

Reports

---

9-2011

## Sea Level Rise: Local Fact Sheet for the Middle Peninsula, Virginia

William G. Reay  
*Virginia Institute of Marine Science*

Sandra Y. Erdle  
*Virginia Institute of Marine Science*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.wm.edu/reports>




Part of the [Natural Resources and Conservation Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Reay, W. G., & Erdle, S. Y. (2011) Sea Level Rise: Local Fact Sheet for the Middle Peninsula, Virginia. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, William & Mary. doi: 10.25773/d9j4-4n85

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reports by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@wm.edu](mailto:scholarworks@wm.edu).



# ***Sea Level Rise: Local Fact Sheet for the Middle Peninsula, Virginia***

## **Statement of the Problem**

A look at the geologic record of Chesapeake Bay shows a long and dynamic history - from the bolide (asteroid or comet) impact about 35 million years ago which formed the Chesapeake Bay impact crater, to the melting of glaciers beginning about 18,000 years ago, resulting in a continued rise of sea level and drowning of the Susquehanna River valley. Given that the rise in sea level has been occurring for thousands of years and is fundamental to the present formation of the Chesapeake Bay and our local tidal waters, why is there a recent heightened level of concern regarding this phenomenon? Concern is justified given that current and projected rates of sea level rise represent a significant increase over what we experienced during the last century. There is general consensus that rise in sea level will continue for centuries to come, and that human and natural communities within the Middle Peninsula will be vulnerable. Understanding the challenge is vital for local government to develop strategies to reduce the regions vulnerability to sea level rise.

## **Causes and Current Rates of Local Sea Level Rise**

Processes responsible for rising sea levels are complex. To help simplify the matter, it is useful to make a distinction between the concepts of eustatic and relative sea level (RSL) change. Eustatic change, which can vary over large spatial scales, describes sea level changes at the oceanic to global scale that result from changes in the volume of seawater or the ocean basins themselves. The two major processes responsible for eustatic change are the thermal expansion of seawater due to warming and the melting and discharge of continental ice (i.e., glaciers and ice sheets) into the oceans. The global average for current (2003-mid 2011) eustatic sea level change is 0.11 in/yr (2.8 mm/yr) (NOAA Laboratory for Satellite Altimetry) with estimates for the Chesapeake Bay region on the order of 0.07 in/yr (1.8 mm/yr; Boon et al. 2010) for the approximate same time period.




Coastal flooding at Gloucester Point during Hurricane Isabel, 2003. Photo credit: VIMS.

RSL change describes the observed change in water level at a particular location and represents the sum of eustatic sea level change and local vertical land movement (subsidence or uplift) at that location. Within the Chesapeake Bay region, land subsidence represents a significant component of RSL change. Processes contributing to land subsidence include tectonic (movement of the earth's crust) and man-induced impacts (e.g., groundwater withdrawal, hydrocarbon removal). During the last glacial period (maximum extent approximately 20,000 yr BP), the southern East Coast limit of the Laurentide ice sheet coincided with northern portions of Pennsylvania (Mickelson and Colgan 2003). As a consequence, land subsided under the ice load and, in turn, created a fore-bulge or upward displacement of lands south of the ice load. Upon retreat of the glacier, the land continued to redistribute, rebounding in previously glaciated areas and subsiding in the more southern forebulge region. Land subsidence rates on the order of 0.05-0.06 in/yr (1.2-1.4 mm/yr) are attributed to the postglacial forebulge collapse within the Bay region (Douglas 1991). It can take many thousands of years for impacted regions to reach isostatic equilibrium.

At a more local level, overdrafting of groundwater is a significant factor driving land subsidence rates. Within the Eastern Virginia Groundwater Management Area, large industrial and domestic use groundwater withdrawals from the Potomac aquifer series occur in the areas of Franklin, Suffolk and West Point, VA. Elevated subsidence rates, which integrate both regional and local causes, were first observed near the centers of large groundwater withdrawals through repetitive high-precision relevelings and analysis of tide records, and later through studies that directly measured aquifer system compaction. Land subsidence rates within the Middle Peninsula, based on releveling analysis, vary between 0.09-0.15 in/yr (2.4-3.8 mm/yr) with maximum values being observed at West Point (Holdahl and Morrison 1974; Davis 1987). Pope and Burbey (2004) reported average aquifer system compaction rates of 0.06 in/yr (1.5 mm/yr; 1979-1995) and 0.15 in/yr (3.7 mm/yr; 1982-1995) near the Franklin and Suffolk pumping centers, respectively, and that compaction appeared to correlate with groundwater withdrawal; West Point was not included as part of this study. It has been suggested that the Chesapeake Bay impact structure, whose outer rim traverses the lower Middle Peninsula (Powars and Bruce 1999) may contribute to local land subsidence. While observations suggest postimpact subsidence at a geologic scale (Johnson et al. 1998), present day influence is currently unknown.

