

W&M ScholarWorks

# **VIMS Articles**

Virginia Institute of Marine Science

2021

# Large-scale variation in wave attenuation of oyster reef living shorelines and the influence of inundation duration

Rebecca L. Morris

Megan K. LaPeyre

Bret M. Webb

Donna M. Bilkovic Virginia Institute of Marine Science

et al

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles

Part of the Natural Resources and Conservation Commons

# **Recommended Citation**

Morris, Rebecca L.; LaPeyre, Megan K.; Webb, Bret M.; Bilkovic, Donna M.; and et al, Large-scale variation in wave attenuation of oyster reef living shorelines and the influence of inundation duration (2021). *Ecological Applications*. doli: 10.1002/eap.2382

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in VIMS Articles by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

# Large-scale variation in wave attenuation of oyster reef living shorelines and the influence of inundation duration

3 Rebecca L. Morris<sup>1</sup>, Megan K. La Peyre<sup>2</sup>, Bret M. Webb<sup>3</sup>, Danielle A. Marshall<sup>4</sup>, Donna M.

4 Bilkovic<sup>5</sup>, Just Cebrian<sup>6</sup>, Giovanna McClenachan<sup>7,8</sup>, Kelly M. Kibler<sup>9</sup>, Linda J. Walters<sup>7</sup>, David

5 Bushek<sup>10</sup>, Eric L. Sparks<sup>11,12</sup>, Nigel A. Temple<sup>11</sup>, Joshua Moody<sup>13</sup>, Kory Angstadt<sup>5</sup>, Joshua

6 Goff<sup>14</sup>, Maura Boswell<sup>15</sup>, Paul Sacks<sup>7</sup>, and Stephen E. Swearer<sup>1</sup>

7

<sup>1</sup>National Centre for Coasts and Climate, School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, 8 VIC 3010, Australia; <sup>2</sup>U.S. Geological Survey, Louisiana Cooperative Fish and Wildlife 9 Research Unit, School of Renewable Natural Resources, Louisiana State University Agricultural 10 Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Civil, Coastal & Environmental 11 Engineering, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36688, USA; <sup>4</sup>School of Renewable 12 Natural Resources, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, 13 USA; <sup>5</sup>Virginia Institute of Marine Science, William & Mary, Gloucester Point, VA 23062, 14 USA; <sup>6</sup>Northern Gulf Institute, Mississippi State University, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529, 15 USA; <sup>7</sup>Department of Biology and National Center for Integrated Coastal Research, University 16 of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA; 8Department of Biological Sciences, Nicholls State 17 University, Thibodaux, LA 70301, USA; 9Department of Civil, Environmental & Construction 18 Engineering and National Center for Integrated Coastal Research, University of Central Florida, 19 Orlando, FL 32816, USA; <sup>10</sup>Haskin Shellfish Research Laboratory, Rutgers University, Port 20 Norris, NJ 08349, USA; <sup>11</sup>Coastal Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, 21 Biloxi, MS 39532, USA; <sup>12</sup>Mississippi-Alabama Sea Grant Consortium, Ocean Springs, MS 22 39564, USA; <sup>13</sup>Partnership for Delaware Estuary, Wilmington, DE 19801, USA; <sup>14</sup>Dauphin 23

24	Island Sea Lab, Dauphin Island, AL 36528, USA; <sup>15</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental
25	Engineering, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA.
26	
27	Corresponding Author:
28	Rebecca Morris, Email rebecca.morris@unimelb.edu.au, Tel. +61 4 2339 2882
29	
30	
31	Running headline: Oyster reefs and coastal defence
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	

### 45 Abstract

One of the paramount goals of oyster reef living shorelines is to achieve sustained and adaptive 46 coastal protection, which requires meeting ecological (i.e., develop a self-sustaining oyster 47 population) and engineering (i.e., provide coastal defence) targets. In a large-scale comparison 48 along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts of the United States, the efficacy of various designs of oyster 49 reef living shorelines at providing wave attenuation was evaluated accounting for the ecological 50 limitations of oysters with regards to inundation duration. A critical threshold for intertidal oyster 51 52 reef establishment is 50% inundation duration. Living shorelines that spent less than half of the 53 time (< 50%) inundated were not considered suitable habitat for oysters, however, were effective at wave attenuation (68% reduction in wave height). Reefs that experienced > 50% inundation 54 55 were considered suitable habitat for oysters, but wave attenuation was similar to controls (no 56 reef; ~5% reduction in wave height). Many of the oyster reef living shoreline approaches 57 therefore failed to optimize the ecological and engineering goals. In both inundation regimes, 58 wave transmission decreased with an increasing freeboard (difference between reef crest elevation and water level), supporting its importance in the wave attenuation capacity of oyster 59 60 reef living shorelines. However, given that the reef crest elevation (and thus freeboard) should be 61 determined by the inundation duration requirements of oysters, research needs to be re-focused on understanding the implications of other reef parameters (e.g. width) for optimising wave 62 attenuation. A broader understanding of the reef characteristics and seascape contexts that result 63 in effective coastal defence by oyster reefs is needed to inform appropriate design and 64 implementation of oyster-based living shorelines globally. 65

Keywords: coastal management; coastal erosion; nature-based coastal defence; shorelineprotection; wave transmission

### 68 Introduction

Oyster reefs are highly valued as a fishery resource and as biogenic habitat for a diverse suite of 69 70 marine species (Grabowski et al. 2012, Cohen and Humphries 2017). Their ecological and socioeconomic worth has driven extensive oyster reef restoration, in response to widespread declines 71 in oyster populations (85% functionally extinct; Beck et al. 2011). Recently, there has been 72 increased interest in constructing or restoring oyster reefs for living shoreline applications to 73 74 stem erosion (Piazza et al. 2005; Bilkovic et al. 2016). Living shorelines are engineered 75 structures primarily composed of natural materials that can be used as an alternative to other 76 "harder" engineered structures, such as seawalls and rock revetments, which are environmentally (Bulleri and Chapman 2010) and economically (Hinkel et al. 2014) costly. Oyster reefs can alter 77 78 hydrodynamic conditions in estuarine systems through increasing bed friction (Wright et al. 79 1990, Whitman and Reidenbach 2012, Styles 2015, Kitsikoudis et al. 2020), facilitating wave 80 attenuation (Manis et al. 2015) and accreting sediment on the leeward side of the reef (Salvador 81 de Paiva et al. 2018, Chowdhury et al. 2019). This will become particularly relevant in the future as increased risk of climate change-related erosion and flooding to burgeoning human 82 83 populations along the coast (Young et al. 2011, Neumann et al. 2015, Meucchi et al. 2020) will 84 result in an increased need for investment in coastal protection infrastructure, and the development of adaptive and sustainable approaches to shoreline protection (Morris et al. 2020). 85 Traditional coastal defence structures (e.g., seawalls, breakwaters) have usually 86 undergone extensive numerical and physical modelling to identify the important design 87 parameters and their performance under various environmental conditions (e.g. wave heights, 88 water depths). Low crested breakwaters are constructed at or below the water level (i.e., 89 submerged), and can inform wave transmission at oyster reef living shorelines. In low crested 90

91	breakwaters, wave transmission is most sensitive to the depth of breakwater submergence, the
92	incident wave height, and the crest width (Seabrook and Hall, 1998; van der Meer et al. 2005).
93	Wave transmission increases with increased submergence, increased incident wave height, and
94	decreased crest width (Seabrook and Hall, 1998; van der Meer et al. 2005). Crest width becomes
95	particularly important as submergence increases, whereas freeboard (difference between reef
96	crest elevation and water level) has a larger effect when submergence is reduced (Seabrook and
97	Hall, 1998). Secondarily, the period of the incident wave, the breakwater armour dimensions (in
98	the case of a rubble mound structure), and the breakwater slope have small effects on wave
99	transmission (Seabrook and Hall 1998).
100	Similar to breakwater construction, the creation of an oyster reef living shoreline begins
101	with the placement of reef substratum such as oyster shell, pre-cast concrete structures, or
102	crushed limestone (Hernandez et al. 2018, Morris et al. 2019a) for oyster colonisation. Physical
103	modelling of the reef substrate agrees with findings from low-crested breakwaters that the
104	freeboard, crest width and incident wave height are key parameters for wave transmission (Allen
105	and Webb 2011, Webb and Allen 2015, Coghlan et al. 2016). This pattern of wave attenuation as
106	a function of water depth in relation to the crest elevation has also been confirmed in field
107	studies (Chauvin 2018, MacDonald 2018, Wiberg et al. 2018, Chowdhury et al. 2019, Zhu et al.
108	2020, Spiering et al. in revision). While this research has clearly shown that a smaller
109	submergence results in greater wave attenuation by oyster reefs, these findings do not take into
110	account oyster habitat requirements, a necessary consideration for the appropriate application of
111	oyster reef-based living shorelines.
112	Unlike static structures, the vertical reef building capacity of oysters makes them a

113 candidate for creating dynamic structures (Mitchell and Bilkovic 2019). Oyster reefs exhibit a

natural resilience and adaptive capacity to recover quickly from major storm events (Livingston 114 et al. 1999) and are capable of accreting at a rate necessary to maintain elevation in areas facing 115 sea-level rise (Rodriguez et al. 2014) or local subsidence (Casas et al. 2015). A key variable that 116 affects the recruitment, survival, and growth of oyster reefs is the duration of inundation (Table 117 1), which is a function of the absolute elevation of the reef and the tidal range. The lower 118 119 elevation threshold of intertidal oysters is commonly determined by increased biofouling, predation, competition, or sedimentation in the subtidal (Fodrie et al. 2014, Solomon et al. 2014), 120 whereas the maximum elevation of oysters in the intertidal is driven by availability of filter 121 122 feeding time and exposure to extreme temperature stress. The optimum inundation duration, therefore, is a trade-off among these limiting factors. The inundation duration has been 123 reasonably well-studied for the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) in some locations along the 124 east coast of the United States (Table 1). This species is generally found at 60-80% inundation, 125 with lower and upper boundaries at 50% and 95% inundation, respectively (Fodrie et al. 2014; 126 127 Byers et al. 2015; Ridge et al. 2014, 2017; Solomon et al. 2014; Marshall and La Peyre, 2020; Table 1). Thus, for intertidal oysters, constructing a reef base at an elevation that spends more 128 than 50% of the time inundated is critical for oyster establishment. Consequently, there is a 129 130 dichotomy between the reef elevation for optimal engineering design and habitat provisioning for oysters. 131

As efforts to characterise wave attenuation by oyster reef living shorelines are growing, the aim of this paper is to assess whether observed trends in oyster reef wave attenuation apply across different environments and reef types using data across a large spatial scale. Further, we consider wave transmission alongside the ecological limitations for oysters to characterize the expected balance between effective wave attenuation and likelihood of reef persistence. Wave

attenuation was measured at 15 oyster reef living shoreline-control pairs in five locations (New 137 Jersey/Delaware, Virginia, Florida, Alabama and Louisiana) along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts 138 of the United States. At each location we assessed the effects of oyster reef living shorelines 139 compared to controls (no reef) on wave attenuation relative to the inundation duration of the reef. 140 It was predicted that: (1) wave transmission would be greater at oyster reefs with an inundation 141 142 duration of > 50% compared with < 50%; (2) for oyster reefs with an inundation duration of >50%, wave attenuation would increase with width; and (3) there would be a difference in wave 143 transmission between shell-based and concrete-based oyster reefs. Furthermore, at the Virginia 144 and Florida reefs, we compared the wave height attenuation of oyster reef living shorelines to 145 rock sills and natural unrestored oyster reefs, respectively. 146

147

# 148 Methods

#### 149 Study locations

The fifteen oyster reef living shoreline (hereafter, "oyster reef")-control pairs (Fig. 1) were 150 selected to cover the diversity of techniques commonly employed, which varied within and 151 among states in terms of age, materials, and size (Table 2; Appendix S1: Table S1). The wave 152 climate in the offshore waters at each location was observed at the NDBC (National Data Buoy 153 Center) stations (Appendix S1: Fig. S1), and the wind field was observed at the closest NOAA 154 155 (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) climate station (Appendix S1: Fig. S1) over a two-year period from 2017 - 2018 and during the study period at each location (one week). 156 Wind fetch distances were calculated for each site using fetchR (Seers 2018). 157

Study sites at Nantuxent (NJ1; 39.2848, -75.2361) and Gandy's Beach (NJ2; 39.2789, -160 161 75.2430) were located on the western shore of New Jersey; the site at Mispillion (NJ3; 38.9477, -75.3149) was located on the eastern shore of Delaware, in Delaware Bay (Table 2). In 2016, nine 162 shell bag oyster reefs were installed at Gandy's Beach on land owned by The Nature 163 Conservancy, and a series of Oyster Castles® were installed at Nantuxent next to Money Island 164 Marina (The Nature Conservancy 2017). These sites have high value, both economically (Money 165 166 Island Marina was the off-load point for the NJ commercial oyster fleet) and environmentally 167 (Gandy's Beach is a nesting site for horseshoe crabs and a feeding ground for the migrating red knot). Oyster Castles<sup>®</sup> were also installed at the mouth of the Mispillion River, immediately 168 169 adjacent to the DuPont Nature Center (Moody et al. 2016), situated across the river from a large breakwater present on the bay-side. This site is a common feeding area for red knots during their 170 171 spring/summer migration, is home to one of a few naturally occurring intertidal oyster reefs in 172 Delaware, and the aim was to expand the natural oyster reef to stabilize eroding saltmarsh. 173 The tides in Delaware Bay are semi-diurnal, and the mean tidal range is 1.7 m (NOAA 174 station 8535055; Table 2). In the offshore waters, the predominant wave direction is from the 175 east and south-east, with an average significant wave height of 1.05 m from this direction in the period 2017 – 2018, and 0.83 m during the study period (Appendix 1: Fig. S2). The predominant 176 wind direction is from the west, where the greatest wind speeds were recorded during the study 177 178 period (Appendix 1: Fig. S3). This corresponded to the direction with the largest fetch distances at NJ1 and NJ2 (Appendix S1: Table S1). During the deployment at NJ3, wind speeds were low 179  $(< 4 \text{ ms}^{-1})$  from the east and south. 180

181

183	Diggs (VA3; 37.4473, -76.2605) was located in Chesapeake Bay and Laws (VA1;
184	36.8973, -76.2721) and Captain Sinclair (VA2; 37.3245, -76.4275) were located in two sub-
185	estuaries of Chesapeake Bay, the Lafayette River and Mobjack Bay, respectively (Table 2). The
186	oyster reefs were constructed in $2016 - 2017$ as erosion control for private waterfront properties
187	and were made of Ready Reef, Oyster Castles® and bagged shell for Diggs, Laws, and Captain
188	Sinclair, respectively. At all of the sites, there was also a section of shoreline protected by a rock
189	sill with saltmarsh.
190	The tides in Chesapeake Bay are semi-diurnal and the mean tidal range is 0.7 m (NOAA
191	station 8637689; Table 2). In the offshore waters, the predominant wave direction is from the
192	east and south-east, with an average significant wave height of 0.93 m from this direction in the
193	period 2017 – 2018, and 0.68 m during the study period (Appendix 1: Fig. S2). A southerly wind
194	was predominant during the study period (Appendix 1: Fig. S3), which corresponded to the
195	direction of the highest fetch at VA1 and VA2 (Appendix S1: Table S1). Although, the strongest
196	wind (above 8 m s <sup>-1</sup> ) was recorded from the north during the study, the direction with the largest
197	fetch at VA3 (Appendix S1: Fig. S3; Table S1).

# 199 *Florida*

Florida sites were located on the east coast of Central Florida in Mosquito Lagoon, which encompasses the northernmost section of the Indian River Lagoon system (Table 2). The tides are semi-diurnal and the mean tidal range is 0.3 m (NOAA station 8721222; Table 2). The Indian River Lagoon System is long (195 km), shallow (1-3 m) and narrow (2-4 km), making it extremely fetch-limited (Appendix S1: Table S1) and only persistent south-east or north-west

205	winds tend to cause flooding and erosion (Colvin et al. 2018). During the study the predominant
206	winds were from the south and southwest (Appendix 1: Fig. S3). In the offshore waters, the
207	predominant wave direction is from the east and northeast, with an average significant wave
208	height of 1.18 m from this direction in the period $2017 - 2018$ , and 0.48 m during the study
209	period (Appendix 1: Fig. S2).
210	The oyster reefs Mosquito (FL1; 25.9589, -80.8746), Hallmark (FL2; 28.9684, -80.8803)
211	and Pufferfish (FL3; 28.9699, -80.8818) were oyster reef restoration projects constructed in
212	2010, 2017 and 2016, respectively using the oyster mat method (oyster shells attached to
213	aquaculture grade mesh; www.restoreourshores.org). The oyster reefs were restored on the
214	historic footprint of degraded natural reefs, and at all sites there were natural unrestored oyster
215	reefs adjacent to the oyster reef living shoreline.

217 Alabama

Alabama study sites were located in Portersville Bay; Northeastern Point aux Pines (AL1; 30.3881, -88.2943) was on the north-eastern portion of a peninsula in the bay (Sharma et al. 2016), while Coffee Island 1 and 2 (AL2, AL3; 30.3428, -88.2552) were on the eastern shoreline of Coffee Island (or Isle aux Herbes) (Table 2). The Point aux Pines reef was constructed in 2009 comprising three 25 m units of loose shell. The Coffee Island reefs, constructed in 2010, were made of experimental units of bagged shell, ReefBLK<sup>SM</sup> and Reef Ball<sup>TM</sup>, the latter two were used in this study (Heck et al. 2012).

The tides in Portersville Bay are diurnal and the mean tidal range is 0.4 m (NOAA station 8735180; Table 2). In the offshore waters, the predominant wave direction is from the south and south-east, with an average significant wave height of 0.89 m from this direction in the period

228 2017 - 2018, and 0.57 m during the study period (Appendix 1: Fig. S2). The most persistent 229 winds during the study were from the east and south-east (Appendix 1: Fig. S3), which also 230 corresponded to the direction of greatest fetch at these sites (i.e., south and east; Appendix S1: 231 Table S1). The small percentage of wind events > 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> from the south/east direction were not 232 captured in this study, which likely result in the greatest wave events at these sites.

