use a new approach to discern coupling and decoupling of soil and tree stem biogeochemistry in order to identify the mechanisms by which tree stems accumulate and emit CH4 in response to seawater exposure. We measured tree stem wood density, concentrations of O2, CO2, and CH4 internally in gymnosperm...
tree stems (a method that has been previously used with CO2 and O2 to study tree respiration [Cerasoli et al., 2009; Teskey & Mcguire, 2007; Teskey et al., 2008]) and in soil pore spaces (liquid or gaseous phase depending on soil saturation) and interpret these data in the context of seawater exposed trees and unexposed trees. First, we hypothesize that seawater exposure will increase internal gymnosperm-stem CH4 and CO2 concentrations, compared to unexposed trees (Figure 1). Second, we hypothesize that seawater exposure will significantly increase stem wood density, with increased stem wood density significantly correlated with increased stem CH4 and CO2. Finally, we hypothesize that seawater exposure will have a significant effect on stem and soil gases (CH4, CO2, and O2), with the relationship between GHGs in seawater exposed trees and unexposed trees uniquely clustered in a multivariant space.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Sites

Measurements of soil and tree stem O2, CO2, and CH4 concentrations were made at five sites representing two coastal eco-regions: the Mediterranean Pacific Northwest and the temperate Atlantic Eastern shore (Figure 2). In total, 107 trees were sampled, pairing stem and soil gas measurements for CO2, CH4, and O2, and average stem wood density (Table 1 and Norwood, 2020). The number of trees by tree species, seawater exposure, and tree survival are represented in Table 1. All stem gas and bulk soil gas samples were collected during daylight hours during the 2019 growing season (late June—early September). All stem density measurements were made at breast height on the north-facing side of the stem to reduce variability between sun exposed wood versus shaded wood (Meinzer et al., 2008; Rinn et al., 1996). We sampled five gymnosperm coastal forests, with two randomized nominal plots at each site, containing living and dying trees for both seawater exposed and unexposed locations (Table 1; Figure 2). Three soil measurements were collected within each plot for soil salinity and soil gases.

Figure 1. A conceptual diagram of the mechanisms driving soil-tree greenhouse CH4 exchange in seawater exposed coastal forests. Density can be affected by water content and/or tracheid size. Higher density results in higher internal stem CH4 and limited O2 diffusion.

Figure 2. The five sites where trees and soils were sampled for percent O2 and internal stem CO2 & CH4 concentrations. Sites are denoted with tree species. Map was created using ArcGIS 10.5 software (ESRI, 2017). Coordinate system: GCS WGS 1984.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Tree species</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Unx.</th>
<th>Living</th>
<th>Dying</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beaver Creek</td>
<td><em>P. sitchensis</em> (n = 12)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwin Island</td>
<td><em>P. taeda</em> (n = 35)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips Creek</td>
<td><em>P. taeda</em> (n = 18)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monie Bay</td>
<td><em>P. taeda</em> (n = 18)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moneystump</td>
<td><em>P. taeda</em> (n = 18)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Tree survival was estimated by percent canopy greenness (Wang et al., 2019).

Each plot consisted of an area larger than 100 m², with soil measurements tied to each plot. Living and dying trees were randomly selected within each respective plot, with individual tree density and gas measurements recorded for each tree that was randomly selected within the plot.

Here we identify seawater exposure at each of the five sites (Figure 2). Beaver Creek, WA—a first order watershed with a previously freshwater swamp in its lowlands that became exposed to seawater inundation 5 years before sampling due to culvert removal and an undisturbed (non-flooded) upland forest (W. Wang et al., 2019). Beaver Creek is located in western Washington state (Pacific Northwest coast ecoregion). The climate in this region typically consists of dry summers and cool, wet winters, with a mean annual temperature of 10.4°C and an average annual precipitation of 1,640 mm from September to May (W. Wang et al., 2019; Ward et al., 2019). The Beaver Creek site includes two gymnosperm species, *Picea sitchensis* and *Tsuga heterophylla*, with 18 trees sampled total (12x *P. sitchensis* and 6x *T. heterophylla*).

**2.2. Tree Density, Tree Gas and Soil Porewater Sampling Method**

Average green-stem wood density measurements were recorded with an absolute density calibrated Rinn-tech Resistograph® R650-Sc (Rinn et al., 1996). Stem density was integrated across the stem at breast height. To measure stem concentrations of pCH₄ and pCO₂, we followed a similar protocol to that of Covey et al. (2012). Individual trees were cored with a 12-mm Haglof increment borer in which a stainless-steel pipe (0.493” [12.5 mm] inner diameter and 43/64” outer diameter [17.06 mm]) was inserted with a rubber septum seal (Suba Seal®) equipped for repeated gas sampling. Tree stem greenhouse gas samples were extracted at breast height via gas-tight syringe and needle (25 ml). Stem O₂ was measured with a Fire Sting O₂ Optimal Oxygen Meter (Pyroscience, Bremen, Germany). Stem O₂ saturation was normalized to atmospheric O₂ (20.95%).

We followed the methodology from Ward et al. (2019) to measure CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations in the soil porewater at each site, using a headspace extraction method with porewater from the soil. A 60-cm long, 3.175-mm-diameter stainless steel probe (M.H.E. Products Push Point Research Samplers) with small openings at the tip was inserted into three random locations within at each plot. A 60-mL syringe with a two-way Luer-lock valve was then used to draw out 20 mL of porewater from the soil probe. We used an additional syringe and a three-way Luer-lock valve to transfer 40 mL of pure N₂ gas to the sampling syringe. The syringe was shaken for approximately 2 min to equilibrate the gases in the water sample, allowed to settle, and then 40 mL of the headspace was removed in the second 60-mL syringe. Roughly 100 mL of porewater
was collected prior to GHG sampling to rinse the sampling probe and discard initial water with high turbidity. Samples were collected immediately after this rinse step. Rinse steps occurred over 3x the volume of the total porewater collection volume. Using the same sampling probe, we also measured porewater salinity, temperature, and dissolved oxygen using a YSI Pro Plus multiparameter sonde. In sites where porewaters were unable to be extracted, a 60-mL gas sample was taken through the soil probe and soil oxygen was measured with the Fire Sting GO2 Optimal Oxygen Meter in the top 7 cm of soil.

Gas samples were collected into preevacuated glass vials and taken back to the lab for storage and analysis. Although gas samples were stored in gas tight vials, sample storage did not exceed 2-weeks from collection to analysis. Following the protocol described in Ward et al. (2019), gas samples were analyzed for the partial pressure of CO₂ and CH₄ (i.e., pGHG) by direct injection into a Picarro G2508 Cavity Ring-Down Spectrometer with a flow limiter installed on the inlet to reduce gas flow rates. Samples were diluted with N₂ when GHG levels were above the instrument's threshold. All concentration values are reported in µmol per liter of dry air (µmol L⁻¹). Porewater GHG values were corrected for dilution during headspace extraction and subsequent dilution prior to analysis based on the common gas law. Porewater gas concentrations were corrected for dissolution of gas in the water by converting to µmol L⁻¹ with Henry's law using temperature- and salinity-dependent coefficients (Wanninkhof, 2014):

\[
\ln(\beta) = A_1 + A_2 \times \left( \frac{100}{T} \right) + A_3 \times \ln\left( \frac{T}{100} \right) + S \times \left[ B_1 + B_2 \times \left( \frac{T}{100} \right) + B_3 \times \left( \frac{T}{100} \right)^2 \right]
\]  

(1)

where \( \beta \) is the dimensionless Bunsen solubility coefficient, \( T \) is the temperature in °Kelvin, and \( S \) is the salinity in parts per thousand (or practical salinity units). \( A_1, A_2, A_3, B_1, B_2, \) and \( B_3 \) are gas-specific coefficients reported in Wanninkhof (2014).

2.3. Seawater Exposure Identification

Seawater exposure was assigned qualitatively in the field via visual identification of individual trees in the flooded zone, and quantitatively in the lab via measurements of the concentration of porewater salinity in porewater. We identified trees in higher elevations (distal to shoreline), as locations that do not experience frequent seawater inundation events. Soil salinity, soil O₂, soil CO₂, and soil CH₄ were measured at the plot level for each site. Trees located within a tidal flood zone plots, with saline porewaters higher than 0.10 (psu), were characterized as seawater exposed (\( n = 74 \)). Trees classified as unexposed to seawater (\( n = 33 \)), could however include disturbances outside of the scope of this study (e.g., pest infestation, nutrient enrichment, etc.) (Table 1).

2.4. Statistical Analysis

All significant thresholds were set to \( p < 0.05 \) and adjusted using Benjamini-Hochberg p-value correction, with a confidence interval of 95%. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests and Spearman linear relationships were tested between groups, where groups consisted of flooding type (seawater exposure) and tree survival (living or dying), defined by canopy cover (W. Wang et al., 2019). Factorial analysis of mixed data types (FAMD) was used to explore unique data clusters based upon input variables (including both numerical and categorical data). The numerical input variables (\( n = 6 \)) include stem CO₂, stem CH₄, stem O₂, soil CH₄, soil CO₂, and soil O₂. The two categorical variables are seawater exposure and tree survival. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling with Euclidean distances was used to ordinate variables from the FAMD in 2-dimensional space (\( k = 2 \)). Twenty random restarts were used to find a solution for the Euclidean distances along two axes. Bootstrapping with 999 permutations was used for group significance tests (\( p < 0.01 \)). Homogeneity of the multivariate data was tested using analysis of variance for the output distances (\( p = 0.60 \)). Both numerical and categorical data used for interpretation in this manuscript can be found in Norwood (2020).