RSL rise rates at the local level are derived from accurate time series of water level measurements spanning several decades or more. A recent analysis of tide gauge data by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science reported RSL rise rates ranging from 0.11-0.23 in/yr (2.9-5.8 mm/yr; period: 1976-2007; 10 stations) within the Chesapeake Bay region, with a number of the values representing the highest rates reported along the U.S. Atlantic coast (Boon et al. 2010). With respect to the Middle Peninsula, the two nearest stations located at Gloucester Point and Lewisetta, VA indicate current RSL rise rates of 0.17 (4.30 mm/yr) and 0.20 in/yr (5.15 mm/yr), respectively (see Figure 1). Although there are no additional adequate tidal records available for the Middle Peninsula's bordering rivers (i.e., York and Rappahannock Rivers), one would expect RSL rise rates to increase as one approached areas of elevated land subsidence such as West Point, VA. Based on land subsidence and eustatic sea level information, the RSL rise rate would be expected to be on the order of 0.22 in/yr (5.6 mm/yr) at or near West Point, VA.

Extrapolating current Gloucester Point and Lewisetta rates, RSL would increase by another 0.7-0.8 ft (21-25 cm) by 2050 and 1.4-1.7 ft (43-51 cm) by 2100; this represents a conservative and low-end estimate. There is growing concern that RSL rise rates will accelerate in the future with projections of sea level increases in the Bay region of approximately 2.3-5.3 ft (70-160 cm) by 2100 (Pyke et al. 2008).



**Figure 1.** RSL trends and 95% confidence intervals for Lewisetta, VA and Gloucester Point, VA (after removal of seasonal cycle and decadal signal) for the 1976-2007 period and location map for Chesapeake Bay National Water Level Observation Network stations (Boon et al. 2010; reprinted with permission).

### **Why You Should Care: Examples of Impending Risks**

Sea level rise, along with direct influences on inundation of low-lying lands, coastal erosion and flooding from storms, and saltwater intrusion into coastal freshwater/low salinity water bodies and groundwater aquifers represent significant threats to the people, public and private property, and natural resources of the Middle Peninsula.

- ***Increased Inundation and Land Conversion.***

The Middle Peninsula is rich in gently sloping, low elevation uplands and wetlands immediately adjacent to or in close proximity to tidal waters. Lands exhibiting these characteristics are at risk to increased frequency of high-tide flooding and gradual inundation from rising sea levels. Within the Middle Peninsula, vulnerable lands include but are not limited to New Point Comfort, Bohannon, Retz, Onemo, Diggs, Roane, Heart Quake Trail area, Deltaville, Locklies, West Point, Romancoke, Winona Park Road, Pamunkey Tribe Reservation, Ware Neck, Nexara, Guinea, Purtan Bay, Catlett Islands, Tappahannock, Gynnfield Subdivision, Lower Essex, Kendall Road, and Layton Peninsula (MPPDC, 2010).



Marsh regression into an adjacent low-lying pine forest on the York River. Photo credit: W. Reay.

In developed areas, the combined effect of rising sea level and water tables can have profound consequences on underground (e.g., onsite wastewater disposal systems, fuel storage tanks) and ground-level (e.g., building structures, roads, drainage ditches) infrastructure. In contrast to developed areas where some protection measures may be feasible, vast expanses of natural and agricultural areas will remain exposed to the consequences of a rising sea level. Tidal wetlands within the Middle Peninsula region are already responding to sea level rise and associated salt intrusion. Observed responses include elevated erosion rates, inundation of fringing marshes and marsh interiors, transgression of marshes into adjacent coastal forests, and conversion of freshwater to brackish water vegetation communities.

- ***Increased Storm Damage.*** Elevated sea levels will intensify storm impacts due to increases in damaging wave energy and risks of severe flooding further inland. Comparisons between two locally relevant storms whose storm surges peaked near high tide illustrate the impact of sea level rise on coastal flooding. The more powerful 1933 hurricane produced a storm surge 1.0 ft (0.3 m) greater than Hurricane Isabel in 2003, yet the high water mark or storm tide elevation (sum of storm surge and astronomical tide), was comparable to Hurricane Isabel's 7.9 ft (2.4 m) above mean lower low water. A rise in sea level over the 70 year period between storms, on the order of 1.0 ft (30 cm), is attributed to allowing the weaker storm to produce an equivalent storm tide (Boon 2005). In light of rising sea levels, significant property and infrastructure damage from erosion, wave action and flooding is likely to occur from severe storm events such as hurricanes and nor'easters, as well as less powerful storm systems.



