

Reports

---

2001

## Artificial Reef Grow-Out

Jeff Hammer  
*Accomac Aqua-Farms*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.wm.edu/reports>



Part of the [Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Hammer, J. (2001) Artificial Reef Grow-Out. Fishery Resource Grant FRG 1999-34. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, William & Mary. <https://scholarworks.wm.edu/reports/2218>

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reports by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@wm.edu](mailto:scholarworks@wm.edu).

**ACCOMAC AQUA-FARMS**

P/O 273 Accomac Va. 23301

(757) 787-3757

HASP # VA-1197 SS

Farm raised shellfish

**Final Report Statement  
Artificial Reef Grow-Out  
#RG-99-34  
(Jeff Hammer)**

The purpose of this project, was to address the possibility of improving upon the current grow out procedures currently being used by the oyster aquaculture industry. I.e. Taylor floats - Rack and bag

As stated in the proposal, the current adopted method of raising oysters is to use Taylor floats. This method has proven to be effective in producing market sized oysters in 1- 2 years, although intensive labor cost and high fouling rates decrease profit margins considerably. Also the availability of suitable sites is now under increased regulations and is not welcomed in some waterfront communities.

My proposal was to evaluate a new and novel grow-out technique. By placing nursery grown seed into a contained area whose seabed had been raised with the addition of oyster shells to a height of 6-8" above the surrounding areas it was presumed that the animals would grow to market size in a acceptable amount of time and require less maintenance, thus increasing profit margins by reducing labor.

Weekly monitoring of the nursery site was performed, as well as Quarterly sampling and data collection of the plots. P.G. Ross of V.I.M.S. and myself have prepared growth rate and mortality graphs. Also a pathology report was included in our studies,

**SUMMARY**

**Advantages:** This project has proven that oysters can be grown in these contained plots without the risk of high mortality due to predators. The formation of silt, was sum what troublesome at times. We found that by applying water pressure at mid-tide, this was easily solved . Labor was greatly reduced as compared to Taylor floats. A very good strike of natural oysters has now attached themselves to the surrounding containment system. I feel that this system of grow out has the potential for future development.

**Disadvantages:**

The locations that were chosen seemed to have a large population of native oysters that were harboring the Dermo disease. Hence it was passed on to the project oysters at an early stage, as evident in the following reports. Mortality rates were unacceptably high due to the Dermo. Pathology reports indicate an infection of up to 90%. The remaining live animals will be retested for disease in the fall of 2002, these may prove to be resistant to dermo and will be used as future brood stocks

**Future benefits;**

If the scientific community ever develops a oyster that will be tolerant of the diseases now infecting the entire Chesapeake bay region, this method of grow out will be of great importance. Furthermore if the introduction of araikinsis were allowed, this would be a very safe and contained system for the grow-out of this disease resistant animal. I feel that many questions were answered by this project. It is now evident that by reducing the labor involved, you also reduce the speed at which the animals grow. In our current environment it seems that speed of growth may be more important to survival

Artificial Reef Growout  
Fisheries Grant Project #RG-99-34  
(Jeff Hammer)

Results Summary

*Prepared by P.G. Ross, VIMS-Eastern Shore Lab*

Oyster Growth

Table 1 summarizes mean shell height and associated variance measures for this project. During the study, oysters grew from ~50 mm to ~61 mm on average, exhibiting typical seasonal growth patterns (Figure 1). Oysters grew significantly better on the East Rock vs. the West Rock, however, trends were similar (Figure 1). Figure 2 illustrates the size frequency distribution (%) for both rocks combined during 2001. On 4/9/01, 9/21/01 and 11/2/01 the percentage of market sized oysters (i.e. >75 mm) were 5%, 14.6% and 11.8%, respectively. The low latter number is likely a result of size specific disease related mortality (see next section).

Oyster Mortality

Table 2 summarizes mortality counts taken during the course of the study. High initial mortality during the December 2000 sample measured nursery mortality, as both live and dead oysters were stocked into grow-out bins because the added substrate was deemed useful. Subsequent mortality estimates are cumulative from this point, including the nursery mortality. Only mortality occurring after oysters were stocked to grow-out were used for graphs. Cumulative mortality after entering the grow-out system ranged from 20.9-25.6% and did not differ statistically between rocks (Figure 3). Additionally, most of this mortality occurred after April 2001 (Figure 3). Oysters at both locations have high "Dermo" disease loads, ranging from 80-90% infection rates with over 20% of oysters exhibiting "Heavy" loads (Figure 4). The timing of the majority of mortality combined with documented disease loads point to disease as the primary mortality factor.

## Table 1

Jeff Hammer, Hummocks 2000/2001

Grow-out Project

Oyster growth (shell ht. mm)

Date	West Rock			East Rock		
	Mean	SD	SE	Mean	SD	SE
9/11/00	49.16444	9.38238	0.989	52.23778	11.36542	1.198
12/11/00	53.98	10.74824	1.133	56.01111	9.691598	1.022
4/9/01	53.77333	10.7377	1.132	58.71222	9.883283	1.042
8/21/01	63.29556	12.06015	1.271	66.06444	9.847812	1.038
11/2/01	60.42444	9.221827	0.972	66.35222	12.84894	1.354

# Figure 1 - Hammer Grant Project

## Mean Shell Ht. (mm)




Figure 2. Size frequency distribution of oysters from both East and West rocks combined over time.