The R packages used for data interpretation are freely available in R package version 0.8.3 and R 3.5.2 (RStudio Team, 2017). Original and adapted R codes used to run statistics and make figures are found in Table S1. All statistical tests were performed using R version 3.5.2 (RStudio Team, 2017); ggplot2 version 3.2.1 was used to make Figures 3–5 (Wickham et al., ). Factorial analysis of mixed data was performed with the factoextra R package version 1.0.5 (Kassambara & Mundt, 2017). Nonmetric multidimensional scaling was performed with the vegan package 2.5–6 (Oksanen et al., 2019).
3. Results

3.1. Tree and Soil Mean Statistics

Mean stem wood density, stem CH$_4$, stem CO$_2$ and stem O$_2$ was significantly different across the three different species (Table 2). *P. sitchensis* had significantly higher stem wood density, stem CH$_4$, and significantly lower stem O$_2$ compared to the other two tree species (Table 2). Mean stem CH$_4$ was significantly higher for seawater exposed trees and dying trees (Table 2). Mean soil salinity, soil CH$_4$, soil CO$_2$ and soil O$_2$ were significantly different for exposure type and tree survival; with seawater exposure and dying trees experiencing higher soil salinity, soil CH$_4$, soil CO$_2$ and lower soil O$_2$ (Table 3).

3.2. Correlation Between Stem Wood Density, Stem GHGs, and Stem O$_2$

Seawater exposed trees had a significant positive linear relationship between stem CH$_4$ and stem wood density (Figure 3a & Table 3). Similarly, seawater exposed trees had a significant positive linear relationship between stem CO$_2$ and stem density (Figure 3b & Table 3). The linear relationship of both stem CH$_4$ and stem CO$_2$ has a significant negative linear relationship with stem O$_2$ for seawater exposed trees (Figures 3c and 3d & Table 3); with a significant negative linear relationship between stem CO$_2$ and O$_2$ (Figure 3d & Table 3).

Tree survival (living or dying) did not have a significant effect on the relationship between stem GHGs (CH$_4$ or CO$_2$) and stem wood density. Living and dying trees had a significant negative linear relationship between stem CH$_4$ and stem O$_2$; while only living trees had a significant negative linear relationship between stem CO$_2$ and stem O$_2$ (Figures 3e and 3f & Table 3).
3.3. Factorial Analysis of Mixed Data and Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling

Factorial Analysis of Mixed Data (FAMD) was performed to evaluate how both qualitative information (i.e., tree survival [living or dying] and seawater exposure [exposed or unexposed]) and quantitative data (i.e., stem CO$_2$, stem CH$_4$, stem O$_2$, soil O$_2$, soil CH$_4$, soil CO$_2$, and soil O$_2$) interact and cluster based on their main drivers (Figures 4a−4c). Dimensions one and two explained 70.32% of the variability in this data set (Figures 4a−4c). Dimension one explained 50.33% of the variation in our data, and was associated with soil O$_2$, soil CH$_4$, and soil CO$_2$; with soil O$_2$ having inverse relationship with soil GHGs along dimension one (Figure 4b). Dimension two explained 19.99% of the variation in our data, and was associated with stem O$_2$, stem CH$_4$, and stem CO$_2$; with stem O$_2$ having inverse relationship with stem GHGs along dimension two (Figure 4b). The categorical variables—tree survival (living or dying) and seawater exposure (exposed or unexposed)—were spread along dimensions one and two; with dying trees and seawater exposed trees clustering separately from unexposed-living trees (Figure 4c). Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was used to interpret multivariate data from the FAMD (i.e., seawater exposure, tree survival, stem CO$_2$, stem CH$_4$, stem O$_2$, soil CO$_2$, soil CH$_4$, and soil O$_2$). The NMDS model illustrates that there is a statistically significant difference between the seawater exposed gymnosperm trees and unexposed gymnosperm trees (Figure 5). The stress for the plot of variables in two-dimensions was 0.13 (Figure 5). Seawater exposure was a significant variable for stem and soil gases (CH$_4$, CO$_2$, and O$_2$ [p < 0.01]); while tree survival was not a significant variable (p = 0.18) (Figure 5).