233

234 Louisiana

The sites were in the Biloxi Marsh estuary in Eloi Bay (LA1, LA2; 29.7760, -89.4071) 235 and Lake Athanasio (LA3; 29.7459, -88.4688) in southeastern Louisiana (Table 2). This location 236 has diurnal tides with a mean tidal range of 0.4 m (NOAA station 8761305; Table 2). In Eloi 237 238 Bay, the living shoreline was constructed by the Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana (CPRA) in 2016 to reduce wave energy in order to minimize adjacent marsh erosion 239 and provide a platform for oysters to grow on. A coastal engineering analysis based on wave 240 241 attenuation and stability was used to determine the final living shoreline design, which incorporated multiple bioengineered designs, including Wave Attenuation Devices (WAD<sup>®</sup>) and 242 ShoreJAX<sup>TM</sup>, which were used in this study (Carter et al. 2016). At Lake Athanasio an 243 Oysterbreak<sup>TM</sup> shoreline protection reef was built by The Nature Conservancy in 2011. Wave 244 data for the period 2017 – 2018 were not available for these sites, however, modelling by CHE 245 (2014) showed that the annual average wave height at the CPRA reefs between 1980 - 2012 was 246 247 0.43 m (Appendix 1: Fig. S2). Relatively low wind speeds (< 6 ms<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded predominantly from the northwest and west during the study. The largest fetch distances are 248 from the south and east at the sites in this location, which was the prevailing wind direction 249 250 during 2017 – 2018 (Appendix S1: Table S1, Fig. S2).

# 252 Data collection

253 Wave loggers (RBR<sup>®</sup> solo D wave; hereafter RBRs) were deployed for 48 hours (36 hrs for NJ2, NJ3 and FL2 due to tide times and distance to travel between sites) at each reef, rotated over 5 254 weeks in June - July 2018. At each site four RBRs were deployed at a control (no reef) and 255 ovster reef treatment; one each placed offshore and onshore of the control or reef area ( $\sim 2-5$  m 256 257 from the on- and off- shore reef edge; Fig. 1b). The control was selected to be as close to the reef as possible (site dependent; a minimum of  $\sim 10$  m), yet outside the reef zone of wave influence, 258 259 maintaining similar shoreline characteristics (e.g. vegetation, substrate type), orientation and fetch. The RBRs were attached with cable ties to a metal or PVC pole that was hammered into 260 261 the seabed and the transect length between the onshore and offshore RBRs at each treatment was 262 measured. The RBRs were programmed using the software Ruskin (v1.13.12; mode = wave; 263 frequency = 1 Hz; duration = 1024; burst rate = 1 hour) to collect wave data (significant wave 264 height, H<sub>s</sub>, in metres and associated period, T, in seconds). The wave data collected is assumed to be primarily wind-driven, however, boat wakes may also be important wave sources in some 265 266 locations (Garvis 2009) and could have contributed to the wave heights in this study. 267 At LA1 and LA2, five RBRs were deployed: two placed onshore and offshore of the control and three placed around two replicate reefs (two onshore of each reef and one offshore of 268 the reefs). A different set-up was used due to the difficulty of returning to the sites over multiple 269 270 days to rotate the RBRs (5 RBRs were the maximum we had available). As the reefs were aligned with a similar orientation along the shoreline, we assumed that the offshore wave energy 271 would be consistent between reefs. There was no significant difference between the wave heights 272

recorded at the offshore RBR for the control and reef treatments ( $t_{(37)} = -1.1996$ , P > 0.05), providing further support of this assumption.

Ten photo-quadrats (0.09 m<sup>2</sup>) were taken of each reef at New Jersey, Delaware, Virginia and Florida and the percentage cover of oysters was calculated using 25 random points assigned using the program CPCe4.1 (Kohler and Gill, 2006). The percentage cover of oysters could not be quantified at Alabama or Louisiana as water levels were too high during the sampling period and the water too turbid to take photo-quadrats. The size of the reef (length, width, height) and distance from shoreline was either measured in the field during RBR deployment or determined from aerial imagery using ArcGIS. All reefs were positioned parallel to the shore.

In Virginia and Florida, rock sills and natural oyster reefs were added as an additional 282 treatment to the experimental design, respectively. In Virginia, rock sills were present at all sites 283 adjacent to the oyster reef living shoreline, and two additional RBRs were positioned onshore 284 and offshore of the structure at the same time as the oyster reef and control treatments, as before. 285 286 Unfortunately, one RBR was lost in a storm during the last deployment in Virginia, which left five for deployment in Florida. Therefore, in Florida one RBR was placed onshore of the natural 287 ovster reefs, and the offshore wave height was assumed to be the same as that for the ovster reef 288 289 living shoreline, as before. At all sites, the natural oyster reef was directly in line and adjacent to the oyster reef living shoreline. There was, however, a significant difference in the wave heights 290 291 recorded between the offshore RBR for the control and oyster reef living shoreline treatments 292  $(t_{(122)} = -3.9571, P < 0.001)$ , although the mean  $\pm$  SE was similar for both treatments  $(0.01 \pm$ 0.001 m). 293

294

#### 295 Wave analysis

The absolute pressure values recorded by the RBRs were converted to gauge pressure 296 297 using atmospheric pressure data obtained from the closest weather stations to each site (Appendix S1: Fig. S1; Morris et al. 2019b). Wave data were post-processed to account for 298 shoaling and breaking, where appropriate, using the method detailed in Haynes (2018) and 299 (Morris et al. 2019b). Water densities were calculated using the Thermodynamic Equation of 300 301 Seawater – 2010 (TEOS-10; IOC et al. 2010), using the known salinity at each location and 302 water temperatures obtained from World Sea Temperatures (www.seatemperature.org). The 303 corrected pressure data were then converted to water depth using this calculated water density 304 (Eq. 1),

$$d = \frac{P}{\rho_w g} \qquad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

where *d* is the water depth, *P* is the pressure,  $\rho_w$  is the density of water, and *g* is the acceleration due to gravity.

The water levels were linearly detrended to remove low-frequency signal, which provided an average water depth for each burst (of 1024 samples per hour, as above) and a zeroaverage input for Fast-Fourier-Transform. A pressure response factor,  $K_p$ , was determined for each frequency bin of the Fast-Fourier-Transform (Eq. 2; Kamphuis 2010),

312 
$$K_p = \frac{\cosh(k(d+z))}{\cosh(kd)}$$
 (Eq. 2)

where *k* is the wave number, *d* is the water depth, and *z* is the logger level from the surface. The wave energy density spectrum was then corrected for depth by dividing it by the pressure response factor squared. The output wave energy density spectrum was divided into sea (1 to 10 s period) and swell (10 to 20 s period) components (USACE 1984). Significant wave heights for each logger (H<sub>s</sub>; using the zeroth-moment wave height) were determined from the
wave spectrum (Eq. 3; Moeller et al. 1996),

319 
$$H_s = 4\sqrt{E_{total}/(\rho_w g)}$$
 (Eq. 3)

where  $E_{total}$  is the total energy defined as the integral of the wave energy density spectrum. The wave period corresponding to the significant wave height, T<sub>1/3</sub>, was approximated as 1.2  $T_{m01}$ , where  $T_{m01}$  is the zero-crossing period (Eq. 4; Goda 2010),

323 
$$T_{m01} = \sqrt{m_0/m_2}$$
 (Eq. 4)

where  $m_0$  and  $m_2$  are the zeroth and second moments of the wave energy density spectrum, respectively. Linear wave theory was used to calculate wave length, celerity and group velocity, based on wave conditions at the offshore logger and assuming wave period did not change as the wave approached shore. Wave celerity at the onshore RBR within each treatment at a site was estimated based on Hunt (1979). This was used to calculate the shoaling coefficient (Eq. 5; Haynes 2018),

$$K_s = \sqrt{C_{g_off}/C_{g_on}}$$
(Eq. 5)

where  $C_{g\_off}$  is the offshore RBR wave group celerity, and  $C_{g\_on}$  is the onshore RBR wave group celerity. Predicted onshore wave heights were generated to account for shoaling (Eq. 6) and breaking (using the co-efficient of 0.78 multiplied by the depth at the onshore gauge; Haynes 2018),

$$H_{s\_pred} = H_{s\_off} K_s$$
 (Eq. 6)

where  $H_{s_pred}$  is the predicted wave height and  $H_{s_off}$  is the offshore wave height. The wave transmission coefficient was defined as the ratio of measured to predicted wave height (Eq. 7; Haynes 2018), where the predicted wave height was the limiting of the shoaling or breaking wave height,

$$K_t = H_{s on}/H_{s pred}$$
(Eq. 7)

341 where H<sub>s on</sub> is the recorded wave height at the onshore RBR. The wave transmission coefficient accounts for potential changes in wave height due to shoaling and breaking, but not other 342 343 processes that could not be controlled for in this study (e.g., refraction and diffraction). All 344 processing was done in MATLAB (MathWorks 1996) and resulted in hourly data for water 345 depth, significant wave height at each RBR, wave period and the wave transmission coefficient 346 during the period the RBRs were underwater (i.e. only at high tide for most locations). The freeboard (m) was calculated as the reef height minus the water depth. The 347 inundation duration was calculated as the percentage of time the entire reef was submerged (i.e., 348 the freeboard had a negative value) during the study period. The inundation period during the 349 study was compared to longer-term data using water levels at nearby USGS gauges (NOAA tides 350 351 and currents for Alabama; Appendix S1: Fig. S1). The difference between the reef crest elevation 352 and water level relative to NAVD88 was used to calculate the percentage of time the crest of the reef was inundated. The reefs were categorised into more or less than 50% inundated; this 353 threshold was chosen as the lower limit of inundation for C. virginica (Table 1). Regression 354 355 slopes between onshore measured and predicted significant wave heights were compared for controls, and oyster reefs based on inundation duration, width and construction material. Further 356 the wave heights were compared at controls, oyster reefs and either rock sills or natural oyster 357 reefs, at Virginia and Florida respectively. The effect of location (fixed, 3 levels: New Jersey, 358 Virginia, Florida), inundation duration (fixed, percentage), and age (fixed, years) on the 359 percentage cover of oysters was tested using a linear mixed effects model, with site nested in 360 location included as a random factor on log transformed data. A likelihood ratio test comparing 361

the model with and without site was used to obtain a p-value for this random effect. All analyseswere done in R 3.4.0 (R Core Team 2017).

364

### 365 Results

Significant wave heights recorded at the sites ranged from 0 - 0.35 m during the study period 366 367 (Fig. 2a). Average water depth between the gauge pairs ranged from 0.16 - 2.35 m (Fig. 2b), after reef emersion time was truncated from each data set (i.e., low tide). The NJ2 site 368 experienced the greatest depth of inundation (freeboard = -1.88 m) due to a combination of the 369 370 low height of this reef and New Jersey experiencing the greatest tidal range (Table 2), with a potential contribution of the greater wave heights recorded during the study period (Fig. 2a). The 371 LA1 and LA2 sites experienced the least inundation (freeboard = 0.86 m), with the crests 372 exposed 100% of the time (Table 2). The average freeboard of all reefs is listed in Appendix 1: 373 Table S1. 374

375 Three out of the 15 reefs had an inundation duration of less than 50% (FL1, LA1, LA2), while the other 12 reefs were inundated more than 50% of the time and considered to be within 376 the tolerable aerial exposure limits for C. virginica (Table 1). Two reefs were fully inundated 377 378 during the study (AL1, AL2; Table 1, Fig. 2b). The categorisation of the reefs based on the measured study conditions aligned with that estimated from the USGS gauges during the study 379 380 and longer-term from 2017-2019 (Table 1). In general, the inundation durations measured during 381 the study were representative of the longer-term data (Table 1), but at VA3 the inundation duration was 30-40% greater during the study compared to the long-term data (Table 1). This is 382 383 likely due to the storm event captured causing wind and/or wave set-up, which generated the 384 second highest wave heights in the study (after NJ2; Fig. 2a). Similarly, the inundation duration

385	at FL3 was 20% less, and at AL3, 40% more during the study compared to the long-term data.
386	The reason for these differences is less clear but is likely due to the water level data from the
387	USGS gauges not being site specific, and therefore providing an estimation only.
388	There was little difference between the percent change in wave height between the
389	controls (5.9%) and oyster reefs that experienced greater than 50% inundation duration (4.5%;
390	Fig. 3a, b). In contrast, a 68.4% decrease in wave height was observed at reefs that were
391	inundated for less than 50% of the time (Fig. 3b). Despite this, when the freeboard was the same
392	between reefs that had either greater or less than 50% inundation duration, the wave attenuation
393	was also similar (Fig. 4). Wave transmission significantly decreased with increasing positive
394	freeboard and decreasing submergence for both inundation regimes (Fig. 4). Thus, the overall
395	result of a lower wave attenuation of reefs that have a greater inundation duration is driven by
396	these reefs experiencing less time at the optimal freeboard for wave attenuation (i.e., a reef crest
397	elevation that is either at or above the water level). Reefs that had an inundation duration of
398	greater than 50% were categorised based on the range of widths to determine if reefs of a greater
399	width had a lower wave transmission. Based on the range of reef widths observed in this study,
400	width had little effect on the wave transmission of these reefs (Fig. 3c). Whether the reefs were
401	made of shell or concrete also had less of an effect on wave transmission compared to reef height
402	(Fig. 3d).

On average, the rock sills were 2.5 times taller than the oyster reefs in Virginia and spent
35% or less time inundated during the study (Table 2). Rock sills reduced wave heights by 72%
compared to a 5% and 3% reduction in wave height at oyster reefs and controls, respectively
(Fig. 5a). In Florida, the restored oyster reefs were a similar width and height as the natural
unrestored reefs, with the latter having a slightly taller profile at FL2 and FL3 (Table 2). The

408	wave attenuation was greatest at the natural reefs (84%), followed closely by the restored oyster
409	reefs (75%), compared to the controls (35%; Fig. 5b). However, the percent of variance
410	explained by the linear model was lower at the natural (15%) and restored (31%) oyster reefs.
411	There was no significant effect of location (F <sub>3,44</sub> =0.03, P>0.05), inundation duration
412	(F <sub>1,4</sub> =0.23, P>0.05), or age (F <sub>1,4</sub> =0.01, P>0.05) on the percentage cover of oysters. However,
413	there was a significant difference in the oyster cover among sites (P<0.001; Table 1).
414	

Discussion 415

416 To achieve the goal of a sustainable coastal defence structure, oyster reef living shorelines must be effective at both hazard risk reduction and habitat provisioning for oysters. Understanding the 417 418 coastal protection afforded by reefs within the habitat limitations of oysters is therefore 419 important for identifying the parametric ranges for which oyster reefs and coastal defence 420 overlap. Oyster reefs where the crest was inundated less than 50% of the time were almost 14 421 times more effective at reducing the wave heights observed during this study than those that had 422 an inundation duration of more than 50%. The width of the reefs that had > 50% inundation 423 ranged from 0.6 - 6.6 m; these widths had little effect on the wave transmission of the reefs. 424 Eight out of the nine study sites where oyster colonisation could be quantified experienced the optimal inundation regime. However, the percentage cover of oysters varied among these sites, 425 with no effect of inundation duration, age, or location. 426

427 The duration and depth of inundation are determined by the intertidal elevation of the reef and the tidal amplitude of an area (Byers et al. 2015), as well as periodic events such as storm-428 driven wind or wave set-up. The duration and depth of inundation have an effect on wave 429 attenuation and on oyster recruitment, survival, and growth. Previous research has shown that 430

ovster reefs are very effective at attenuating waves when the reef crest height is at, or above, the 431 water level (Chauvin 2018, MacDonald 2018, Wiberg et al. 2018, Chowdhury et al. 2019, Zhu et 432 al. 2020, Spiering et al. in revision). This is because waves are strongly modified or break as they 433 cross the reef (Wiberg et al. 2018). As the water levels increase, a reduction in wave height is 434 instead caused by the interaction of oscillatory motion with the reef, the effect of which 435 436 decreases with increasing water depth (Wiberg et al. 2018). Here, our data support this finding, showing that the negative relationship between wave transmission and reef submergence is 437 438 evident across the large biogeographic scale studied.

439 It has been noted previously that some of the reefs studied may only spend 10-25% of the time at the optimal freeboard for wave attenuation (MacDonald 2018, Wiberg et al. 2018, Zhu et 440 al. 2020). When reefs become submerged, the wave attenuation can decrease to 0-20% (Wiberg 441 et al. 2018; Fig. 4). However, this inundation duration is within the optimal range for oyster 442 443 population establishment (Table 1). Critically, C. virginica do not tend to colonise substratum 444 where the inundation duration is less than approximately 50% (Ridge et al. 2015; Table 1). Reefs with crests above this threshold will not be colonised by oysters, although if the reef base is 445 within the optimal range then oyster habitat may be provided lower on the structure, but this will 446 447 not result in an oyster reef that can build and maintain itself (i.e., wave attenuation is provided by the artificial reef base not the growing oyster reef; Morris et al. 2019). Greater submergence 448 449 times enhance feeding, and therefore growth of oysters (Solomon et al. 2014), and reduce 450 desiccation stress. Too much immersion time, however, can negatively affect oysters due to greater fouling or predation in the subtidal (Fodrie et al. 2014). Thus, there is an optimum 451 452 inundation duration that varies slightly along the geographical range, but seems to be within a 5-453 40% range (Table 1). This translates to oyster reefs spending a greater percentage of time outside

of the freeboards that maximize wave attenuation, and can explain the overall difference in waveattenuation of reefs that experienced more or less than 50% inundation duration in this study.

The extent to which the inundation duration affects wave attenuation is also dependent on 456 the tidal amplitude. Where the tidal range is low, the variation in wave attenuation will be less 457 than in areas that have a greater tidal range. Although all of the sites here are considered 458 459 microtidal (defined as a tidal range of 0-2 m as per Davies 1964), they still experienced a range of tidal amplitudes (Table 2), with the reefs in New Jersey having a greater depth of inundation 460 than the other sites. In contrast to its effect on wave attenuation, an increased depth of inundation 461 462 can have a positive effect on oyster growth and reef height due to a greater volume of water delivery per unit of time and flow velocity that affects feeding and larval delivery (Byers et al. 463 2015). 464

For the reefs where the percent cover of oysters could be measured, inundation duration 465 varied between 68-97% for all but one reef (FL1; 38%). This variation was similar to that found 466 across a 1,500 km region from North Carolina to Florida (52-84%; Byers et al. 2015), where 467 there was no effect of inundation duration across latitude, and therefore oyster reef properties. 468 There was, however, significant variation in percent cover of oysters among sites in this study 469 470 that was not a factor of inundation duration. Other physical variables that commonly affect oyster reef properties are salinity and temperature (Byers et al. 2015). Temperature linearly 471 declines with increasing latitute, but as there was no effect of location on oyster cover, it is 472 473 unlikely to be the cause of the site variability. Similarly, given that oysters are found in each of the areas studied, the salinity was considered to be suitable. Another factor that affects the 474 475 recruitment of reef substratum is larval availability. The reefs in this study relied on natural 476 recruitment from the water column. If the reefs are recruitment-limited then they may never

establish an oyster population; larval dispersal and connectivity are therefore important 477 considerations in the siting of reef substratum (Lipcius et al. 2008, Puckett et al. 2018). Further, 478 as coastal defences are inherently built in turbulent, wave exposed environments, an added 479 variable of the threshold of exposure for oyster reef establishment is critical in oyster reef living 480 shorelines (Whitman and Reidenbach, 2012). The benthic flow across the reef can be 481 482 manipulated to enhance larval recruitment by increasing topographic complexity that creates interstitual spaces, which lower the shear stresses that can dislodge larvae (Whitman and 483 484 Reidenbach, 2012).

The comparison of rock sills to oyster reefs further supports the importance of crest 485 height for wave attenuation in narrow structures. Rock sills showed a similar magnitude of wave 486 height reduction as the oyster reefs that were exposed for more than 50% of the time, which 487 again was much greater than the oyster reefs in Virginia that all had <50% exposure. When 488 oyster reef living shorelines were compared to natural reefs in Florida, the wave attenuation was 489 490 similar between the two treatments (75% and 84%, respectively), and double that of the control (35%). This is likely due to the similarity in size (height and width) of the restored and natural 491 reefs, as the restored reefs were deployed onto the historic footprint of natural degraded reefs. 492 493 However, the natural reefs had a very low percent cover of live oyster compared to the restored reefs (except FL1). Live oysters increase bed roughness and therefore drag, which can lead to 494 495 better flow energy attenuation (Kitsikoudis et al. 2020). In contrast, degraded reefs consist of 496 loose disarticulated shells that can be moved around with wave events. Therefore, even though the wave attenuation observed was similar between restored and natural degraded reefs here, it is 497 498 unclear how this may evolve through time, as degraded reefs could eventually disintegrate if not 499 colonised by oysters (Kitsikoudis et al. 2020). The pattern of wave attenuation across treatments

in Florida, when considered alone, was very different to the overall patterns observed, as greater 500 attenuation was recorded at both the control and oyster reefs, but it was also more variable. This 501 is likely due to Florida experiencing only very small wave heights for the duration of the 502 deployment. Smaller, high frequency waves (e.g., 1 s period) may have been under-sampled with 503 the 1 Hz frequency used to compare treatments in this study, which potentially resulted in the 504 505 reporting of smaller wave heights than were present. However, similar maximum wave heights have been recorded at other sites in Mosquito Lagoon, Florida, using a 32 Hz sampling 506 507 frequency (Kibler et al. 2019), thus our results are just as likely to be due to the calm weather 508 during deployments and the fact that these sites are very sheltered under normal conditions. At the other locations, there was a range in wave heights observed and these were 509 comparable to those in previous studies in New Jersey (average 0.03 - 0.11 m, maximum 0.15 -510 0.55 m; MacDonald 2018), Virginia (average 0.03 - 0.10 m, maximum 0.30 - 0.50 m; Wiberg et 511 al. 2018) and Louisiana (average 0.10 m, maximum 0.45 m; Chauvin 2018). Nevertheless, these 512 513 wave heights were generally more representative of calm to average conditions due to the tradeoff between the large-scale of the study and wave sensor deployment duration (36 - 48 hours), 514 which limited the range of wave conditions that could be observed. The size of the waves 515 516 (Wiberg et al. 2018, Chowdhury et al. 2019), as well as whether they are swell- or wind-517 dominated (Zhu et al. 2020) or accompanied by storm tides, impacts the efficacy of oyster reefs 518 at wave attenuation. Previous studies of oyster reefs have shown that for the equivalent water 519 depth, wave attenuation increases with wave height (Wiberg et al. 2018, Chowdhury et al. 2019). This may explain why fringing oyster reefs have been found to have a greater impact on 520 521 shoreline retreat at higher exposure locations (La Peyre et al. 2015). Hence, there is the potential 522 that with larger wave heights the wave transmission values observed in this study could decrease

at oyster reef living shorelines. This highlights the need to examine multiple reefs experiencingdiverse conditions to get a complete understanding of how they work.

It is also important to consider the type of shoreline being protected, as habitat type can 525 influence susceptibility to erosion from different weather events. For example, saltmarsh was the 526 predominant shoreline type in our study. Leonardi et al. (2016) demonstrated that marsh-edge 527 528 erosion was caused by moderate, but high frequency  $(2.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ per month})$  storms. Larger storms, in contrast, are often accompanied by storm surge, which dissipates over the marsh bed 529 rather than impacting the marsh edge. Previous research on oyster reef living shorelines has 530 531 shown significant variability in erosion control of saltmarsh among sites (Meyer et al. 1997, Piazza et al. 2005, Stricklin et al. 2010, Scyphers et al. 2011, La Peyre et al. 2013, Moody et al. 532 2013, La Peyre et al. 2014, 2015). Oyster reefs are likely to have the greatest effect on the 533 reduction of saltmarsh erosion when the elevation of the marsh platform coincides with the water 534 depths that maximize wave attenuation (i.e., when reef submergence is low; Wiberg et al. 2018). 535 536 As currently designed, reefs that are within the habitat requirements for oysters are likely to have little effect on higher-elevation shorelines dominated by saltmarshes. How this process translates 537 to protection by oyster reefs for other shoreline habitat types is not well known. 538

Natural oyster reefs were once vast, with historical imagery suggesting reefs kilometres long fringed the shorelines in the 1800s in Chesapeake Bay, Virginia (Woods et al. 2005). A recent study in Mosquito Lagoon, Florida, found that small-scale restored oyster reefs (as studied here) had a cumulative positive impact on erosion rates that may not be observed at a single site (McClenachan et al. 2020). The variability in effectiveness of oyster reefs at providing erosion control may be the result of a mismatch in the scale of the construction of living shorelines and that required for delivery of the coastal defence service. For example, McClenachan et al. (2020)

demonstrated that the combined 89 smaller oyster reef projects had a landscape scale effect 546 within this ecosystem. At an individual scale, the reefs we studied were narrow structures. The 547 range of widths observed had little effect on the wave attenuation of the reefs that were at the 548 appropriate elevation for oysters. However, physical modelling of submerged rubble-mound 549 breakwaters (Seabrook and Hall, 1998) and bagged oyster shell reefs (Allen and Webb, 2011) 550 551 showed that wider structures of the same elevation can further decrease wave transmission by 20-40%. Field studies have shown width to be important for wave attenuation in saltmarshes 552 (Shepard et al. 2011) and coral reefs (Ferrario et al. 2014), however, this factor has not been 553 554 examined for oyster reefs. This is likely due to most of our knowledge on the wave transmission of oyster reefs being generated from studies on living shorelines, with a paucity of information 555 available on natural reefs (Narayan et al. 2016). For living shorelines to be used as a tool for 556 557 restoration and risk reduction, it is imperative that we optimize the design to maximize both ecological and engineering outcomes. 558

559

#### 560 Conclusions

In the face of a changing climate, there is an increasing interest in living shorelines as an 561 adaptive and sustainable coastal defence strategy. For living shorelines to be successful, they 562 need to establish a self-sustaining population of the target species and have the ability to provide 563 564 coastal protection under the conditions that cause erosion and/or flooding. This large-scale study across multiple states provides a broader perspective on the diversity of oyster reef living 565 566 shoreline approaches. We showed that many of the living shoreline approaches using oysters 567 failed to optimize the ecological and engineering goals. To date, studies have focused on understanding the wave attenuation of oyster reefs without integrating consideration for the 568

ecological limitations of oysters. This has resulted in a focus on how the crest of the reef 569 influences wave transmission. However, given that this design parameter needs to stay within the 570 optimal inundation duration for oysters, efforts should be refocused to understand the effects of 571 other design parameters, such as reef width, on maximising wave attenuation over a greater 572 inundation range. This approach should apply generally to the design and implementation of 573 574 living shorelines, where the engineering parameters are calculated to account for the ecological limitations of a species in order to achieve both goals. Identifying the circumstances under which 575 576 living shorelines can be designed to achieve these goals is also important to determine the 577 thresholds for their use successfully. Our results suggest that the low-crested, narrow oyster reefs that are commonly built are, on average, not effective at wave attenuation. Their ability to 578 provide erosion control, however, will also depend on the elevation of the shoreline and the 579 conditions that contribute to local erosion. This combination of factors has likely contributed to 580 the large variation in erosion control by oyster reef living shorelines reported in the literature. A 581 582 broader understanding of the reef characteristics and seascape contexts that result in effective coastal defence by oyster reefs is needed to inform the design of future living shoreline projects. 583 This continued research effort will ensure that oyster reef living shorelines are successful in 584 585 achieving both their ecological and engineering goals.

586

#### 587 Acknowledgements

We thank T. Graham for his advice on data processing and J. Shinn for her assistance with the
New Jersey sites. R.L.M. was supported by an Early Career Researcher Global Mobility Grant
from The University of Melbourne. The National Centre for Coasts and Climate is funded
through the Earth Systems and Climate Change Hub by the Australian Government's National

592	Environmental Science Program. This paper is Contribution No. 3990 of the Virginia Institute of
593	Marine Science, William & Mary. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive
594	purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.
595	
596	References
597	Allen, R. J., and B. M. Webb. 2011. Determination of wave transmission coefficients for oyster
598	shell bag breakwaters. Coastal Engineering Practice:684-697.
599	Beck, M. W., R. D. Brumbaugh, L. Airoldi, A. Carranza, L. D. Coen, C. Crawford, O. Defeo, G.
600	J. Edgar, B. Hancock, M. C. Kay, H. S. Lenihan, M. W. Luckenbach, C. L. Toropova, G.
601	F. Zhang, and X. M. Guo. 2011. Oyster reefs at risk and recommendations for
602	conservation, restoration, and management. Bioscience 61:107-116.
603	Bilkovic, D. M., M. Mitchell, P. Mason, and K. Duhring. 2016. The role of living shorelines as
604	estuarine habitat conservation strategies. Coastal Management 44:161-174.
605	Bulleri, F., and M. G. Chapman. 2010. The introduction of coastal infrastructure as a driver of
606	change in marine environments. Journal of Applied Ecology 47:26-35.
607	Byers, J. E., J. H. Grabowski, M. F. Piehler, A. R. Hughes, H. W. Weiskel, J. C. Malek, and D.
608	L. Kimbro. 2015. Geographic variation in intertidal oyster reef properties and the
609	influence of tidal prism. Limnology and Oceanography 60:1051-1063.
610	Carter, J., C. Connor, J. Todd, A. Agarwal, and H. Bermudez. 2016. Living shoreline
611	demonstration project, prepared for Louisiana Coastal Protection and Restoration
612	Authority. Coast and Harbor Engineering, a division of Mott MacDonald, New Orleans.
613	Casas, S. M., J. La Peyre, and M. K. La Peyre. 2015. Restoration of oyster reefs in an estuarine
614	lake: population dynamics and shell accretion. Marine Ecology Progress Series 524:171-
615	184.

616 Chauvin, J. M. 2018. Wave attenuation by constructed oyster reef breakwaters. Louisiana State
617 University, Louisiana, US.

- 618 Chowdhury, M. S. N., B. Walles, S. M. Sharifuzzaman, M. Shahadat Hossain, T. Ysebaert, and
- A. C. Smaal. 2019. Oyster breakwater reefs promote adjacent mudflat stability and salt

marsh growth in a monsoon dominated subtropical coast. Scientific Reports 9:8549.

- 621 Coast and Harbor Engineering, CHE. 2014. Living Shoreline Demonstration Project, Coastal
   622 Engineering and Alternatives Analysis. Baton Rouge, LA. October 9, 2014.
- 623 Coen, L. D., and A. T. Humphries. 2017. Chapter 19. Oyster-generated marine habitats: their
- services, enhancement and monitoring. In: S. Stuart and S. Murphy (eds) Routledge
- 625 Handbook of Ecological and Environmental Restoration, Routledge: New York, 274-
- 626 294.Colvin, J., S. Lazarus, M. Splitt, R. Weaver, and P. Taeb. 2018. Wind driven setup in
  627 east central Florida's Indian River Lagoon: forcings and parameterizations. Estuarine,
- 628 Coastal and Shelf Science 213:40-48.
- Coghlan, I. R., Howe, D. and W. C. Glamore. 2016. Preliminary testing of oyster shell filled
  bags. WRL Technical Report 2015/20, January.
- 631 Davies, J. L. 1964. A morphogenic approach to world shorelines. Zeitschrift Fur
  632 Geomorphologie 8:27-42.
- Ferrario, F., M. W. Beck, C. D. Storlazzi, F. Micheli, C. C. Shepard, and L. Airoldi. 2014. The
  effectiveness of coral reefs for coastal hazard risk reduction and adaptation. Nature
  Communications 5:3794.
- 636 Fodrie, F. J., A. B. Rodriguez, C. J. Baillie, M. C. Brodeur, S. E. Coleman, R. K. Gittman, D. A.
- 637 Keller, M. D. Kenworthy, A. K. Poray, J. T. Ridge, E. J. Theuerkauf, and N. L. Lindquist.
- 638 2014. Classic paradigms in a novel environment: inserting food web and productivity

- 639 lessons from rocky shores and saltmarshes into biogenic reef restoration. Journal of640 Applied Ecology 51:1314-1325.
- 641 Garvis, S. K. 2009. Quantifying the impacts of oyster reef restoration on oyster coverage, wave
- 642 dissipation and seagrass recruitment in Mosquito Lagoon, Florida. University of Central
- 643 Florida, Florida, United States.
- Goda, Y. 2010. Random seas and design of maritime structures. World Scientific Publishing Co.
  Pte. Ltd., Singapore.
- 646 Grabowski, J. H., R. D. Brumbaugh, R. F. Conrad, A. G. Keeler, J. J. Opaluch, C. H. Peterson,
- 647 M. F. Piehler, S. P. Powers, and A. R. Smyth. 2012. Economic valuation of ecosystem
  648 services provided by oyster reefs. Bioscience 62:900-909.
- Haynes, K. M. 2018. Field measurements of boat wake attenuation in coastal salt marshes.
  University of South Alabama.
- Heck, K., J. Cebrian, S. Powers, R. Gericke, C. Pabody, and J. Goff. 2012. Final Monitoring
- 652 Report to the Nature Conservancy: Coastal Alabama Economic Recovery and Ecological
- 653 Restoration Project: Creating jobs to protect shorelines, restore oyster reefs and enhance
- fisheries productions, Dauphin Island Sea Lab and University of South Alabama,
- 655 Dauphin Island.
- Hernandez, A. B., R. D. Brumbaugh, P. Frederick, R. Grizzle, M. W. Luckenbach, C. H.
- 657 Peterson, and C. Angelini. 2018. Restoring the eastern oyster: how much progress has
  658 been made in 53 years? Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 16:1-9.
- 659 Hinkel, J., D. Lincke, A. T. Vafeidis, M. Perrette, R. J. Nicholls, R. S. J. Tol, B. Marzeion, X.
- 660 Fettweis, C. Ionescu, and A. Levermann. 2014. Coastal flood damage and adaptation

- 661 costs under 21st century sea-level rise. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
  662 111:3292-3297.
- Hunt, J. N. 1979. Direct solution of wave dispersion equation. Journal of Waterway, Port,
- 664 Coastal, and Ocean Engineering 4:457-459.
- 665 IOC, SCOR, and IAPSO. 2010. The international thermodynamic equation of seawater 2010:
- calculation and use of thermodynamic properties. Intergovernmental OceanographicCommission, Manuals and Guides No. 56, UNESCO.
- Kamphuis, J. W. 2010. Introduction to coastal engineering and management, Advanced series on
   ocean engineering. World Scientific, Singapore.
- 670 Kibler, K. M., V. Kitsikoudis, M. Donnelly, D. W. Spiering, and L. Walters. 2019. Flow-
- 671 vegetation interaction in a living shoreline restoration and potential effect to mangrove672 recruitment. Sustainability 11:3215.
- 673 Kitskoudis, V., K. M. Kibler, and L. J. Walters. 2020. In-situ measurements of turbulent flow
- 674 over intertidal natural and degraded oyster reefs in an estuarine lagoon. Ecological
  675 Engineering 143:1056882.
- 676 Kohler, K. E., and S. M. Gill. 2006. Coral Point Count with Excel extensions (CPCe): A Visual
- Basic program for the determination of coral and substrate coverage using random point
  count methodology. Computers and Geosciences 32:1259-1269.
- La Peyre, M. K., A. T. Humphries, S. M. Casas, and J. F. La Peyre. 2014. Temporal variation in
  development of ecosystem services from oyster reef restoration. Ecological Engineering
  63:34-44.

682	La Peyre, M. K., L. Schwarting, and S. Miller. 2013. Preliminary assessment of bioengineered
683	fringing shoreline reefs in Grand Isle and Breton Sound, Louisiana. Report 2013-1040,
684	Reston, VA.

- La Peyre, M. K., K. Serra, T. A. Joyner, and A. Humphries. 2015. Assessing shoreline exposure
- and oyster habitat suitability maximizes potential success for sustainable shoreline
  protection using restored oyster reefs. PeerJ, 3, e1317.
- Leonardi, N., N. K. Ganju, and S. Fagherazzi. 2016. A linear relationship between wave power
  and erosion determines salt-marsh resilience to violent storms and hurricanes.

690 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113:64.

- 691 Lipcius, R. N., D. B. Eggleston, S. J. Schreiber, R. D. Seitz, J. Shen, M. Sisson, W. T.
- Stockhausen, and H. V. Wang. 2008. Importance of metapopulation connectivity to
  restocking and restoration of marine species. Reviews in Fisheries Science 16:101-110.

Livingston, R. J., R. L. Howell, X. F. Niu, F. G. Lewis, and G. C. Woodsum. 1999. Recovery of

- 695 oyster reefs (Crassostrea virginica) in a gulf estuary following disturbance by two696 hurricanes. Bulletin of Marine Science 64:465-483.
- 697 MacDonald, M. 2018. Wave monitoring and sedimentation analysis at four oyster castle
- breakwaters at Gandy's Beach, NJ. For: The Nature Conservancy. New Jersey, US.
- 699 Manis, J. E., S. K. Garvis, S. M. Jachec, and L. J. Walters. 2015. Wave attenuation experiments
- over living shorelines over time: a wave tank study to assess recreational boating
   pressures. Journal of Coastal Conservation 19:1-11.
- Marshall, D. A. and La Peyre, M. K. 2020. Effects of inundation duration on southeastern
   Louisiana oyster reefs. Experimental Results.

704	MathWorks, I. 1996. MATLAB : the language of technical computing : computation,
705	visualization, programming : installation guide for UNIX version 5. Natwick: Math
706	Works Inc., 1996.
707	McClenachan, G. M., M. J. Donnelly, M. N. Schaffer, P. E. Sacks, and L. J. Walters. 2020. Does
708	size matter?: Quantifying the cumulative impact of small-scale living shoreline and
709	oyster reef restoration projects on shoreline erosion. Restoration Ecology
710	https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13235.
711	Meucci, A., I. R. Young, M. Hemer, E. Kirezci, and R. Ranasinghe. 2020. Projected 21st century
712	changes in extreme wind-wave events. Science Advances 6:eaaz7295.
713	Meyer, D. L., E. C. Townsend, and G. W. Thayer. 1997. Stabilization and erosion control value
714	of oyster cultch for intertidal marsh. Restoration Ecology 5:93-99.
715	Mitchell, M., and D. M. Bilkovic. 2019. Embracing dynamic design for climate-resilient living
716	shorelines. Journal of Applied Ecology Doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.13371.
717	Moeller, I., T. Spencert, and J. R. French. 1996. Wind wave attenuation over saltmarsh surfaces:
718	preliminary results from Norfolk, England. Journal of Coastal Research 12:1009-1016.
719	Moody, J., D. Kreeger, S. Bouboulis, S. Roberts, and A. Padeletti. 2016. Design,
720	implementation, and evaluation of three living shoreline treatments at the DuPont Nature
721	Center, Mispillion River, Milford, DE., Partnership for the Delaware Estuary,
722	Wilmington.
723	Moody, R. M., J. Cebrian, S. M. Kerner, K. L. Heck, S. P. Powers, and C. Ferraro. 2013. Effects
724	of shoreline erosion on salt-marsh floral zonation. Marine Ecology Progress Series
725	488:145-155.

726	Morris, R. L., D. M. Bilkovic, M. K. Boswell, D. Bushek, J. Cebrian, J. Goff, K. M. Kibler, M.
727	K. La Peyre, G. McClenachan, J. Moody, P. Sacks, J. P. Shinn, E. L. Sparks, N. A.
728	Temple, L. J. Walters, B. M. Webb, and S. E. Swearer. 2019a. The application of oyster
729	reefs in shoreline protection: are we over-engineering for an ecosystem engineer? Journal
730	of Applied Ecology Doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.13390.
731	Morris, R. L., A. Boxshall, and S. E. Swearer. 2020. Climate-resilient coasts require diverse
732	defence solutions. Nature Climate Change 10:485-487.
733	Morris, R. L., T. D. J. Graham, J. Kelvin, M. Ghisalberti, and S. E. Swearer. 2019b. Kelp beds as
734	coastal protection: wave attenuation of Ecklonia radiata in a shallow coastal bay Annals
735	of Botany Doi: 10.1093/aob/mcz127.
736	Narayan, S., M. W. Beck, B. G. Reguero, I. J. Losada, B. van Wesenbeeck, N. Pontee, J. N.
737	Sanchirico, J. C. Ingram, G. M. Lange, and K. A. Burks-Copes. 2016. The effectiveness.
738	costs and coastal protection benefits of natural and nature-based defences. PLoS ONE 11.
739	Neumann, B., A. T. Vafeidis, J. Zimmermann, and R. J. Nicholls. 2015. Future coastal
740	population growth and exposure to sea-level rise and coastal flooding - A global
741	assessment. PLoS ONE 10:e0118571.
742	Piazza, B. P., P. D. Banks, and M. K. La Peyre. 2005. The potential for created oyster shell reefs
743	as a sustainable shoreline protection strategy in Louisiana. Restoration Ecology 13:499-
744	506.
745	Puckett, B. J., S. J. Theuerkauf, D. B. Eggleston, R. Guajardo, C. Hardy, J. Gao, and R. A.
746	Luettich. 2018. Integrating Larval Dispersal, Permitting, and Logistical Factors Within a

- 747 Validated Habitat Suitability Index for Oyster Restoration. Frontiers in Marine Science
- 748 5:75.

749	R Core Team. 2017. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
750	for Statistical Computing, Vienna Austria. Available online at : http://www.R-project.org
751	Ridge, J. T., A. B. Rodriguez, F. J. Fodrie, N. L. Lindquist, M. C. Brodeur, S. E. Coleman, J. H.
752	Grabowski, and E. J. Theuerkauf. 2015. Maximizing oyster-reef growth supports green
753	infrastructure with accelerating sea-level rise. Scientific Reports 5:14785.
754	Ridge, J. T., A. B. Rodriguez, and F. J. Fodrie. 2017. Salt Marsh and Fringing Oyster Reef
755	Transgression in a Shallow Temperate Estuary: Implications for Restoration,
756	Conservation and Blue Carbon. Estuaries and Coasts 40:1013-1027.
757	Rodriguez, A. B., F. J. Fodrie, J. T. Ridge, N. L. Lindquist, E. J. Theuerkauf, S. E. Coleman, J.
758	H. Grabowski, M. C. Brodeur, R. K. Gittman, D. A. Keller, and M. D. Kenworthy. 2014.
759	Oyster reefs can outpace sea-level rise. Nature Climate Change 4:493-497.
760	Salvador de Paiva, J. N., B. Walles, T. Ysebaert, and T. J. Bouma. 2018. Understanding the
761	conditionality of ecosystem services: the effect of tidal flat morphology and oyster reef
762	characteristics on sediment stabilization by oyster reefs. Ecological Engineering 112:89-
763	95.
764	Scyphers, S. B., S. P. Powers, K. L. Heck, and D. Byron. 2011. Oyster reefs as natural
765	breakwaters mitigate shoreline loss and facilitate fisheries. PLoS ONE 6:e22396.
766	Seabrook, S. and K. Hall. 1998. Wave transmission at submerged rubblemound breakwaters.
767	Coastal Engineering Proceedings 1 (26).
768	Seers, B. 2018. fetchR: calculate wind fetch.
769	Sharma, S., J. Goff, R. M. Moody, A. McDonald, D. Byron, K. L. Heck, Jr., S. P. Powers, C.
770	Ferraro, and J. Cebrian. 2016. Effects of shoreline dynamics on saltmarsh vegetation.
771	PLoS ONE 11:e0159814.

772	Shepard, C. C., C. M. Crain, and M. W. Beck. 2011. The protective role of coastal marshes: a
773	systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 6:e27374.
774	Solomon, J. A., M. J. Donnelly, and L. J. Walterst. 2014. Effects of sea level rise on the intertidal
775	oyster Crassostrea Virginica by field experiments. Journal of Coastal Research 68:57-64.
776	Spiering, D. W., K. M. Kibler, V. Kitskoudis, M. Donnelly, and L. J. Walters. in revision.
777	Detecting hydrodynamic changes after living shoreline restoration and through an
778	extreme event using a Before-After-Control-Impact experiment. Estuaries and Coasts.
779	Stricklin, A. G., M. S. Peterson, J. D. Lopez, C. A. May, and C. F. Mohrman. 2010. Do small,
780	patchy, constructed intertidal oyster reefs reduce salt marsh erosion as well as natural
781	reefs? Gulf and Caribbean Research 22:21-27.
782	Styles, R. 2015. Flow and turbulence over an oyster reef. Journal of Coastal Research 31:978-
783	985.
784	The Nature Conservancy. 2017. Gandy's Beach shoreline protection project - final performance
785	report. The Nature Conservancy, Delmont.
786	USACE. 1984. Shore protection manual. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mississippi.
787	van der Meer, J. W., R. Briganti, B. Zanuttigh, and B. Wang. 2005. Wave transmission and
788	reflection at low-crested structures: design formulae, oblique wave attack and spectral
789	change. Coastal Engineering 52:915-929.
790	Webb, B. M., and R. J. Allen. 2015. Wave transmission through artificial reef breakwaters.
791	Coastal Structures and Solutions to Coastal Disasters. ASCE.
792	Whitman, E. R., and M. A. Reidenbach. 2012. Benthic flow environments affect recruitment of
793	Crassostrea virginica larvae to an intertidal oyster reef. Marine Ecology Progress Series
794	463:177-191.

795	Wiberg, P. L., S. R. Taube, A. E. Ferguson, M. R. Kremer, and M. A. Reidenbach. 2018. Wave
796	attenuation by oyster reefs in shallow coastal bays. Estuaries and Coasts 42:331–347.
797	Woods, H., W. J. Hargis, C. H. Hershner, and P. A. M. Mason. 2005. Disappearance of the
798	natural emergent 3-dimensional oyster reef system of the James River, Virginia 1871-
799	1948. Journal of Shellfish Research 24:139-142.
800	Wright, L. D., R. A. Gammisch, and R. J. Byrne. 1990. Hydraulic roughness and mobility of
801	three oyster-bed artificial substrate materials. Journal of Coastal Research 6:867-878.
802	Young, I. R., S. Zieger, and A. V. Babanin. 2011. Global trends in wind speed and wave height.
803	Science 332:451.
804	Zhu, L., Q. Chen, H. Wang, W. Capurso, L. Niemoczynski, K. Hu, and G. Snedden. 2020. Field
805	Observations of Wind Waves in Upper Delaware Bay with Living Shorelines. Estuaries
806	and Coasts 43:739-755.
807	
808	
809	
810	
811	
812	
813	
814	
815	
816	
817	
818	
819	

# Table 1. Studies that have reported the percent of time a reef should be inundated for the optimal recruitment, survival and/or growth of *Crassostrea virginica*.

	State	Inundation duration
	North Carolina	$82 - 95\%^{1}$
	North Carolina	$60 - 80 \%^2$
	North Carolina	$72 - 82\%^{3}$
	North Carolina to Florida	$52 - 84\%^4$
	Florida	$80 - 95\%^{5}$
	Louisiana	52 - 94% <sup>6</sup>
	<sup>1</sup> Fodrie et al. (2014); <sup>2</sup> Ridge et al (2017); <sup>4</sup> Byers et al. (2015); <sup>5</sup> Sol <sup>6</sup> Marchall and La Peyre (2020)	l. (2014); <sup>3</sup> Ridge et al. omon et al. (2014);
822	Warshall and Eu Poyle (2020)	
323		
824		
075		
025		
826		
827		
828		
829		
020		
030		
831		
832		
052		
833		
834		
835		
000		
836		
837		
838		
839		
0.40		
840		
841		

842	Table 2. Characteristics of oyster reef living shorelines and rock sills and natural oyster reefs.
843	Crest elevation where available is given in metres relative to NAVD88. Age is number of years
844	at time of study. The percent of time the structures are inundated (% inundation duration) is
845	given when (a) measured during RBR deployment; (b) calculated based on USGS gauges for
846	deployment period; and (c) calculated based on USGS gauges from January 2017 – August 2019.
847	For more oyster reef living shorelines characteristics refer to Appendix 1: Table S1. *Note this
848	site is in Delaware data unavailable.

State/	Туре	Age	Length × Width (m)	Height	Crest	Tidal	% inundation			% oysters
Keel		(yrs)	width (m)	(111)	elevation	range (m)	duration		(±SE)	
NJ1	Concrete	2	6 × 1	0.65	-0.48		(a) 82.4	87.7	80.2	$41.2 \pm 5.2$
NJ2	Shell	2	51 × 6	0.17	-0.57	1.7	68.7	74.7	75.2	$0.4\pm0.4$
NJ3*	Concrete	4	$2 \times 1$	0.53	0.01		68.7	58.6	52.6	$11.3\pm4.4$
VA1	Concrete	2	16 × 0.6	0.40	0.00		67.6	53.4	50.9	$6.2\pm1.7$
VA2	Shell	1	$35 \times 0.9$	0.30	0.04	0.7	75.7	66.1	54.4	0
VA3	Concrete	1	$28 \times 0.85$	0.30	0.01		90.9	80.0	53.5	0
FL1	Shell	8	55 × 5.25	0.64	-		38.1	-	-	$2.4\pm1.6$
FL2	Shell	1	$30 \times 6.67$	0.29	0.41	0.3	97.2	100	98	$74.0\pm3.5$
FL3	Shell	2	$20 \times 4$	0.27	0.38		75.6	100	98	$34.4\pm6.1$
AL1	Shell	9	65 × 5	0.60	-0.37		100	100	99.4	-
AL2	Concrete	8	$125 \times 2.28$	0.23	-0.24	0.4	100	100	98.3	-
AL3	Shell	8	125 × 2.64	0.31	0.17		92.9	66.7	50.4	-
LA1	Concrete	1.5	$130 \times 2.7$	1.40	0.84		0	0	1.2	-
LA2	Concrete	1.5	$178 \times 5.5$	1.40	0.66	0.4	0	0	4.8	-
LA3	Concrete	7	$75 \times 3$	1.10	-0.06		63.0	81.0	84.4	-
VA1	Rock sill	2	29.4 × 2.4	0.69	0.46		30.7	8.9	9.2	$1.2\pm0.4$
VA2	Rock sill	7	41.3 × 1.9	0.84	0.40	0.7	35.7	16.3	13.9	$14.4\pm4.5$
VA3	Rock sill	1	51.4 × 3.6	1.02	1.03		8.9	0	0.02	0
FL1	Natural	-	$47 \times 7.8$	0.64	-		38.1	-	-	$0.4 \pm 0.4$

FL2	Natural	-	35 × 5.9	0.49	-	0.3	58.3	-	-	$4.0\pm2.7$
FL3	Natural	-	35 × 3.1	0.33	-		64.4	-	-	$2.4\pm1.7$
849										
850										
851										
852										
853										
854										
855										
856										
857										
858										
859										
860										
861										
862										
863										
864										
865										
866										
867										
868										
869										
870										
871										
872										
873										
874										

Figure 1. A map of the five study areas. In each study area (red dots) there were three oyster 875 reef-control pairs, a schematic example of the wave logger (RBR) set-up for one pair is shown. 876 The circles (oyster reef treatment) and triangles (control treatment; no reef) indicate wave sensor 877 deployment (not to scale). For a detailed map of each area see Appendix 1: Fig. S1. 878 Figure 2. (a) Significant wave heights (m) at the offshore wave logger (RBR); and (b) the 879 880 average depth (m) recorded during each burst at 15 oyster reef living shorelines across five locations (New Jersey/Delaware, Virginia, Florida, Alabama, Louisiana from left to right). The 881 red lines in (b) indicate the height of the reef (m; matching scale on y-axis). 882 883 Figure 3. Comparisons of measured (y-axis) and predicted (x-axis) significant wave height (m) for (a) control ( $R^2=0.97$ ); (b) oyster reef living shorelines with an inundation duration above 50% 884  $(R^2=0.97)$  and below 50%  $(R^2=0.78)$ ; (c) reefs that have an inundation duration of more than 885 50% and widths of less than 1 m ( $R^2$ =0.97), 2-4 m ( $R^2$ =0.97) and 5-7 m ( $R^2$ =0.96); and (d) reefs 886 constructed of concrete (R<sup>2</sup>=0.88) and shell (R<sup>2</sup>=0.96). Values below the dotted line indicate a 887 decrease in wave height. The decrease in wave height is given as a percentage on the graphs. The 888 shaded area is the 95% confidence interval. 889 Figure 4. Correlation between the wave transmission coefficient (Kt) and freeboard (m) for reefs 890 891 that have an inundation duration of less or greater than 50%. A wave transmission value of less than one indicates a reduction in wave height. A positive or negative freeboard value indicates 892 the reef is emerged or submerged, respectively. The shaded area is the 95% confidence interval. 893

Figure 5. Comparisons of measured (y-axis) and predicted (x-axis) significant wave height (m)

for (a) control ( $R^2=0.99$ ), rock sill ( $R^2=0.94$ ), and oyster reef living shoreline ( $R^2=0.98$ ) in

Virginia; (b) control ( $R^2=0.84$ ), natural oyster reef ( $R^2=0.15$ ), and oyster reef living shoreline

897	$(R^2=0.31)$ in Florida. Values below the dotted line indicate a decrease in wave height. The
898	shaded area is the 95% confidence interval.
899	
900	
901	
902	
903	
904	
905	
906	
907	
908	
909	
910	
911	
912	
913	
914	
915	
916	
917	
918	
919	

920 Figure 1







940 Figure 3





960 Figure 5