Table 2

Jeff Hammer, Hummocks 2000  
Grow-out Project

1,2 & 3 are the west rock location (WR)

4,5 & 6 are the east rock/tump location (ER)

Oyster Mortality

Date	Location	Trt	Rep	Sample 1 (per 0.0625 m <sup>2</sup> )		Sample 2 (per 0.0625 m <sup>2</sup> )		Sample 3 (per 0.0625 m <sup>2</sup> )		Total (per 0.1875 m <sup>2</sup> )		% Mortality
				Live	Dead	Live	Dead	Live	Dead	Live	Dead	
12/11/2000	WR	SHELL	1	39	11	34	21	94	20	167	52	23.7
12/11/2000	WR	SHELL	2	34	18	48	17	67	27	149	62	29.4
12/11/2000	WR	SHELL	3	45	17	40	27	37	8	122	52	29.9
12/11/2000	ER	SHELL	4	47	8	29	10	21	12	97	30	23.6
12/11/2000	ER	SHELL	5	37	22	22	8	27	12	86	42	32.8
12/11/2000	ER	SHELL	6	42	14	15	7	46	12	103	33	24.3
04/09/2001	WR	SHELL	1	11	7	60	20	41	24	112	51	31.3
04/09/2001	WR	SHELL	2	25	11	23	14	34	16	82	41	33.3
04/09/2001	WR	SHELL	3	19	6	17	9	23	33	59	48	44.9
04/09/2001	ER	SHELL	4	12	13	6	17	53	19	71	49	40.8
04/09/2001	ER	SHELL	5	18	14	11	11	53	19	82	44	34.9
04/09/2001	ER	SHELL	6	16	5	32	13	78	21	126	39	23.6
11/02/2001	WR	SHELL	1	26	24	10	20	9	10	45	54	54.5
11/02/2001	WR	SHELL	2	4	10	12	14	17	11	33	35	51.5
11/02/2001	WR	SHELL	3	7	15	21	19	14	15	42	49	53.8
11/02/2001	ER	SHELL	4	17	18	20	16	29	18	66	52	44.1
11/02/2001	ER	SHELL	5	20	20	11	11	18	18	49	49	50.0
11/02/2001	ER	SHELL	6	9	12	19	13	12	14	40	39	49.4

NOTE: Initial mortality measures nursery mortality as both live and dead oysters were stocked to bins because the added substrate was deemed useful. Subsequent mortality estimates are cumulative, INCLUDING nursery mortality. For graphs and discussion, only mortality occurring after oysters stocked to grow out were used!



**SHELLFISH PATHOLOGY REPORT**

Source of animals: Jeff Hammer  
P.O. Box 273  
Accomac, VA 23301

History/Sample ID: Industry West  
Location: Burton Bay  
Date Collected: August 23, 2001  
Date Received: August 28, 2001  
Case number: 396  
Number of animals processed for histology sample: 25  
Number of animals examined using fluid thioglycollate culture: 25

1. Gross description of animals:  
No gross pathological signs evident.

2. Histological Findings:

Parasites

<u>Group</u>	<u>Prevalence</u>	<u>Infection intensity (H-M-L)*</u>
Bacteria	0%	
Protozoa		
<i>Haplosporidium nelsoni</i> (MSX)	0%	
<i>Perkinsus marinus</i> (Dermo)	23/25 (92%)	6-4-13

H=heavy, M=moderate, and L=light

3. Summary:

Ninety-two percent of the oysters examined were infected with *P. marinus*. The majority of *P. marinus* infections were light; however, ten individuals had moderate to heavy infections. No other known disease agents, or other parasites were observed in the oysters.

4. Comments: Some *P. marinus* associated mortality may occur in the oyster population. Records of the diagnoses will be retained in our laboratory if you need them for any other reason.

Pathologist: Lisa M. Ragone  
Lisa M. Ragone Calvo

September 5, 2001

cc: Eugene Bureson  
Mark Luckenbach  
William DuPaul

**SHELLFISH PATHOLOGY REPORT**

Source of animals: Jeff Hammer  
P.O. Box 273  
Accomac, VA 23301

History/Sample ID: Industry East  
Location: Burton Bay  
Date Collected: August 23, 2001  
Date Received: August 28, 2001  
Case number: 397  
Number of animals processed for histology sample: 25  
Number of animals examined using fluid thiolglycollate culture: 25

1. Gross description of animals:  
No gross pathological signs evident.

2. Histological Findings:

Parasites

<u>Group</u>	<u>Prevalence</u>	<u>Infection intensity (H-M-L)*</u>
Bacteria	0%	
Protozoa		
<i>Haplosporidium nelsoni</i> (MSX)	2/25 (8%)	0-1-1
<i>Perkinsus marinus</i> (Dermo)	20/25 (80%)	6-2-12

H=heavy, M=moderate, and L=light

3. Summary:

Eighty percent of the oysters examined were infected with *P. marinus* and 8% were infected with *H. nelsoni*. Infection intensities of *H. nelsoni* were light and moderate. The majority of *P. marinus* infections were light; however, eight individuals had moderate to heavy infections. No other known disease agents, or other parasites were observed in the oysters.

4. Comments: Some *P. marinus* associated mortality may occur in the oyster population. Records of the diagnoses will be retained in our laboratory if you need them for any other reason.


Pathologist: Lisa M. Calvo  
Lisa M. Ragone Calvo

September 5, 2001

cc: Eugene Burreson  
Mark Luckenbach

# Figure 4 - Hammer Grant Project

% "Derma" Disease Load-Aug. 2001



# Figure 3 - Hammer Grant Project

Cumulative % Mortality after Entering Grow Out