Figure 4. Factorial Analysis of Mixed Data Map (a) and distribution of quantitative variables (b). The quantitative variables include log stem CO$_2$ (µmol L$^{-1}$), log stem CH$_4$ (µmol L$^{-1}$), stem O$_2$, soil O$_2$, log soil CH$_4$ (µmol L$^{-1}$), and log soil CO$_2$ (µmol L$^{-1}$). The categorical variables (c) include tree survival (living or dying) and seawater exposure (exposed or unexposed).

Figure 5. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of quantitative variables and qualitative variables used in FAMD.
4. Discussion

Trees may play an important role in global climate regulation by transporting greenhouse gases such as methane (CH$_4$) and carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), into the atmosphere. As seawater exposure only began in the last few decades (or less) for trees located within the coastal forest sites sampled in this study, trees were likely not well adapted to seawater conditions. Increased stem CH$_4$ is significantly correlated to increased wood density in seawater exposed trees (i.e., positive linear relationship), which may be linked to increased stem water content and decreased tracheid size increasing the gas diffusion barrier between internal stem CH$_4$ and atmospheric CH$_4$ (Ståhl, 1988) (Figure 3). Furthermore, seawater exposure is linked to tree survival (W. Wang et al., 2019) and increased stem CH$_4$ concentration, with higher soil salinities associated with decreased tree survival and increased soil CH$_4$ (i.e., positive linear relationship, Figure 1). Dying trees were significantly linked to high salinity and low O$_2$ soils (Table 3), highlighting seawater flooding impacts on coastal forest soils, tree survival, and GHGs. The compounding effects of seawater exposure on coastal forests GHG exchange are likely linked to both soil and tree eco-physical mechanisms; such as soil-tree-stem gas diffusion barriers and soil redox environments (Covey & Megonigal, 2019; Megonigal, 2020; Warner et al., 2017).

A consistent pattern that emerged from this broad survey of stem gas concentrations was the negative relationship between stem O$_2$ and stem GHGs (CO$_2$ and CH$_4$). We interpret this pattern to be a consequence of physical limitations to gas diffusion rates arising from wood anatomical features that affect the volume and connectivity of intercellular spaces, and the fraction of intercellular spaces filled with either water or air. Although stem gas abundance has been studied in tree stems (Hietala et al., 2015; Teskey et al., 2008), to our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the relationship of internal stem CH$_4$ and O$_2$ abundance in seawater exposed gymnosperm trees. Factors that decrease the diffusion of gases sourced from inside the stem—CO$_2$ and CH$_4$—will slow the efflux of these gases to the atmosphere and thereby increase their stem concentration. These same factors will slow the diffusion of gases sourced from the atmosphere—O$_2$—and thereby lower their stem concentration. This is consistent with the observation that tree stem emissions of soil-sourced radon peaked during daylight, when stem water content was at its daily minimum (Megonigal et al., 2020). Because radon is neither produced or destroyed in soils or tree stems, we concluded that stem water content was regulating stem emissions of radon, CO$_2$, and CH$_4$, all of which were positively correlated in angiosperm trees (Megonigal et al., 2020). Our observations indicate that stem water content and/or wood structure/anatomy may also regulate O$_2$ diffusion into gymnosperm stems, with consequences for the potential of the stem to support conditions for methanogenesis and methanotrophy.

Stem O$_2$ concentrations varied widely from anoxic to hypoxic to fully oxic, a pattern that held across all categories of trees (Figure 3). While this is consistent with previous observations of low stem O$_2$ concentra-
tions (Baxter et al.; Covey & Megenigal, 2019; Mugnai & Mancuso, 2010 and references therein), we show that trees subjected to seawater exposure also support a wide range of stem redox environments. Previous studies found that lowering stem O2 from 10% to 5% (v/v) resulted in a 25% reduction in respiration (Spicer & Holbrook, 2007), indicating that stem redox environments may control stem physiological function. Although we did not directly measure soil redox in this study, it is likely that seawater exposed trees and unexposed trees are rooted in a range of redox environments that may influence stem and soil gas exchange. This suggests that one of the most robust predictors of methanogenesis —O2 content—cannot be predicted from the categories of tree condition used here, although tree O2 was a good predictor of stem CH4 and CO2.

Seawater exposure has a significant effect on the amount of CH4 accumulated within tree stems (Table 2). Small differences in linear relationships between stem CO2 versus stem O2 for seawater exposure (Figure 3d), suggests that stem CO2 is tightly coupled to O2 concentrations within the stem. Teskey and Mcguire (2007) found that 34% of CO2 released by respiring cells within the xylem remained within the tree stem, while a portion of efflux CO2 from the stem to the atmosphere originated in the root system (Teskey & Mcguire, 2007). This indicates that stem CO2 increase is coupled to both soil and stem CO2 pools and that stem CO2 increase is likely governed by physiological tree mechanisms, such as internal stem respiration rates (Ryan et al., 1992).

Seawater exposure significantly increased stem CH4, soil CH4, soil CO2, and soil salinity. One explanation for this pattern is that high levels of soil water content lead to high levels of stem water content, which in turn cause low rates of gas diffusion out of both the soils and tree stems where they are produced. Furthermore, trees growing in wet soils could be venting soil produced CH4 from deeper soil layers, thereby bypassing zones of CH4 oxidation in shallower soil horizons (Gauci et al., 2019). Higher stem and soil CH4 in seawater exposed environments compared to unexposed environments suggests that this can occur even in locations where soil CH4 fluxes are expected to be low due to sulfate abundance (Poffenbarger et al., 2011; Seyfferth et al., 2020). Previous studies have shown that rotting wood has similar magnitudes of CH4 emissions as local soils, suggesting that buried rotting wood could switch soil CH4 oxidation to soil CH4 efflux (Warner et al., 2017). This could be an alternative explanation to high stem and soil CH4 in seawater exposed trees where trees are acting as a conduit for methylotrophic methanogenesis deeper in soils and transported to the tree stem via soil-root-stem pathway.

Stem variables show an orthogonal relation with soil variables, indicating that stem and soil variables are related to both seawater exposure and tree survival (Figure 4). Living and unexposed trees were strongly associated with soil and stem O2 (Figure 4), while tree stem and soil GHGs had a strong orthogonal relationship with dying and seawater exposed trees (Figure 4). This is likely related to lower rates of soil methanogenesis in unexposed soils, but possibly also due to an increase in sulfate reduction within seawater exposed topsoil and sulfate uptake by tree roots located within soil rhizome layers (Ahmed et al., 2019; Rennenberg, 1999).

Within anerobic environments, where SO4^{2-} and nitrate (NO3^-) are limited, the complete mineralization
of organic matter occurs via methanogenic fermentation (Le Mer & Roger, 2001; Megonigal et al., 2003). The link between soil CH4 and soil O2 is attributed to the soil redox environment, which reflects the favored substrates used for coupled reduction and oxidation processes in soil biogeochemical cycles. When soil CH4 production is favored there is typically a depletion of other electron donors such as O2, Fe3+, NO3−, and SO4^{2−}. In chronically seawater exposed environments (e.g., marshes), SO4^{2−} is a more thermodynamically favorable electron donor, however this is not straightforward in terms of sporadic seawater exposure where flooding occurs in association to storm events and extreme high tides (Osman, 2013).

We found that seawater exposure significantly affects the abundance of both soil and stem GHGs, with distinct and significant differences in the effects of seawater exposure on soil and tree GHGs (Figure 5). Further, seawater exposure significantly decreases tree survival, with increased soil salinities significantly correlated to tree survival (Table 2). Disturbances that increase seawater or freshwater flooding have the potential to increase stem CH4 emissions to the atmosphere through increased emissions from soil produced CH4, although major controls on stem gas emissions (i.e., gas diffusion barriers), may ultimately govern the magnitude of stem CH4 emissions. Increased transport and emissions of soil gas through tree stems is caused by a steeper soil-atmosphere CH4 concentration gradient and represents an increase in soil-tree-atmosphere coupling (Covey & Megonigal, 2019). Increased tree stem CH4 concentrations in flooded environments may potentially increase the magnitude of stem CH4 fluxes to the atmosphere from forest ecosystems (Pangala et al., 2013).

5. Conclusion

We found that seawater exposure is associated with increased soil and stem CH4 and decreased tree survival. Increased stem CH4 concentrations in response to coastal flooding suggests an increase in soil CH4 flux through stems, which would short-circuit soil CH4 oxidation and contribute to an overall increase in CH4 emissions from the coastal forest ecosystems such as those impacted by sea level rise (Figure 1). Tree stem wood density may be a governing mechanism on the accumulation of greenhouse gases within tree stems, with seawater exposed trees containing significantly higher concentrations of stem CH4 that is significantly correlated with increased wood density. Our results are based entirely on observational data, and thus these conclusions are tentative correlations only; confirmation and attribution of causation will require future testing in manipulative experiments. Future identification of at-risk coastal forests that will experience seawater exposure, and quantification of stem CH4 emissions within coastal forests will aid in refinement of baseline coastal forest CH4 fluxes to the atmosphere.
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