Storm damage incurred on the York River during Hurricane Isabel, 2003. Photo credit: J. Rickards.

- ***Increased Saltwater Intrusion.*** Rising sea levels and associated saltwater intrusion can raise the salt content of Chesapeake Bay proper, its tidal tributaries and groundwater aquifers. Under various sea level rise scenarios ranging from 0.5-5.5 ft (18-167 cm), Hilton et al. (2008) estimated Chesapeake Bay salinity changes

of 0.4-12 by 2100. If such large-scale changes in Bay salinity are realized, both coastal natural resources and society would suffer. Saltwater intrusion is problematic for surface and groundwater domestic, irrigation and industrial water sources. In the Middle Peninsula, where nearly all water for domestic and business use is groundwater sourced, wells have already been contaminated by saltwater to the point of being unusable or requiring expensive reverse osmosis treatment (MPPDC 2010). In addition to saltwater intrusion into freshwater aquifer systems, inundation and storm induced flooding of wellheads and shallow wells can contaminate and jeopardize the dependability of wells and groundwater sources.

### **References and Pertinent Links**

Boon, J.D. 2005. Isabel's silent partners: Seasonal and secular sea level change. In: K.G. Sellner (ed.). Hurricane Isabel in Perspective. Chesapeake Research Consortium, CRC Publication 05-160, Edgewater, MD: pp. 49-56.

Boon, J.D., J.M. Brubaker and D.R. Forrest. 2010. Chesapeake Bay land subsidence and sea level change: An evaluation of past and present trends and future outlook. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Special Report No. 425 in Applied Marine Science and Ocean Engineering. Gloucester Point, VA. 41 pp. plus appendices.

Davis, G.H. 1987. Land subsidence and sea level rise on the Atlantic Coastal Plain of the United States. Environmental Geology and Water Science 10(2): 67-80.

Douglas, B.C. 1991. Global sea level rise. Journal of Geophysical Research 96(C4): 6981-6992.

Hilton, T.W., R.G. Najjar, L. Zhong and M. Li. 2008. Is there a signal of sea level rise in Chesapeake Bay salinity. Journal of Geophysical Research 113, C09002, doi: 10.1029/2007JC004247.

Holdahl, S.R. and N.L. Morrison. 1974. Regional investigations of vertical crustal movements in the U.S., using precise leveling and mareograph data. Technophysics 23: 373-390.

Johnson, G.H., S.E. Kruse, A.W. Vaughn, J.K. Lucey, C.H. Hobbs III and D.S. Powars. 1998. Postimpact deformation associated with the late Eocene Chesapeake Bay impact structure in southeastern Virginia. Geology 26(6): 507-510.

Mickelson, D.M. and P.M. Colgan, P.M. 2003. The southern Laurentide Ice Sheet in the United States. In: Gillespie, A.R. and S. Porter (eds.). Quaternary History of the United States. International Quaternary Association (INQUA) Special Volume for 2003 International Meeting in Reno, NV., p. 1-16.

Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission (MPPDC) 2010. Middle Peninsula climate change adaptation. An assessment of potential anthropogenic and ecological impacts of climate change on the Middle Peninsula. Report for DEQ, Coastal Zone Management Program. 90 pp.

NOAA Laboratory for Satellite Altimetry, [http://www.eo handbook.com/eo hb2008/casestudy\\_sea.html](http://www.eo handbook.com/eo hb2008/casestudy_sea.html).

Pope, J.P. and T.J. Burbey. 2004. Multiple aquifer characterization from single borehole extensometer records. Ground Water 41(1): 45-58.

Powars, D.S. and T.S. Bruce. 1999. The effects of the Chesapeake Bay impact crater on the geological framework and correlation of geohydrologic units of the lower York-James Peninsula, Virginia. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1612.

Pyke, C.R., R.G. Najjar, M.B. Adams, D. Breitburg, M. Kemp, C. Hershner, R. Howarth, M. Mulholland, M. Paolisso, D. Secor, K. Sellner, D. Wardrop, and R. Wood. 2008. Climate change and the Chesapeake Bay: State-of-the-science review and recommendations. A Report from the Chesapeake Bay Program Science and Technical Advisory Committee (STAC), Annapolis, MD. 59 pp.

Prepared by:

William G. Reay, Ph.D., CBNERRVA, Virginia Institute of Marine Science and Sandra Y. Erdle, CBNERRVA, Virginia Institute of Marine Science. September 2011.



This information project was funded, in part, by the Virginia Coastal Zone Management Program at the Department of Environmental Quality through Grant #NA FY10NOS4190205 of the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended.