

W&M ScholarWorks

VIMS Articles

Virginia Institute of Marine Science

2022

Effects of unregulated international fishing on recovery potential of the sandbar shark within the southeast United States

Cassidy Peterson Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Michael J. Wilbert

Enric Cortes

Dean Courtney

Robert J. Latour Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles

Part of the Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons

Recommended Citation

Peterson, Cassidy; Wilbert, Michael J.; Cortes, Enric; Courtney, Dean; and Latour, Robert J., Effects of unregulated international fishing on recovery potential of the sandbar shark within the southeast United States (2022). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*. doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2021-0345

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in VIMS Articles by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

- 1 Effects of unregulated international fishing on recovery potential of the sandbar shark within the southeast
- 2 United States
- 3
- 4 Authors: Cassidy D Peterson^{1,2*}, Michael J Wilberg³, Enric Cortés⁴, Dean L Courtney⁴, Robert J Latour²
- 5¹ Southeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 101 Pivers Island Road, Beaufort,
- 6 NC 28516, USA
- 7 ² Virginia Institute of Marine Science, William & Mary, P.O. Box 1346, Gloucester Point, VA, 23062, USA
- 8 ³ Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, PO Box 38,
- 9 Solomons, MD 20688, USA
- 10 ⁴ Southeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3500 Delwood Beach Road,
- 11 Panama City, FL 32408, USA
- 12 * Corresponding author: cassidy.peterson@noaa.gov
- 13
- 14 Competing interests: The authors declare there are no competing interests.

15 Abstract

16	Coastal sharks are challenging to manage in the United States due to their slow life history, limited data
17	availability, history of overexploitation, and competing stakeholder interests. Furthermore, species like the
18	sandbar shark are subjected to international exploitation unmanaged by the U.S. We conducted a
19	management strategy evaluation using Stock Synthesis on the sandbar shark to test the performance of
20	various configurations of a threshold harvest control rule. In addition to uncertainties addressed in the
21	operating model, we built multiple implementation models to address uncertainties related to future levels
22	of a partially unmanaged source of removals, the combined Mexican and U.S. recreational (MexRec) fleet.
23	We found that the presence of unregulated removals had the potential to significantly influence the success
24	of the various management procedures tested. Notably, if MexRec catches continue to increase with total
25	stock abundance following historical trends, the rate of MexRec removals will be too large to allow the
26	sandbar shark to recover across operating models. We present trade-offs between performance metrics
27	across a range of 24 management procedures and three implementation models.

28 Word Count (175): 175

Keywords: management strategy evaluation (MSE), management procedure (MP), harvest control rule
 (HCR), catch limit, implementation uncertainty, Stock Synthesis, low fecundity stock recruit relationship,

31 sandbar shark, highly migratory species, international fishery

32 Introduction

33	Selected fishes that cross international boundaries are designated "highly migratory species" by
34	the U.S. These highly migratory species are not as strictly bound to the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery
35	Conservation and Management Act (MSA), which governs fishery management in the U.S. (MSA 2007), to
36	allow room for international collaboration and agreements. Management of international fisheries is
37	particularly challenging, because several nations with conflicting management goals often need to
38	collaborate to achieve their objectives or operate competitively as independent governing bodies (Munro
39	2009). Accordingly, the influence of external, unmanaged removals has rarely been explicitly considered
40	on the efficacy of fisheries management (e.g., Van Beveren et al. 2020).
41	Domestic coastal sharks within the U.S. Atlantic are currently managed under the National Oceanic
42	and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Atlantic Highly
43	Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan. Accordingly, many Atlantic coastal shark distributions span
44	multiple countries and are consequently subjected to harvest by non-U.S. countries. To date, no
45	management procedure has been formally proposed or utilized for these sharks within the U.S. (NMFS
46	2019).
47	Managing fisheries according to management procedures (MPs) is gaining traction worldwide
48	(ICES 2019; Punt et al. 2016), as MP-based management is consistent with the FAO's precautionary

49 approach (FAO 1996). MPs include a pre-specified rule for adjusting management measures based on the

50 status of a stock, commonly termed a harvest control rule (HCRs; NMFS 2016; Restrepo et al. 1998). By 51 conservatively reducing catch limits, MPs can account for scientific and management uncertainty and 52 reduce the risk to the resource (MSA 2007; NMFS 2019). Accordingly, development of MPs is also 53 increasing in the United States (DeVore and Gilden 2019).

54 Management strategy evaluation (MSE) is the approach by which the performance of alternative 55 MPs are evaluated through closed-loop simulation (Holland 2010; Punt 2010). The combination of an HCR, 56 fishery-specific data-generating procedure, estimating model (EM; e.g., assessment model), and 57 implementation procedure defines an MP. In addition to development of candidate MPs, MSE involves 58 specification of management objectives, identification of major uncertainties within the fishery, development 59 and conditioning of multiple operating models (OMs), and presentation of the trade-offs among 60 management objectives obtained from simulating the fishery under the various candidate MPs (A'mar et al. 61 2006; Punt et al. 2016; Sainsbury et al. 2000). An MSE is distinguished from a traditional risk analysis 62 through the feedback loop that regularly applies the MP-derived catch back to the fishery in each time step 63 (generally with associated implementation and management uncertainty). Including stakeholder input to 64 clarify management objectives and foster buy-in to the management process is considered best practice 65 within MSE (Goethel et al. 2019; Punt et al. 2016), though many pertinent questions can be investigated 66 with MSEs with no direct stakeholder input. Consequently, the overwhelming majority of MSE simulations

have been desk MSEs, defined as MSEs that do not directly include stakeholders (A'mar et al. 2006;
Carruthers et al. 2016; Punt et al. 2005).

69	Coastal sharks are generally considered data-limited (Cortés et al. 2015; Ellis et al. 2008; Stevens
70	2000), highly susceptible to overexploitation (Musick et al. 2000; Stevens 2000), and challenging to assess
71	and manage (Cortés 2011; Cortés et al. 2015). Specifically, sharks comprise intrinsically slow-growing
72	populations (Cortés 2011; Musick et al. 2000; Stevens 2000) and undergo complex, sex-specific and
73	ontogenetically varying habitat use and migratory patterns (Ellis et al. 2008; Grubbs 2010; McCandless et
74	al. 2007). Low economic fishery value has resulted in lower research prioritization of sharks (Ellis et al.
75	2008; Pilling et al. 2008; Stevens 2000), such that fundamental understanding of shark life history is still
76	lacking for many species (Cortés et al. 2015; Stevens 2000). Particular areas of uncertainty for coastal
77	sharks include estimates of natural mortality (Cortés 2011; Ellis et al. 2008), accurate age-determination
78	protocols (Natanson and Deacy 2019; Natanson et al. 2018), and a generally understudied stock-
79	recruitment relationship (Kai and Yokoi 2017; Taylor et al. 2013). Furthermore, restricted spatiotemporal
80	survey data (Grubbs 2010), unreliable stock structure and identification, uncertainty in the amount of
81	unreported catch, poorly resolved discard statistics, and unknown post-release mortality (Cortés 2011)
82	pose challenges to assessment scientists. These data limitations coupled with the history of documented
83	shark population declines due to unregulated overexploitation (e.g., Musick et al. 1993) have resulted in

repeated calls for conservative and precautionary management measures (e.g., Dulvy et al. 2014; Musick
et al. 2000).

86	Beyond challenges associated with assessing coastal shark stocks (Cortés 2011), the
87	management of coastal sharks is itself contentious within the coastal and fishing communities (Carlson et
88	al. 2019). Expected management objectives of coastal sharks strongly oppose one another, a problem
89	exacerbated by the number of conflicting stakeholders and strong attitudes towards sharks (Castro 2016).
90	In addition to fearful opinions of sharks and concern about their interactions with other threatened species
91	(Carlson et al. 2019), fishers have overwhelmingly reported an overabundance of sharks and corresponding
92	depredation which directly impacts their catch and livelihood (Carlson et al. 2019; Mitchell et al. 2018; Tixier
93	et al. 2020). These perspectives contrast with those of conservationists (Castro 2016; Simpfendorfer et al.
94	2011) and individuals within the shark tourism industry (Cisneros-Montemayor et al. 2013; Gallagher and
95	Hammerschlag 2011). Commercial and recreational coastal shark fishers' goals may differ still (Gallagher
96	et al. 2017; Punt et al. 2016) and contrast with federal management guidelines (MSA 2007).
97	The purpose of this study is to examine potential management strategies for application to a large
98	coastal shark species, the sandbar shark (Carcharhinus plumbeus). The southeast U.S. sandbar shark
99	stock is harvested by both the U.S. and Mexico. Using a desk MSE, we examined how various
100	parameterizations of a U.Sbased threshold HCR perform for the sandbar shark across uncertainties
101	including: natural mortality, steepness, initial population size, form of the stock-recruit relationship, and the

102	level of future Mexican and U.S. recreational harvest. Because the U.S. cannot regulate Mexican catches,
103	the future rates of Mexican harvest is a uniquely key uncertainty in this system. We are interested in
104	understanding (1) how an MP would perform for coastal sharks more broadly, and (2) how unmanaged,
105	international removals would impact the expected performance of an MP. Accordingly, we developed three
106	MSE implementation scenarios: one to test the Conceptual MP performance, assuming all catch was
107	controlled by the HCR, and two to test MP performance subject to unregulated (by the HCR) Mexican
108	removals. Performance metrics used to assess HCR performance reflected anticipated desires of shark-
109	directed and non-shark-directed commercial and recreational fishers, conservationists and eco-tourism
110	industries, as well as the limitations outlined by the MSA and subsequent reauthorizations (MSA 2007).
111	This MSE is a first for the domestically managed Atlantic coastal sharks, and has broad application to any
112	stocks with an uncontrolled (by the MP) component to the catch.

113 Methods

114 Sandbar shark

115 Stock, Fishery, and Management

116 The focus of this study is sandbar shark management in the U.S. The sandbar shark is known to

- 117 have a low intrinsic population growth rate, with a median age at maturity of 13 years (Baremore and Hale
- 118 2012), a reproductive cycle of 2 or 3 years (considered 2.5 years; Baremore and Hale 2012; SEDAR 2017),
- a maximum age of 31 years (SEDAR 2017), and comprises a single stock within the southeast U.S. and
- 120 Gulf of Mexico (Heist et al. 1995). Sandbar sharks are preferred within the coastal shark fishery due to their

121	larger sizes, proportionally large fins, and close proximity to land (Dulvy et al. 2014). Following an
122	unmanaged expansion of the fishery in the 1980s, the southeast U.S. sandbar shark stock declined rapidly
123	to overfished levels into the early 1990s. As a result of federal management implementations initiated
124	throughout the mid-1990s, the stock has since begun to recover into the 2010s (Peterson et al. 2017;
125	SEDAR 2017). Retention of sandbar sharks is prohibited in commercial and recreational fisheries, though
126	a small research fishery is maintained. Currently, the sandbar shark is below its biomass threshold (i.e.,
127	overfished) and its current fishing mortality rate is less than the maximum threshold (i.e., is not experiencing
128	overfishing; SEDAR 2017). However, uncertainty in stock status is high, as various sensitivity scenarios in
129	the most recent stock assessment produced different depictions of stock status (SEDAR 2017).
130	The most recent stock assessment partitioned catch according to four fishing fleets: (1) the U.S.
131	commercial fleet in the Gulf of Mexico, (2) the U.S. commercial fleet in the Atlantic Ocean, (3) the U.S.
132	recreational catches combined with landings from the Mexican fishery (MexRec fleet), and (4) dead
133	discards attributed to the Gulf of Mexico menhaden purse seine fishery (SEDAR 2017). Catches are
134	generally considered particularly uncertain for coastal sharks, largely because they were rarely identified to
135	species level in the U.S. historical time period and have never been reported by species in Mexico, the
136	prohibitively high uncertainty around U.S. recreational removal estimates, and the fact that all catch series
137	were reconstructed prior to 1981. The Mexican and U.S. recreational fleet were initially combined because
138	they were believed to have the same selectivity (E. Cortés personal observation). Due to the consequent
139	challenges associated with adequately separating the MexRec fleet, we relied on the peer-reviewed (Cortés

140 et al. 2002; SEDAR 2006, 2011, 2017) combined fleet as representative of the best available information 141 for the current analyses. 142 There is no HCR in place for coastal sharks in the U.S. (NMFS 2019). Because the sandbar shark is currently overfished, a rebuilding plan is in place. A quota is recommended by defining the level of 143 144 exploitation that would ensure the stock is not overfished with 70% probability by the end of the projection period. Annual commercial catch limits are then specified by first subtracting anticipated recreational catch 145 146 and bycatch mortality (58 mt for sandbar shark, which does not include Mexican catches) and then 147 correcting for past over- or under-harvest (SEDAR 2017). Management Strategy Evaluation Protocol 148 149 An MSE was developed for the sandbar shark in the southeast United States using R (version 150 3.6.3; R Core Team 2020) and Stock Synthesis (version 3.30.15; Methot and Wetzel 2013). Stock synthesis 151 is a packaged tool for applying integrated, statistical catch-at-age assessments (Methot and Wetzel 2013), 152 and has proven useful in MSE applications (Doering and Vaughan 2020; Hicks et al. 2016; ISC 2019; 153 Maunder 2014; Sharma et al. 2020). We relied extensively on the R package 'r4ss' (Taylor et al. 2021;

154 Taylor et al. 2019) for communication between R and Stock Synthesis and followed Maunder (2014) for

155 using Stock Synthesis as the operational framework for an MSE (see supplementary material for detailed

156 protocol; R code and example Stock Synthesis control input files available at

157 <u>https://github.com/cassidydpeterson/SS_MSE</u>).

158 Operating Model

159 Stock Synthesis operating model development

160	The base OM was modified from the most recent Stock Synthesis assessment (SEDAR 2017) to
161	include two sexes, four fishing fleets, two indices of abundance, and a low-fecundity stock-recruit (LFSR)
162	relationship (Taylor et al. 2013; Figures S1-S5). Though the recent assessment model contains 11 indices
163	of abundance (SEDAR 2011), we only included two indices in the current simulation to reduce computing
164	time and model complexity. The two indices included in the OM were chosen based on temporal and spatial
165	coverage, selectivity, fit in the assessment model, and because the corresponding assessment results were
166	very close to those of SEDAR (2017).
167	The Stock Synthesis model was then altered to reflect each OM scenario (Table 1) and conditioned
168	on the available assessment data to ensure that each OM was consistent with the biology and exploitation
169	history of the sandbar shark (e.g., Figure S1-S5). Within the conditioning step, each OM was fitted following
170	the most recent assessment model structure, apart from the requisite alteration for unique OM scenarios
171	(e.g., the same life history parameters were fixed, etc.; see Supplementary materials for more details on
172	OM specification). Note that the OM conditioning was part of the OM model development, and did not occur
173	within the simulation loop.
174	OM Process error – parameter generating process
175	Following OM conditioning, process error in the OM was generated using ADMB's Markov-Chain

176 Monte Carlo (MCMC) protocol (Monnahan et al. 2014) to generate alternative iterations or states of nature

177	across which MP performance would be tested. The timeframe of the OM was then extended to include the
178	full simulation time horizon or projection period (years 2016-2115). MCMC was run across future years to
179	generate recruitment and parameter deviations for the entire duration of the simulation. Additional
180	complexity was built into the OM compared to the EM, inherently assuming that, in practice, the assessment
181	model was simpler than the true underlying dynamics of the population. Process error was induced through
182	time-varying recruitment deviations, selectivity, and catchability (q, Wilberg et al. 2010). Time-varying
183	selectivity and catchability parameters were implemented through zero-reverting random walks (Methot et
184	al. 2020) to ensure they would not stray into unrealistic values (Wilberg et al. 2010). Non-time varying error
185	was included in von Bertalanffy length-at-age, allometric weight-at-length, and stock-recruitment
186	parameters (except steepness within the Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship OMs). Recruitment
187	autocorrelation was fixed in the OMs at the value estimated in the conditioning step.
188	To assist in the MCMC process (including reducing computing time and improving convergence),
189	priors were placed on almost all estimated parameters (excluding the natural logarithm of virgin recruitment;
190	Monnahan et al. 2019). We ensured priors were informative, particularly for parameters for which there
191	were little data to inform parameter estimates (e.g., selectivity). Prior means were defined as the values
192	estimated through conditioning each OM, and prior standard deviations were generally restricted to be an
193	order of magnitude less than the respective prior mean. By necessarily constraining some priors, we
194	ensured that future projections were viable.

195 *OM Observation error – data generating process*

196	Observation uncertainty, or uncertainty induced within the data-generating step, was included in
197	historical observed catches, future catches, relative abundance indices, and length-composition
198	observations. Data were generated using Stock Synthesis's parametric bootstrapping protocol. New
199	datasets with variance properties consistent with the original data were created by calculating expected
200	values for input data, and then adding random samples from the probability distribution of the expected
201	value for each input data type (Methot and Wetzel 2013; Methot et al. 2020). The OM assumed lognormal
202	error in catch and abundance index observations and multinomial error in length compositions.
203	For each future year, we specified (1) catch as obtained from the HCR and implementation models,
204	(2) standard error of catch, (3) effective sample size of length frequency observations, and (4) abundance
205	index standard error. The bootstrap process subsequently constructed indices, length compositions, and
206	applied observation error to commercial catches. These bootstrapped data were then used as observed
207	data in the EM for the corresponding year. Within the simulation, future years of the OM were populated
208	with expected values and bootstrapped values with observation uncertainty were input into the EM.
209	OM Uncertainties
210	By configuring simulations to reflect various hypotheses about the structure and productivity of the
211	underlying stock, it was possible to account for the plausible range of uncertainties in the population
212	dynamics and assess the robustness of each MP to uncertainties in the system. Uncertainties explored
213	included alternate levels of natural mortality, steepness, and overall magnitude of the resource, in addition

214	to the form of the stock-recruit relationship (Table 1). Multiple OMs were constructed to reflect each
215	alternate level of the respective uncertainty. Given the computational demands of a full factorial design of
216	each level of uncertainty, a "base" level of all parameters was chosen and each parameter was then allowed
217	to vary in turn (Punt et al. 2016; Table 1).
218	Because the sandbar shark is exploited by both the U.S. and Mexico, any MP employed by the
219	U.S. will not alter Mexican removals. The level of future Mexican removals consequently represents a major
220	uncertainty in the system. As such, the magnitude of future MexRec removals was treated as an additional
221	level of uncertainty realized through multiple implementation models.
222	Estimation Model
223	The population was assessed by inputting the bootstrap-generated data into the EM, which was
224	configured to replicate the stock assessment model used in practice to assess the sandbar shark (derived
225	from SEDAR 2017). Where feasible, the observations, available information, estimated parameters, and
226	assumptions were kept consistent with those associated with the stock assessment model fitted in practice
227	(SEDAR 2017). In the EM, selectivity and catchability were assumed to be time-invariant. Biological
228	parameters were fixed and the stock was assumed to follow a Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship.
229	Therefore, the EM assumptions most closely approximate those from the BH_OM (with additional fixed and
230	non-time varying parameters; see Supplementary materials for additional details on EM specification).

231 Harvest Control Rule

The results of the EM were applied to the HCR to estimate a target catch. The HCR was built in R rather than using Stock Synthesis' forecast module. Threshold HCRs, or HCRs that have one or more breakpoints at which the control rule changes (Punt 2010), have generally been shown to be preferable due to precautionary reduction of allowable catch when stock size is low (Deroba and Bence 2008; Kvamsdal et al. 2016; Punt 2010). Consequently, the effects of various parameterizations of a threshold harvest rate HCR based on the following equation were explored:

238
$$F = \begin{cases} 0 & B < a \\ F_{lim} \left(\frac{B-a}{b-a} \right) & a \le B \le b \\ F_{lim} & b < B \end{cases}$$

239 where F is fishing mortality, B is biomass, F_{lim} is the upper limit F, and a and b, are parameters governing 240 the reduction in prescribed F at reduced biomass levels (Figure 1). A total of 24 unique parameterizations 241 of the HCR were explored, as determined by a factorial expansion of six levels of F_{lim}, two levels of a, and 242 two levels of b (Table 2) and guided by expert opinion and the primary literature (Clarke and Hoyle 2014; 243 Cortés and Brooks 2018; Sainsbury 2008; Zhou et al. 2012). Note that the HCR provides an F, which was 244 then used to calculate a target catch. F was converted to catch by dividing the average pattern of fishing 245 mortality-at-age (F_a) from the years 1995-2015 by fishing mortality ($F_{prop} = F_a/F$). F_{prop} was then multiplied 246 by the HCR-derived F and the vector of biomass-at-age (B_a) to generate an estimated catch-at-age vector, which was summed to generate a target catch. F_{prop} served as a mechanism to appropriately include the 247 248 relative catches of each fleet and their selectivity patterns in the target catch.

Page 15 of 57

249

Implementation Model

250 Overall implementation uncertainty was added following historical implementation uncertainty 251 between observed catch and specified target catch from the years 2008 to 2019. Historically, observed 252 catches have been biased low compared to specified target catch. Thus, the ratio of future observed catch 253 to target catch was assumed to follow a lognormal distribution, and each year in the MSE projection 254 randomly applied implementation uncertainty following this distribution. Based on observed data, empirical 255 relationships were calculated between effective sample size of length composition data and either fishery 256 catch for fishing fleets or population biomass for fishery-independent indices. Effective sample size for 257 length compositions were projected following these empirically observed relationships (see Supplementary 258 materials for additional information on empirical implementation model relationships). 259 Catch Implementation 260 Following the stock assessment, catch was separated into four fleets in the OM: (1) Gulf of Mexico 261 U.S. commercial fleet, (2) South Atlantic U.S. commercial fleet, (3) MexRec fleet, and (4) Gulf of Mexico 262 menhaden purse seine fishery dead discards. In practice, catch limits for sandbar shark are set for the U.S. 263 commercial fisheries, not including the MexRec fleet or dead discards. The proportion of commercial catch 264 in the Gulf of Mexico relative to the commercial catch in the Atlantic Ocean from the years 1995 to 2015 265 was modeled using a beta distribution. We assumed that commercial catch partitioning would follow this

- distribution into the future, and consequently, a randomly selected proportion of target catch was allocated
- to the Gulf of Mexico from the modeled distribution. In practice, the menhaden discard fleet is not included

- in the HCR-designated target catch. We assumed the menhaden discard fleet would continue to be linearly
- related to biomass following the historical relationship.
- 270 Because separation of the MexRec fleet was outside the scope of this study, it was retained as a
- 271 single fleet in the current analyses. Furthermore, since Mexican catches are not managed by the U.S., a
- 272 unique aspect of this MSE was predicting the trajectory of future Mexican removals. To address the
- 273 uncertainty of future Mexican removals in the MexRec fleet, three implementation model scenarios were
- 274 developed (two Expected implementation scenarios: HiMexRec and LoMexRec; and one Conceptual
- 275 implementation scenario) to reflect various hypotheses of future MexRec landings (Figure 2).
- 276 Expected Implementation Scenarios

The current management process is to designate a target catch, then subtract 58 mt to obtain the U.S. commercial catch limit, accounting for anticipated recreational removals and removals due to dead discarding. Accordingly, the expected implementation scenarios in the current study followed this process and the independence of the MexRec and menhaden discard fleets from the HCR-designated target U.S.

281 commercial catch was maintained.

Historically, MexRec removals increased with increasing biomass between 1995 and 2013, though in recent years (2008-2013), catches have remained low. The drivers of MexRec catches are conflated, such that high MexRec removals in the late 1990s may have been driven by high U.S. recreational removals or by high Mexican removals. To book-end plausible Expected MP performance, two implementation

286 models were constructed: (1) one in which MexRec removals will increase with biomass following the linear

287	trend observed between 1995 and 2013 (HiMexRec scenario), and (2) one where MexRec landings remain
288	low and vary around the mean removals observed between 2008 and 2013 (LoMexRec scenario; Figure
289	3).
290	Conceptual Implementation Scenario
291	The Conceptual MP scenario examined how the MP would perform if all removals were managed
292	by allowing MexRec catches to be subjected to the HCR, enabling determination of how these MPs would
293	perform for a slow-growing coastal shark species more generally. In the Conceptual implementation model,
294	HCR-designated target catch was not subjected to subtraction of the anticipated U.S. recreational catches
295	as in the Expected MP scenarios. Instead, half of the target catch was allocated towards the MexRec
296	fishery, and the remaining half was split between the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean using the beta
297	distribution as described above.
298	Simulation Specifics
299	In the current simulation, stock assessments occurred every five years. The target catch calculated
300	in a given assessment year was applied as a constant catch in each year until the next assessment, with
301	unique implementation uncertainty in each year. The time horizon of the simulation was 100 years, allowing
302	sufficient time for the model to allow the overfished sandbar stock to recover, if possible. Each OM-HCR-
303	implementation model scenario was run for 100 iterations.
304	This MSE tested the performance of 24 MPs across three future implementation scenarios on six
305	unique OMs (Figure 2). Only one data-generating and one EM were created, such that each MP was

defined by the data-generating model, the EM, and one of 24 HCRs. All factorial combinations of OM-MP-

- 307 implementation model were explored in the current study.
- 308 Performance Metrics
- 309 Performance metrics were identified based on best practices (e.g., Punt 2017; Punt et al. 2016), 310 the goals of the current rebuilding plan (as referenced in SEDAR 2017), and a thought exercise wherein 311 relevant stakeholder desires were considered given our understanding of the fishery. In SEDAR (2017), the 312 rebuilding projection target was to rebuild the stock with 70% probability by the end of the 2070 rebuilding period. The performance metrics included: probability of stock recovery (where recovery was defined as 313 $B \ge B_{MSY}$, where B is defined as spawning stock biomass and the subscript MSY indicates the corresponding 314 value at maximum sustainable yield), average annual and total catch, mid-term (year=2070, representing 315 316 the end of the rebuilding period for sandbar shark) and end year (2115) estimation of stock status (BIB_{MSY} 317 and $\Pr(F_{MSY})$ and catch, probability of overfishing throughout the simulation horizon (POF; calculated by 318 summing the number of years in which $F > F_{MSY}$ divided by the 100 years in the simulation horizon), average annual variability in catch ($AAV = \frac{\sum |c_t - c_{t-1}|}{\sum c_t}$, where *C* is catch at all times *t* within the simulation horizon), 319 320 and annual average length within the stock. All performance metrics were calculated from the OM. 321 Reference points (B_{MSY} , F_{MSY}) were estimated by Stock Synthesis within the OM conditioning for the year 322 2015. Note that for many non-shark fishers, coastal sharks are deemed a nuisance species (Carlson et al. 323 2019; C. Peterson personal observation), as they are known to depredate other fisheries (Mitchell et al. 324 2018; Tixier et al. 2020). Consequently, we were also conscious of HCRs that resulted in very large biomass

325 levels (*B*>1.5*B*_{MSY}). Median performance metrics were presented following Butterworth and Punt's (1999)

326 recommendation for K-selected species.

327 **Results**

328 Operating Model Parameterizations

In the absence of fishing (Figure 4), the expected recovery of the stock would occur in the year 329 330 2071 in OM_Base, 2054 for OM_BH, 2042 in OM_Hih, sometime after the year 2115 in OM_Loh, 2022 in 331 OM InR0, and in 2024 for OM M BH (Table 1; see Supplementary Materials). The effect of the stock-332 recruit relationship had implications for stock productivity and the shape of the biomass-yield curve (Figures 333 4-5). Productivity was greater and MSY occurred at lower biomass levels under the BH stock recruitment 334 assumption compared to the LFSR assumption. A low steepness value of 0.25 was selected within 335 OM_Loh, because a value of 0.2 resulted in a stock that was projected to decline in the absence of all 336 fishing (F=0 for all fleets). A BH stock recruit relationship was assumed for the low natural mortality OM 337 because the assumption of low natural mortality with an LFSR relationship resulted in a stock for which any 338 fishing pressure would result in an overfished stock (i.e., $B_{MSY} \approx B_0$, where B_0 is virgin spawning stock 339 biomass).

340 Management Procedure Performance

Management procedure performance varied based on both the implementation model and OM. Overall, the effect of the implementation model had a much greater impact on MP performance than HCR parameterization (Figure 6). Nevertheless, the goal of an MSE is to develop MPs in the face of plausible

344	uncertainties. Recall that management advice is generated from the EM, which does not necessarily match
345	the simulated stock dynamics generated by the OM. Furthermore, note that results are presented relative
346	to static reference points calculated for the year 2015 during the OM conditioning step, as the OM is not
347	actively being fitted throughout the simulation. Consequently, changes in reference points in the projection
348	period, such as those arising from shifts in fishing allocation, are not reflected in the results. (See
349	Supplementary Materials for further details on MP performance with respect to individual OMs and
350	implementation scenarios.)
351	HCR Parameterization
352	MP performance across candidate HCRs reflects tradeoffs in management objectives (Figure 7).
353	Across OMs, average age 1+ instantaneous natural mortality (0.0627 in OM_M_BH and 0.125 in all other
354	OMs) was greater than F_{MSY} , except in the low M_BH scenario (see Table 1 for OM-specific F_{MSY}),
355	suggesting that setting F_{lim} at a rate equal to <i>M</i> is likely too high. The ratio of F_{MSY}/M ranged from 1.177 in
356	OM_M_BH to 0.530 in OM_Loh (Table 1). Consequently, when F_{lim} was high, U.S. commercial catch
357	increased, while terminal spawning stock biomass and probability of stock recovery declined. When F_{lim}
358	was equal to 0.2 <i>M</i> , the resulting B_{2115} was much greater than B_{MSY} in the Conceptual implementation
359	scenario, indicating that F_{lim} =0.2 <i>M</i> was too low in those scenarios. When <i>a</i> was set equal to 30% of B_{0} , the
360	probability of stock recovery improved over HCRs wherein <i>a</i> =0, but cumulative commercial catch was much
361	lower. When <i>b</i> was equal to 80% of B_{MSY} , the terminal spawning stock biomass and probability of recovery

362 decreased slightly relative to when $b=B_{MSY}$, accompanied by a slight increase in cumulative U.S. 363 commercial catch (Figure 8).

364 Effect of Implementation Scenarios

365 If MexRec catches increase with increasing stock size following historical exploitation patterns, then 366 the rate of MexRec harvest may be too great to allow the sandbar shark stock to recover (18.0% recovery 367 rate across all OMs and HCRs). In contrast, if MexRec catches remain small following recent years of low 368 removals as the sandbar shark stock abundance increases, then the stock will have a much higher 369 probability of recovery (63.7% recovery rate across all OMs and HCRs) by 2115. MP performance under 370 the LoMexRec implementation scenario was closer to that of the Conceptual implementation scenario 371 (Figures 6 & 8). Exploration into the Conceptual performance of candidate MPs wherein all catches were 372 controlled by the MP, generally showed a more rapid and thorough recovery (63.2% recovery rate by 2115 373 across all OMs and HCRs) than when there was a source of uncontrolled and unaccounted for removals. 374 The current practice of subtracting 58 mt from the target catch was sufficient to allow for stock 375 recovery in most OMs before 2115. However, this constant deduction from the MSY was based on only 376 U.S. recreational catches, and does not include Mexican removals. The average value of the combined 377 MexRec removals between the years 2008-2013 was approximately 109 mt; likely explaining the longer 378 time-to-recovery compared to the Conceptual MP performance scenario (Figure S14 vs Figure S6). 379 The rebuilding deadline, as prescribed by the MSA, for the sandbar shark is 2070. The probability 380 of stock recovery by the end of the rebuilding period varied by HCR and implementation model (Figure 8).

381 Averaging across OMs and HCRs for demonstration purposes, the Conceptual, LoMexRec, and HiMexRec

382 scenarios had a 52.9%, 46.8%, and 21.4% probability of stock recovery by 2070, respectively.

383 Decision Table

For the purposes of compiling results and displaying tradeoffs, each OM was weighted equally, inherently assuming that the plausibility of each OM was equal (Tables S1-S3; Figure 8). When measured across OMs, the probability of recovery by 2070, or even 2115, rarely exceeded 70%. These resulting patterns in probability of recovery indicate that fishing mortality rates would need to be reduced to meet a 70% rebuilding target by the end of the simulated time horizon. The probability of recovery was further impacted by unmanaged removals, which also had the potential to notably reduce probability of recovery and increase probability of overfishing (Figure 8).

391 While it is ultimately up to managers to determine acceptable risk level, few MPs tested resulted in 392 acceptable recovery probabilities, defined as median probabilities of recovery greater than 50% by the end 393 of the 2070 rebuilding period. Within the HiMexRec scenario, the median probability of recovery was less 394 than 50% for all HCRs in the years 2070 and 2115 (Table S1). In the LoMexRec implementation scenario, 395 the HCRs in which median recovery probabilities were acceptable were: HCRs where F_{lim} was equal to 396 0.2*M*, HCRs where F_{lim} was equal to 0.4*M* and *a* was set equal to 0.3*B*₀, and HCRs where F_{lim} was equal to F_{MSY} or 0.6*M*, *a* was 0.3B₀, and *b* was equal to B_{MSY} (Table S2). For the Conceptual implementation 397 398 scenarios, all HCRs in which a was set to $0.3B_{0}$ resulted in acceptable median recovery probabilities, 399 although the HCRs where F_{lim} was equal to M did not maintain a median probability of recovery greater

400	than 0.5 by 2115 when b wa	is equal to 0. <i>8B_{MSY}</i> (Table S3). Predictably,	cumulative U.S.	commercial catch
-----	----------------------------	--	-------------------------	-----------------	------------------

401 was lower in scenarios where probability of recovery was higher (Tables S1-S3).

402 Notably, the median performance of each HCR across equally weighted OMs for each 403 implementation scenario demonstrates the significance that the future unknown MexRec catches has on 404 the future of the sandbar shark fishery, particularly with respect to the HiMexRec implementation scenario. 405 Tradeoffs inherent in fisheries management, like the tradeoff between increased U.S. commercial catch 406 and terminal relative spawning stock biomass, were clearly demonstrated for the sandbar shark, yet these 407 tradeoffs varied based on the magnitude of unmanaged removals from the population. Consider that 408 compared to the Conceptual and the LoMexRec implementation scenarios, the HiMexRec scenario resulted 409 in large increases in probability of overfishing and decreases in the probability of recovery, without 410 corresponding increases in cumulative U.S. commercial catch (Figure 8). MSE simulations further indicated 411 that AAV and the annual average length of females in the stock would not be expected to change 412 substantially with choice in HCR.

413 Discussion

We followed the Maunder (2014) approach to creating a Stock Synthesis-based MSE simulation framework and applied it to the large coastal sandbar shark. The performance of variously parameterized HCRs demonstrates the management trade-off space for the sandbar shark. The best-performing threshold MPs generally displayed a ramp to zero fishing at low stock sizes and maximum fishing mortality rates less

418	than F_{MSY} or 80% of <i>M</i> . The MPs were tested against a wide range of uncertainties, and a key uncertainty
419	explored was the future rate of MexRec fishing, which was accounted for using multiple implementation
420	scenarios. Notably, the future MexRec catches fundamentally determined whether recovery of the sandbar
421	shark stock was achievable within the southeast U.S. Comparison of the HiMexRec and LoMexRec
422	implementation scenarios to the Conceptual implementation scenarios demonstrates the capacity for
423	improved resource management when co-exploiting nations act cooperatively.
424	HCR Parameterization
425	Unsurprisingly, we found that sustainable exploitation rates for the sandbar shark are low
426	(Apostolaki et al. 2006), and in particular, the ratio of F_{MSY} : <i>M</i> across our OMs ranged from 0.530 to 1.177,
427	with a mean value of 0.804 and a median value of 0.788. The exact optimal fishing rate relative to natural
428	mortality was dependent on the OM (Table 1), and in practice, optimal F_{MSY} , and therefore F_{lim} , would further
429	depend on the specifics of the fishery, including selectivity and allocation of fishing mortality (which notably
430	changed in each simulated implementation scenario). These findings are comparable to estimates by Zhou
431	et al. (2012), who defined an optimal F_{MSY} : <i>M</i> ratio of 0.41 for chondrichthyan fishes, and Cortés and Brooks
432	(2018), who calculated a median ratio of 0.64 based on results of 33 shark stock assessments. Accordingly,
433	F_{lim} had a larger effect on MP performance than the other HCR parameters. Given the ratio of F_{MSY} , M ,
434	fishing at a rate around 0.6 <i>M</i> to 0.4 <i>M</i> resulted in projections most comparable to those where F_{lim} was set
435	equal to F_{MSY} . Fishing at a rate equal to the mean age 1+ natural mortality rate was too high across all OMs

for the sandbar shark, whereas fishing at a rate of 0.2*M* was too low, resulting in forfeited catch after the
stock recovered to B_{MSY}.

438	Where stock recovery is a primary management objective, threshold HCRs with a steep ramp and
439	zero fishing at low stock sizes (e.g., with $a = 0.3B_0$ and $b = B_{MSY}$), may be good candidates for further
440	evaluation as HCRs for Atlantic HMS. These HCRs decreased target catch to account for uncertainty in the
441	observation and assessment of the fishery, and they appear rebuild the stock consistent with rebuilding
442	plans as implemented under the MSA. The relatively small impact of the HCR parameter values, <i>a</i> and <i>b</i> ,
443	suggested that implementation of a precautionary MP was more important than defining optimal parameters
444	of the HCR. Nevertheless, the choice in F_{lim} , a, and b demonstrated the trade-offs inherent in managing
445	marine fisheries resources. Namely, when <i>a</i> was larger (i.e., more precautionary), the increase in <i>B</i> was
446	countered by a substantial reduction in cumulative commercial catch. The effect of <i>b</i> was small, but larger
447	b values resulted in lower cumulative catch and increased probability of recovery (Figure 8). Median
448	probabilities of stock recovery increased when <i>a</i> was $0.3B_0$ and were rarely acceptable (<i>PRecov₂₀₇₀</i> ≥ 0.5)
449	when <i>a</i> was equal to 0.0, excepting the HiMexRec implementation scenarios, wherein median <i>PRecov</i> ₂₀₇₀
450	< 0.5 for all HCR parameterizations (Figure 8).
451	A key finding was that the success or failure of the MPs considered for the sandbar shark within

the U.S. was largely dependent on the rate of MexRec fishing. Comparably, Van Beveren et al. (2020)

453 found that the presence and magnitude of unobserved catch had a much larger effect on the capacity of

Page 26 of 57

the transboundary northern mackerel stock to recover than the choice of HCR. This finding follows that of

- 455 Thorpe and De Oliveira (2019), who noted that implementation of an HCR that reduced allowable fishing
- 456 mortality at low stock sizes was more important than the exact specifications of the HCR.

457 Uncertainties in the System

458 This MSE included six OMs and three implementation models designed to address key 459 uncertainties in the sandbar shark fishery. Accounting for uncertainties within an MSE is critical to evaluate 460 whether each MP is robust to the reasonable uncertainties in the system (Butterworth and Punt 1999; Punt 461 et al. 2016). The most significant sources of uncertainty for the sandbar shark were deemed to be future 462 Mexican catches, the form and parameterization of the stock-recruitment relationship, and natural mortality. 463 Both natural mortality and the form and parameterization of the stock-recruitment relationship are 464 uncertainties that should regularly be considered in an MSE (Deroba and Bence 2008; Punt et al. 2016), as HCR performance has been particularly sensitive to natural mortality in a variety of r- and K-selected life 465 466 history strategists (Butterworth and Punt 1999). Furthermore, the stock-recruitment relationship has been 467 known to be a significant source of uncertainty in elasmobranchs (Kai and Yokoi 2017; Kai and Fujinami 468 2018), along with natural mortality (Kai and Yokoi 2017). Punt et al. (2016) also recommended exploring 469 uncertainty in the overall size of the resource, which we characterized through the magnitude of virgin 470 recruitment.

Page 27 of 57

471	Impact of the Stock-Recruitment Relationship
472	A key uncertainty evaluated was the effect of assuming an LFSR (OM_Base) versus a B-H stock
473	recruitment (OM_BH) relationship. The distinction is in the density-dependent compensatory response of
474	the population following population reduction. While most stock assessment parameters were very similar
475	between the OM_Base and OM_BH parameterized models (e.g., estimated F, depletion), derived MSY-
476	based management reference points were different (Table 1). Estimated MSY, B_{MSY} , and F_{MSY} were lower
477	in OM_BH than in OM_Base. Therefore, the OM_Base assumed the status of the stock was more
478	pessimistic than the OM_BH stock status estimates (see Figures 4-5).
479	We investigated the impact of assuming an LFSR relationship in the OM while the EM assumed a
480	B-H stock recruitment relationship on MP performance. If the sandbar shark stock follows an LFSR
481	relationship and we assess the stock using a B-H stock-recruit relationship (e.g., OM_Base), then the EM
482	will assume that B_{MSY} is lower than it really is, which could result in an overfished stock. On the other hand,
483	though not tested in the current simulation, if the stock follows a B-H stock-recruit relationship and the EM
484	assumes an LFSR relationship, then the stock could also be subjected to overfishing since MSY is larger
485	for stocks that follow an LFSR relationship than those that follow a B-H SR relationship.
486	Form of Implementation Uncertainty
487	A unique aspect of this MSE was the necessity to account for uncertainty in future, unmanaged
488	catches. This is a consideration that has not received much attention within the MSE literature (e.g., Van
489	Beveren et al. 2020). We present an approach to incorporate uncertainty in future catches by building

490	alternate implementation modules that envelop the expected range of future MexRec projections. The
491	extent of future, relative to historical, uncertainty that should be incorporated into an MSE has been debated
492	(e.g., Butterworth 2008a; Butterworth 2008b; Kolody et al. 2008). Although in cases such as the sandbar
493	shark fishery, we agree with Kolody et al. (2008) that it would be negligent to exclude this critical source of
494	uncertainty in our simulation.
495	Mexican and U.S. recreational catches were treated as a single fleet because of issues with species
496	misidentification or lack of species-specific landing information, the uncertainty in recreational removals,
497	and reconstructed catches in the early historical time period (Cortés 2011). Importantly, both fleets were
498	assumed to exploit animals of similar sizes. Though the treatment of a single MexRec fleet was not ideal,
499	we note that it ultimately did not impact the results of the current study. Given our current understanding of
500	the fishery, the separated fleets would have been modeled with the same selectivity pattern and the same
501	implementation scenarios would still be necessary to reflect our inability to predict future Mexican catches.
502	The ability to predict the future Mexican harvest of sandbar shark is presently lacking, so we
503	explored the impacts of the two extreme cases of high or low projected MexRec catches on the sandbar
504	shark stocks. The rate of increase in MexRec catches with stock biomass in the HiMexRec scenario is likely
505	an upper bound, since the rate was based on both Mexican catches and U.S. recreational catches, and
506	harvest of sandbar shark has since been prohibited in the U.S. recreational fishery. On the other hand, the
507	rate of Mexican harvest in the LoMexRec scenario serves as a lower bound, since an increase in sandbar

508	shark biomass will likely increase encounter rates of Mexican and U.S. recreational fishers, which could
509	reasonably lead to increased catch-related mortality. By estimating plausible high and low MexRec catch
510	scenarios, we are effectively creating an envelope around potential future states of nature. Ultimately, future
511	MexRec removals will have a substantial impact on the ability of the sandbar shark stock to recover to B_{MSY} .
512	In the HiMexRec scenario, the sandbar shark fishery management objectives were maximized by
513	deliberately overfishing the stock. Any foregone U.S. commercial yield would merely be taken by the
514	MexRec fleet. Consequently, there was no added benefit to reducing U.S. catch in the short-term, as it
515	failed to result in long-term increases in yield or biomass. This scenario is akin to a pseudo-'prisoner's
516	dilemma' in which cooperation between two parties would yield in the most beneficial outcome overall, but
517	each party assumes the other will not cooperate and instead acts in a self-interested manner wherein non-
518	cooperation becomes the best individual strategy (Munro 2009). Although, MSA mandates prevent
519	deliberate overfishing (MSA 2007). In the LoMexRec scenario, recovery was achievable within a reasonable
520	probability (e.g., 41-72% depending on MP), but owing to additional removals that were not accounted for
521	in the target catch determination, recovery time was greater than that within the Conceptual model when
522	all major sources of fishery removals were managed.
523	The Conceptual MP performance served as a baseline for the sandbar shark, demonstrating the
524	impact of additional, unmanaged catch on MP performance. The Conceptual MP also provides insight into
525	how a threshold HCR would perform for other domestic coastal shark species, given a species of similar

526 life history and fishery structure wherein all removals are managed by a single governing body. The

- 527 improved management performance of the Conceptual MP further exemplifies what could be realized under
- 528 a coordinated international management effort.

529 Conceptual Versus Expected Implementation Scenario Performance

- 530 We illustrated the distinction between how intuitively an MP should perform a priori (Conceptual 531 MP performance following the Conceptual implementation scenario) compared to how the MP is expected 532 to perform in a given system (Expected MP performance following the Expected implementation scenarios). 533 In this simulation, the Expected MP performance accounted for Mexican removals that were not subjected 534 to the U.S.'s MP (HiMexRec and LoMexRec implementation scenarios), while the Conceptual MP 535 performance is the case in which all substantial fishery removals are subjected to management through the 536 MP (Conceptual implementation scenario). In the Conceptual scenario, spawning stock biomass recovered 537 until it plateaued at a level corresponding to the respective F_{lim} , accounting for natural differences between 538 'true,' simulated dynamics and dynamics assumed in the EM for each OM (Figure S6). However, in the 539 HiMexRec and LoMexRec scenarios, recovery was unachievable or slower (Figures 8, S10 & S14), while 540 U.S. commercial catch and the length composition of the stock were potentially affected (Figure 8). The 541 impact of high MexRec fishing had the largest impact on the management objectives relative to the 542 Conceptual scenario.
- 543 This research highlights the importance of considering relevant uncertainties that may affect the 544 performance of an MP within a fishery of interest. Given the fishery-specific nature of an MP, it is generally

545	understood that if the intent of the MSE is to adopt the MP, MSEs should be conducted on a stock-specific
546	basis to ensure that the proposed MP can accommodate the specific life history and fishery of that stock
547	(Apostolaki et al. 2006; Butterworth and Punt 1999; Forrest et al. 2018; Kronlund et al. 2014). The ultimate
548	utility of MSE results is largely dependent on whether the OM is able to capture the true fishery and
549	population dynamics and incorporate the full range of uncertainty (Butterworth and Punt 1999). However,
550	in the absence of unlimited capacity to conduct many species-specific MSEs, implementation of a generic
551	HCR simulation-tested through a generic (non-species-specific) desk MSE (e.g., Punt et al. 2016) will likely
552	suffice for many stocks (e.g., 40-10 HCR; Punt and Donovan 2007). We conducted the Conceptual
553	implementation scenario to serve as a generic MSE for other coastal shark species with similar life histories
554	for which catches can be regulated.
555	Comparing Concentual versus Expected MP performance suggests that failing to account for all

555 Comparing Conceptual versus Expected MP performance suggests that failing to account for all 556 unique aspects of the fishery (e.g., international removals) may substantially alter the MP performance in 557 practice. For example, we emphasize the difference in MP performance between the Conceptual and 558 HiMexRec Expected MP scenarios. We should not expect 'generic' HCR performance (e.g., Conceptual MP scenario) within the U.S. sandbar shark fishery. Further considerations in other systems may include 559 560 significant ecosystem dynamics (e.g., red tide or climate change; Harford et al. 2018; Holsman et al. 2020), 561 delays in data availability and fishery management implementation (e.g., Shertzer and Prager 2007), spatial or stock structure (e.g., Atlantic bluefin tuna, Carruthers and Butterworth 2018), among many others. 562

563	As in the sandbar shark fishery, the concept of multiple implementation models may be useful in
564	additional unconventional circumstances. For example, consider fisheries where total and projected
565	removals are unknown, including fisheries dominated by the recreational sector (Shertzer et al. 2019),
566	bycatch species with high at-vessel or post-release mortality (e.g., pelagic sharks, Bonfil 1994), or illegal,
567	unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing (Stiles et al. 2013). Each of these concerns are particularly
568	relevant for sharks managed within the United States. The results of our study highlight the importance of
569	fully considering how MP application will occur in the future within a given fishery.
570	Challenges Managing Coastal Sharks
571	Despite encouraging preliminary indicators of stock recovery following unregulated overexploitation
572	of coastal sharks in the 1970s and 1980s and subsequent precautionary management implementation in
573	the 1990s (Peterson et al. 2017), assessments still show that a number of large coastal sharks are
574	overfished and under rebuilding plans (SEDAR 2016, 2017). The fishery, along with the abundance of many
575	coastal shark stocks, has seemingly not fully recovered (Carlson et al. 2012). Ultimately, the challenges of
576	assessing coastal sharks are numerous and well documented (Cortés 2011; Musick et al. 2000; Stevens
577	2000).
578	Maintaining biomass at a level that supports removal of optimum yield is the objective that has
579	been codified within U.S. fisheries management legislation (MSA 2007), and in practice, optimum yield is
580	generally considered equal to MSY for domestic coastal sharks. However, optimum yield is technically

581 defined as MSY "as reduced by any social, economic, or ecological factor" (NMFS 2016). We further

© The Author(s) or their Institution(s)

582 acknowledge that fishing activities can, in fact, be sustainable at levels other than MSY and B_{MSY} . As 583 determined by the prioritization of management objectives for the sandbar shark, the optimal fishery 584 configuration may be one in which the ideal biomass is not equal to B_{MSY} . Within such a contentious 585 management framework, these topics may warrant additional consideration as fisheries management 586 continues to evolve. We emphasize that it is not our goal as scientists to prescribe an optimal MP, as the 587 best MP would be largely dependent on the personal ranking of management goals of each individual. 588 Instead, we lay bare the inherent trade-offs between management objectives associated with each MP 589 tested for the sandbar shark fishery in the U.S. across system-wide uncertainties.

590 International Fisheries Management

591 This research additionally highlights the challenges and importance of cooperative management of 592 migratory and transboundary stocks. International fisheries management is often subjected to the 'tragedy 593 of the commons', wherein the interests of competing nations likely do not support long-term sustainability 594 goals (Munro 2009). This was demonstrated in our HiMexRec scenario, wherein overfishing the stock 595 maximized U.S. sandbar shark management objectives despite not achieving stock recovery. Likewise, 596 McWhinnie (2009) demonstrated that fisheries shared by multiple nations are more likely to be overfished. 597 These results are exacerbated when the target stock is slow-growing and/or of high economic value 598 (McWhinnie 2009).

599 International fisheries management is particularly challenged when participating nations are not a 600 part of the management entity governing fisheries management of the stock (e.g., Koubrak and

601	VanderZwaag 2020). These 'free riding' nations typically receive the benefits of sustainable and
602	collaborative fisheries management without the requirement to abide by the regulations of the cooperative
603	agreement (Munro 2009). Inevitably, the challenges and significance of collaborative international fisheries
604	will only heighten in the face of climate change (e.g., Engler 2020; Koubrak and VanderZwaag 2020;
605	Sumaila and VanderZwaag 2020), especially considering that changes in the fishery, like those catalyzed
606	by climate change, often stimulate disruption in cooperative management agreements (Munro 2009).
607	Conclusions
608	Execution of an MSE to characterize HCR performance on coastal Atlantic sharks has been
609	repeatedly called for (Cortés et al. 2015; NMFS 2020). Management goals for Atlantic highly migratory
610	species (HMS) include use of MSE to determine the legitimacy of various MPs, and identification of barriers
611	towards achievement of optimum yield for HMS species (NMFS 2020). We conducted an MSE for a
612	representative large coastal shark, which allowed us to identify tradeoffs in management performance to
613	the various HCR parameterizations tested for a large coastal shark, and identify unregulated removals as
614	a potential barrier towards effective HMS management.
615	A key driver in the motivation to consider the Conceptual MP performance was the ability to apply
616	the results of this MSE to other coastal shark species. Keeping in mind the caveats noted above, the results
617	from this study may be useful for managing additional coastal shark species with similar life history,
618	including those that are entirely distributed within U.S. management boundaries or that are not harvested
619	by other countries, until a stock-specific simulation may be undertaken. This study also highlighted that

© The Author(s) or their Institution(s)

620	future MexRec fishing activities are a major uncertainty affecting the ability of the sandbar shark to recover.
621	Utilization of multiple implementation models represented a way to explicitly account for uncertainty in future
622	non-regulated removals. We believe these findings will prove useful in the future of Atlantic coastal shark
623	management.

624 Acknowledgements

625 We acknowledge William & Mary Research Computing (<u>https://www.wm.edu/it/rc</u>) and the NMFS Beaufort

- 626 Laboratory for providing computational resources and technical support, as well as Andrew Scheld, Nathan
- 627 Vaughan, and two reviewers for comments on this manuscript This paper is Contribution No. 4085 of the
- 628 Virginia Institute of Marine Science, William & Mary.
- 629 **Competing interests:** The authors declare there are no competing interests.
- 630 Contributors' statement: CDP, MJW, EC, DLC, and RJL all contributed to the conceptualization and design
- of the study. CDP and RJL secured funding with assistance from EC. CDP performed coding and analysis
- 632 for the study and wrote the original draft of the manuscript. MJW, EC, DLC, and RJL reviewed and edited
- 633 all versions of the manuscript.
- Funding: CDP was funded in part by the NMFS Sea Grant Population and Ecosystem Dynamics Fellowship
 (NA17OAR4170242).

636	Data	availability:	Code	for	this	project	is	available	on	Github
637	(https://	github.com/cassi	dydpeterso	n/SS_MSI	E) an	d was	archived	l with	Zenodo	(DOI:

638 10.5281/zenodo.6373778; <u>https://zenodo.org/badge/latestdoi/238532004</u>). Additional methods, results,

tables, and figures, as well as a detailed MSE protocol are available in the supplementary materials.

640 References

- A'mar, T.Z., Babcock, E.A., and McAllister, M.K. 2006. Contrasting deterministic and probabilistic
 ranking of catch quotas and spatially and size-regulated fisheries management. Canadian
 Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 63: 1777-1792.
- Apostolaki, P., Babcock, E.A., and McAllister, M.K. 2006. Contrasting deterministic and
 probabilistic ranking of catch quotas and spatially and size-regulated fisheries
 management. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 63(8): 1777-1792.
 doi:10.1139/f06-078.
- Baremore, I.E., and Hale, L.F. 2012. Reproduction of the Sandbar Shark in the Western North
 Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico. Marine and Coastal Fisheries 4(1): 560-572.
 doi:10.1080/19425120.2012.700904.
- Bonfil, R. 1994. World bycatches of sharks in high-seas fisheries: appraising the waste of a
 resource. *In* Bycatches in Fisheries and their Impact on the Ecosystem. *Edited by* T.J.
 Pitcher and R.Y. Chuenpagdee. The Fisheries Center, University of British Columbia,
 Vancouver, B.C., Canada. pp. 41-44.
- Butterworth, D.S. Some lessons from implementing management procedures. *In* Fisheries for
 Global Welfare and Environment, 5th World Fisheries Congress. 2008a. *Edited by* K.
 Tsukamoto and T. Kawamura and T. Takeuchi and T.D. Beard Jr. and M.J. Kaiser.
- Butterworth, D.S. 2008b. A commentary on: salvaged pearls: lessons learned from a floundering
 attempt to develop a management procedure for Southern Bluefin Tuna. Fisheries
 Research 94: 351-354. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2008.09.034.
- 661 Butterworth, D.S., and Punt, A.E. 1999. Experiences in the evaluation and implementation of 662 management procedures. ICES Journal of Marine Science **56**: 985-998.
- Carlson, J.K., Hale, L.F., Morgan, A., and Burgess, G. 2012. Relative abundance and size of
 coastal sharks derived from commercial shark longline catch and effort data. Journal of
 Fish Blology 80: 1749-1764. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.03193.x.

- Carlson, J.K., Heupel, M.R., Young, C.N., Cramp, J.E., and Simpfendorfer, C.A. 2019. Are we
 ready for elasmobranch conservation success? Environmental Conservation 46(4): 264 266. doi:10.1017/s0376892919000225.
- Carruthers, T.R., and Butterworth, D. 2018. ABT-MSE: an R package for Atlantic bluefin tuna
 management strategy evaluation. Collective Volume of Scientific Papers ICCAT **74**(6):
 3553-3559.
- Carruthers, T.R., Kell, L.T., Butterworth, D.D.S., Maunder, M.N., Geromont, H.F., Walters, C.,
 McAllister, M.K., Hillary, R., Levontin, P., Kitakado, T., and Davies, C.R. 2016.
 Performance review of simple management procedures. ICES Journal of Marine Science **73**(2): 464-482. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv212.
- Castro, J.I. 2016. The origins and rise of shark biology in the 20th century. Marine Fisheries
 Review **78**((1-2)): 14-33. doi:10.7755/MFR.78.1-2.2.
- Cisneros-Montemayor, A.M., Barnes-Mauthe, M., Al-Abdulrazzak, D., Navarro-Holm, E., and
 Sumaila, U.R. 2013. Global economic value of shark ecotourism: implications for
 conservation. Oryx 47(3): 381-388. doi:10.1017/s0030605312001718.
- Clarke, S., and Hoyle, S. 2014. Development of limit reference points for elasmobranchs. Western
 and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission.
- 683 Cortés, E. 2011. An overview of approaches used to assess the status of shark populations: 684 experiences from the USA and ICCAT in the Atlantic Ocean.
- Cortés, E., and Brooks, E.N. 2018. Stock status and reference points for sharks using data-limited
 methods and life history. Fish and Fisheries **19**(6): 1110-1129. doi:10.1111/faf.12315.
- Cortés, E., Brooks, L., and Scott, G. 2002. Stock assessment of large coastal sharks in the U.S.
 Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico.
- Cortés, E., Brooks, E.N., and Shertzer, K.W. 2015. Risk assessment of cartilaginous fish
 populations. ICES Journal of Marine Science 72(3): 1057-1068.
 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu157.
- Deroba, J.J., and Bence, J.R. 2008. A review of harvest policies: Understanding relative
 performance of control rules. Fisheries Research 94(3): 210-223.
 doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2008.01.003.
- DeVore, J., and Gilden, J. Sixth National Meeting of the Regional Fishery Management Councils'
 Scientific and Statistical Committees. *In* National SSC Workshop. Portland, OR 2019.
 Pacific Fishery Management Council. p. 68.

- Doering, K., and Vaughan, N. 2020. SSMSE: Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) using
 Stock Synthesis (SS).
- Dulvy, N.K., Fowler, S.L., Musick, J.A., Cavanagh, R.D., Kyne, P.M., Harrison, L.R., Carlson, J.K.,
 Davidson, L.N., Fordham, S.V., Francis, M.P., Pollock, C.M., Simpfendorfer, C.A.,
 Burgess, G.H., Carpenter, K.E., Compagno, L.J., Ebert, D.A., Gibson, C., Heupel, M.R.,
 Livingstone, S.R., Sanciangco, J.C., Stevens, J.D., Valenti, S., and White, W.T. 2014.
 Extinction risk and conservation of the world's sharks and rays. Elife 3: e00590.
 doi:10.7554/eLife.00590.
- Ellis, J.R., Clarke, M.W., Cortés, E., Heessen, H.J.L., Apostolaki, P., Carlson, J.K., and Kulka,
 D.W. 2008. Management of elasmobranch fisheries in the North Atlantic. *In* Advances in
 Fisheries Science: 50 years on from Beverton and Holt. *Edited by* A. Payne and J. Cotter
 and T. Potter. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK. pp. 184-228.
- Engler, C. 2020. Transboundary fisheries, climate change, and the ecosystem approach: taking
 stock of the international law and policy seascape. Ecology and Society 25(4).
 doi:10.5751/es-11988-250443.
- FAO. 1996. Precautionary approach to fisheries. Part 2: scientific papers. FAO, Lyskil, Sweden.
- Forrest, R.E., Holt, K.R., and Kronlund, A.R. 2018. Performance of alternative harvest control
 rules for two Pacific groundfish stocks with uncertain natural mortality: Bias, robustness
 and trade-offs. Fisheries Research **206**: 259-286. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2018.04.007.
- Gallagher, A.J., and Hammerschlag, N. 2011. Global shark currency: the distribution, frequency,
 and economic value of shark ecotourism. Current Issues in Tourism 14(8): 797-812.
 doi:10.1080/13683500.2011.585227.
- Gallagher, A.J., Hammerschlag, N., Danylchuk, A.J., and Cooke, S.J. 2017. Shark recreational
 fisheries: Status, challenges, and research needs. Ambio 46(4): 385-398.
 doi:10.1007/s13280-016-0856-8.
- Goethel, D.R., Lucey, S.M., Berger, A.M., Gaichas, S.K., Karp, M.A., Lynch, P.D., Walter, J.F.,
 Deroba, J.J., Miller, S., and Wilberg, M.J. 2019. Closing the feedback loop: on stakeholder
 participation in management strategy evaluation. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and
 Aquatic Sciences **76**(10): 1895-1913. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2018-0162.
- Grubbs, R.D. 2010. Ontogenetic shifts in movements and habitat use. *In* Sharks and Their
 Relatives II. Biodiversity, adaptive physiology, and conservation. *Edited by* J.C. Carrier
 and J.A. Musick and M.R. Heithaus. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. pp. 319-350.

Page 39 of 57

- Harford, W.J., Grüss, A., Schirripa, M.J., Sagarese, S.R., Bryan, M., and Karnauskas, M. 2018.
 Handle with care: establishing catch limits for fish stocks experiencing episodic natural
 mortality events. Fisheries 43(10): 463-471. doi:10.1002/fsh.10131.
- Heist, E.J., Graves, J.E., and Musick, J.A. 1995. Population genetics of the sandbar shark
 (*Carcharhinus plumbeus*) in the Gulf of Mexico and Mid-Atlantic Bight. Copeia 1995(3):
 555-562.
- Hicks, A.C., Cox, S.P., Taylor, N., Taylor, I.G., Grandin, C., and Ianelli, J.N. 2016. Conservation
 and yield performance of harvest control rules for the transboundary Pacific hake fishery
 in US and Canadian waters. *In* An Introduction to Simulation-Based Methods. *Edited by*C.T.T. Edwards and D.J. Dankel. Routledge, New York, NY. pp. 69-85.

Holland, D.S. 2010. Management Strategy Evaluation and Management Procedures: Tools for
 Rebuilding and Sustaining Fisheries. 18156797, O. Publishing.

Holsman, K.K., Haynie, A.C., Hollowed, A.B., Reum, J.C.P., Aydin, K., Hermann, A.J., Cheng,
W., Faig, A., Ianelli, J.N., Kearney, K.A., and Punt, A.E. 2020. Ecosystem-based fisheries
management forestalls climate-driven collapse. Nature Communications 11: 4579.
doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18300-3.

746 ICES. 2019. Workshop on Guidelines for Management Strategy Evaluations (WKGMSE2).

- ISC. Report for the first North Pacific albacore management strategy evaluation. *In* 19th Meeting
 for the International Scientific Committee for Tuna and Tuna-Like Species in the North
 Pacific Ocean. Taipei, Taiwan, July 11-15, 2019 2019. p. 157.
- Kai, M., and Yokoi, H. 2017. Evaluation of harvest strategies for pelagic sharks taking ecological
 characteristics into consideration: an example for North Pacific blue shark. Canadian
 Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 74(6): 933-947. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2016-0170.
- Kai, M., and Fujinami, Y. 2018. Stock-recruitment relationships in elasmobranchs: Application to
 the North Pacific blue shark. Fisheries Research 200: 104-115.
 doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2017.10.025.
- Kolody, D., Polacheck, T., Basson, M., and Davies, C. 2008. Salvaged pearls: lessons learned
 from a floundering attempt to develop a management procedure for Southern Bluefin
 Tuna. Fisheries Research 94(3): 339-350. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2008.08.016.
- Koubrak, O., and VanderZwaag, D.L. 2020. Are transboundary fisheries management
 arrangements in the Northwest Atlantic and North Pacific seaworthy in a changing ocean?
 Ecology and Society 25(4). doi:10.5751/es-11835-250442.

- Kronlund, A.R., Holt, K.R., Shelton, P.A., and Rice, J.C. 2014. Current approaches for the
 provision of scientific advice on the precautionary approach for Canadian fish stocks:
 harvest decision rules. DFO Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Research Document
 2013/080. vi +: 29 p.
- Kvamsdal, S.F., Eide, A., Ekerhovd, N.-A., Enberg, K., Gudmundsdottir, A., Hoel, A.H., Mills, K.E.,
 Mueter, F.J., Ravn-Jonsen, L., Sandal, L.K., Stiansen, J.E., and Vestergaard, N. 2016.
 Harvest control rules in modern fisheries management. Elementa: Science of the
 Anthropocene 4: 000114. doi:10.12952/journal.elementa.000114.
- Maunder, M.N. 2014. Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) implementation in Stock
 Synthesis: Application to Pacific bluefin tuna. IATTC.
- McCandless, C.T., Kohler, N.E., and Pratt, H.L. 2007. Shark Nursery Grounds of the Gulf of
 Mexico and the East Coast Waters of the United States. American Fisheries Society,
 Bethesda, MD.
- McWhinnie, S.F. 2009. The tragedy of the commons in international fisheries: An empirical
 examination. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 57(3): 321-333.
 doi:10.1016/j.jeem.2008.07.008.
- Methot, R.D., and Wetzel, C.R. 2013. Stock synthesis: A biological and statistical framework for
 fish stock assessment and fishery management. Fisheries Research 142: 86-99.
 doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2012.10.012.
- Methot, R.D., Wetzel, C.R., Taylor, I.G., and Doering, K. 2020. Stock Synthesis User Manual
 Version 3.30.15. NOAA Fisheries, Seattle, WA.
- Mitchell, J.D., McLean, D.L., Collin, S.P., and Langlois, T.J. 2018. Shark depredation in
 commercial and recreational fisheries. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 28(4): 715748. doi:10.1007/s11160-018-9528-z.
- Monnahan, C.C., Muradian, M.L., and Kuriyama, P.T. 2014. A guide for Bayesian analysis in AD
 Model Builder. p. 22 pp.
- Monnahan, C.C., Branch, T.A., Thorson, J.T., Stewart, I.J., and Szuwalski, C.S. 2019.
 Overcoming long Bayesian run times in integrated fisheries stock assessments. ICES
 Journal of Marine Science **76**(6): 1477-1488. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsz059.
- MSA. 2007. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. U.S. Department of
 Commerce. *Edited by* U.S.D.o.C.N.M.F. Service. p. 170.

Munro, G.R. 2009. Game theory and the development of resource management policy: the case
 of international fisheries. Environment and Development Economics 14(1): 7-27.
 doi:10.1017/s1355770x08004671.

Musick, J.A., Branstetter, S., and Covolcoresses, J.A. 1993. Trends in shark abundance from
 1974 to 1991 for the Chesapeake Bight region of the US mid-Atlantic coast. *In* Conservation Biology of Elasmobranchs. *Edited by* S. Branstetter. NOAA Technical
 Report. NMFS 115, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C. pp. 1-18.

- Musick, J.A., Burgess, G., Cailliet, G.M., Camhi, M., and Fordham, S. 2000. Management of sharks and their relatives (Elasmobranchii). Fisheries **25**(3): 9-13.
- Natanson, L.J., and Deacy, B.M. 2019. Using oxytetracycline validation for confirmation of
 changes in vertebral band-pair deposition rates with ontogeny in sandbar sharks
 (*Carcharhinus plumbeus*) in the western North Atlantic Ocean. Fishery Bulletin 117(1-2):
 50-58. doi:10.7755/fb.117.1.6.
- Natanson, L.J., Skomal, G.B., Hoffmann, S.L., Porter, M.E., Goldman, K.J., and Serra, D. 2018.
 Age and growth of sharks: do vertebral band pairs record age? Marine and Freshwater
 Research 69(9). doi:10.1071/mf17279.
- NMFS. 2016. Magnuson-Stevens Provisions; National Standard Guidelines. Federal Register
 810 81(201): 71858-71904.
- NMFS. 2019. Scoping Document Amendment 14 to the 2006 consolidated Atlantic highly
 migratory species fishery management plan. NOAA Fisheries.
- NMFS. 2020. Atlantic highly migratory species management-based research needs and priorities.
 Office of Sustainable Fisheries. NOAA Fisheries.
- Peterson, C.D., Belcher, C.N., Bethea, D.M., Driggers, W.B., Frazier, B.S., and Latour, R.J. 2017.
 Preliminary recovery of coastal sharks in the south-east United States. Fish and Fisheries
 18: 845-859. doi:10.1111/faf.12210.
- Pilling, G.M., Apostolaki, P., Failler, P., Floros, C., Large, P.A., Morales-Nin, B., Reglero, P.,
 Stergiou, K.I., and Tsikliras, A.C. 2008. Assessment and management of data-poor
 fisheries. *In* Advances in Fisheries Science: 50 years on from Beverton and Holt. *Edited by* A. Payne and J. Cotter and T. Potter. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK. pp. 280-305.
- Punt, A.E. 2010. Harvest control rules and fisheries management. *In* Handbook of Marine
 Fisheries Conservation and Management. *Edited by* R.Q. Grafton and R. Hilborn and D.
 Squires and M. Tait and M.J. Williams. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.

- Punt, A.E. 2017. Strategic management decision-making in a complex world: quantifying,
 understanding, and using trade-offs. ICES Journal of Marine Science 74(2): 499-510.
 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv193.
- Punt, A.E., and Donovan, G.P. 2007. Developing management procedures that are robust to
 uncertainty: lessons from the International Whaling Commission. ICES Journal of Marine
 Science 64: 603-612.
- Punt, A.E., Pribac, F., Taylor, B.L., and Walker, T.I. 2005. Harvest Strategy Evaluation for School
 and Gummy Shark. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science 35: 387-406.
 doi:10.2960/J.v35.m517.
- Punt, A.E., Butterworth, D.S., de Moor, C.L., De Oliveira, J.A.A., and Haddon, M. 2016.
 Management strategy evaluation: best practices. Fish and Fisheries 17: 303-334.
 doi:10.1111/faf.12104.
- R Core Team. 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Restrepo, V.R., Thompson, G.G., Mace, P.M., Gabriel, W.L., Low, L.L., MacCall, A.D., Methot,
 R.D., Powers, J.E., Taylor, B.L., Wade, P.R., and Witzig, J.F. 1998. Technical Guideance
 On the Use of Precautionary Approaches to Implementing National Standard 1 of the
 Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. *Edited by* N.M.F.
 Service. p. 54 p.
- Sainsbury, K. 2008. Best practice reference points for Australian fisheries. Report to the
 Australian Fisheries Management Authority.
- Sainsbury, K.J., Punt, A.E., and Smith, A.D.M. 2000. Design of operational management
 strategies for achieving fishery ecosystem objectives. ICES Journal of Marine Science 57:
 731-741. doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.0737.
- SEDAR. 2006. SEDAR 11 Stock Assessment Report Large Coastal Shark Complex, Blacktip and
 Sandbar Shark. NOAA/NMFS Highly Migratory Species Management Division, Silver
 Spring, MD.
- 852 SEDAR. 2011. SEDAR 21 HMS Sandbar Shark Stock Assessment Report. SEDAR, North
 853 Charleston, SC.
- 854 SEDAR. 2016. Update to SEDAR 21 HMS Dusky Shark Stock Assessment Report. SEDAR,
 855 North Charleston, SC.
- 856 SEDAR. 2017. SEDAR 54 HMS Sandbar Shark Stock Assessment Report. SEDAR, North
 857 Charleston, SC.

Page 43 of 57

858	Sharma, R., Levontin, P., Kitakado, T., Kell, L., Mosqueira, I., Kimoto, A., Scott, R., Minte - Vera,					
859	C., De Bruyn, P., Ye, Y., Kleineberg, J., Walton, J.L., Miller, S., and Magnusson, A. 2020.					
860	Operating model design in tuna Regional Fishery Management Organizations: Current					
861	practice, issues and implications. Fish and Fisheries 21 (5): 940-961.					
862	doi:10.1111/faf.12480.					
863	Shertzer, K.W., and Prager, M.H. 2007. Delay in fishery management: diminished yield, longer					
864	rebuilding, and increased probability of stock collapse. ICES Journal of Marine Science					
865	64 : 149-159.					
866	Shertzer, K.W., Williams, E.H., Craig, J.K., Fitzpatrick, E.E., Klibansky, N., and Siegfried, K.I.					
867	2019. Recreational sector is the dominant source of fishing mortality for oceanic fishes in					
868	the Southeast United States Atlantic Ocean. Fisheries Management and Ecology 26(6):					
869	621-629. doi:10.1111/fme.12371.					
870	Simpfendorfer, C.A., Heupel, M.R., White, W.T., and Dulvy, N.K. 2011. The importance of					
871	research and public opinion to conservation management of sharks and rays: a synthesis.					
872	Marine and Freshwater Research 62(6). doi:10.1071/mf11086.					
873	Stevens, J. 2000. The effects of fishing on sharks, rays, and chimaeras (chondrichthyans), and					
874	the implications for marine ecosystems. ICES Journal of Marine Science 57(3): 476-494.					
875	doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.0724.					
876	Stiles, M.L., Kagan, A., Shaftel, E., and Lowell, B. 2013. Stolen Seafood: The Impact of Pirate					
877	Fishing on our Oceans. Oceana.					

- Sumaila, U.R., and VanderZwaag, D.L. 2020. Canada and transboundary fisheries management
 in changing oceans: taking stock, future scenarios. Ecology and Society 25(4).
 doi:10.5751/es-12209-250444.
- Taylor, I.G., Gertseva, V., Methot, R.D., and Maunder, M.N. 2013. A stock-recruitment
 relationship based on pre-recruit survival, illustrated with application to spiny dogfish
 shark. Fisheries Research 142: 15-21. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2012.04.018.
- Taylor, I.G., Doering, K.L., Johnson, K.F., Wetzel, C.R., and Stewart, I.J. 2021. Beyond visualizing
 catch-at-age models: Lessons learned from the r4ss package about software to support
 stock assessments. Fisheries Research 239: 105924. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2021.105924.
- Taylor, I.G., Stewart, I.J., Hicks, A.C., Garrison, T.M., Punt, A.E., Wallace, J.R., Wetzel, C.R.,
 Thorson, J.T., Takeuchi, Y., Ono, K., Monnahan, C.C., Stawitz, C.C., A'mar, Z.T., Whitten,
 A.R., Johnson, K.F., Emmet, R.L., Anderson, S.C., Lambert, G.I., Stachura, M.M., Cooper,

A.B., Stephens, A., Klaer, N.L., McGilliard, C.R., Mosqueira, I., Iwasaki, W.M., Doering,
K., and Havron, A.M. 2019. r4ss: R Code for Stock Synthesis.

- Thorpe, R.B., and De Oliveira, J.A.A. 2019. Comparing conceptual frameworks for a fish community MSY (FCMSY) using management strategy evaluation—an example from the North Sea. ICES Journal of Marine Science **76**(4): 813-823. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsz015.
- Tixier, P., Lea, M.A., Hindell, M.A., Welsford, D., Mazé, C., Gourguet, S., and Arnould, J.P.Y.
 2020. When large marine predators feed on fisheries catches: Global patterns of the
 depredation conflict and directions for coexistence. Fish and Fisheries.
 doi:10.1111/faf.12504.
- Van Beveren, E., Duplisea, D.E., Marentette, J.R., Smith, A., and Castonguay, M. 2020. An
 example of how catch uncertainty hinders effective stock management and rebuilding.
 Fisheries Research 224: 105473. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2019.105473.
- Wilberg, M.J., Thorson, J.T., Linton, B.C., and Berkson, J. 2010. Incorporating time-varying
 catchability into population dynamic stock assessment models. Reviews in Fisheries
 Science 18(1): 7-24. doi:10.1080/10641260903294647.
- Zhou, S., Yin, S., Thorson, James T., Smith, Anthony D.M., and Fuller, M. 2012. Linking fishing
 mortality reference points to life history traits: an empirical study. Canadian Journal of
 Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 69(8): 1292-1301. doi:10.1139/f2012-060.
- 908

910 Tables and Figures

911 Table 1. List of six operating models with associated levels of relevant parameters. Note that the base OM is italicized. 912 *M* is natural mortality, *h* is steepness, R_0 is the natural logarithm of virgin recruitment, and S-R is the form of the stock-913 recruitment relationship. Note that the OM with ½ M produced a nonsensical yield-biomass curve when LFSR was 914 specified; consequently, we chose to apply the BH stock-recruitment function to this OM scenario. Note average M of 915 ages 1+ is 0.125 for all OMs except OM_M_BH (where M=0.0627). OMs are named after the parameter that was altered 916 from the base OM (OM_Base), including the Beverton-Holt S-R relationship (OM_BH), high or low steepness levels 917 (OM_Hih, OM_Loh), the magnitude of virgin recruitment (OM_InR0), and the natural mortality (OM_M_BH). "Current" 918 denotes that the model assumed the estimated value from the most recent stock assessment, where current virgin 919 recruitment = $\exp(6.27)$ and current age specific M = 0.160419 for ages 0-5, 0.157755 for age 6, and 0.116805 for 920 ages>6 (SEDAR 2017).

OMs	OM_Base	OM_BH	OM_Hih	OM_Loh	OM_InR0	OM_M_BH
М	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current	½ M
h	h=0.3	h=0.3	h=0.4	h=0.25	h=0.3	h=0.3
R ₀	Current	Current	Current	Current	2 × Current	Current
S-R	LFSR	BH	LFSR	LFSR	LFSR	BH
MSY	531	375	691	367	992	300
F _{MSY}	0.1002	0.0694	0.1230	0.0662	0. 0967	0.0739
F _{MSY} /M	0.802	0.555	0.984	0.530	0.774	1.177
B _{MSY}	642	580	545	722	1292	1489
Year of recovery if	2071	2054	2042	>2115	2022	2024
<i>F</i> =0	20/1	2004	2042	~2113	2022	2024

922 Table 2. Harvest control rule (HCR) parameterizations, where *F_{lim}* is the maximum prescribed fishing mortality rate (*F*),

923 *a* is the threshold biomass below which prescribed *F* = 0, and *b* is the threshold biomass below which prescribed *F* is

924 reduced. 30% of virgin biomass (*B*₀) was considered as a level for *a* following Clarke and Hoyle (2014) and Sainsbury

925 (2008).

	F _{lim}	а	b
HCR1	F _{MSY}	0	B _{MSY}
HCR2	F _{MSY}	0	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR3	F _{MSY}	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	B _{MSY}
HCR4	F _{MSY}	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR5	F=M	0	B _{MSY}
HCR6	F=M	0	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR7	F=M	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	B _{MSY}
HCR8	F=M	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR9	0.8 <i>M</i>	0	B _{MSY}
HCR10	0.8 <i>M</i>	0	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR11	0.8 <i>M</i>	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	B _{MSY}
HCR12	0.8 <i>M</i>	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR13	0.6 <i>M</i>	0	B _{MSY}
HCR14	0.6 <i>M</i>	0	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR15	0.6 <i>M</i>	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	B _{MSY}
HCR16	0.6 <i>M</i>	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR17	0.4 <i>M</i>	0	B _{MSY}
HCR18	0.4 <i>M</i>	0	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR19	0.4 <i>M</i>	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	B _{MSY}
HCR20	0.4 <i>M</i>	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR21	0.2 <i>M</i>	0	B _{MSY}
HCR22	0.2 <i>M</i>	0	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$
HCR23	0.2 <i>M</i>	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	B _{MSY}
HCR24	0.2 <i>M</i>	0.3 × <i>B</i> ₀	$0.8 \times B_{MSY}$

926

928 Figure Captions

- 929
- 930 Figure 1. Form of the threshold harvest control rule examined in the current study, where *F*_{lim} is the maximum prescribed
- 931 fishing mortality rate (*F*), *a* is the threshold biomass below which prescribed *F* = 0, and *b* is the threshold biomass below
- 932 which prescribed *F* is reduced.
- 933 Figure 2. Description of MSE dynamics. Note that the current MSE included six operating models (OMs), one data-
- 934 generating model, one estimating model, 24 harvest control rules (HCRs), and three implementation models. This
- 935 sums to a total of 72 management procedures (MPs; 1 data-generating model × 1 estimating model × 24 HCRs × 3
- 936 implementation models = 72 MPs) that were applied to each of the six OMs.
- 937 Figure 3. Historical relationship (1995-2013) of observed Mexican and U.S. Recreational (MexRec) catches and total
- 938 sandbar stock biomass. Points plotted in black represent observations from the years 1995-2007, and red points were
- 939 observed between the years 2008-2013. The superimposed lines demonstrate the alternate simulated relationships
- 940 between MexRec catches with biomass, where the black line represents the 'HiMexRec' implementation scenario while
- 941 the red line represents the 'LoMexRec' implementation scenario.
- 942 Figure 4. Expected trajectories of relative spawning stock biomass $(B|B_{MSY})$ in the absence of fishing mortality in the
- simulated period (2016-2115) for each OM scenario.
- 944 Figure 5. Biomass-yield curves for the sandbar shark assessment when assuming a LFSR relationship (left) compared
- 945 to assuming a B-H stock recruitment relationship (right) where MSY is maximum sustainable yield, B_0 is virgin spawning
- 946 stock biomass, and B_{2115} is spawning stock biomass at the year 2115.

947	Figure 6. Worm plots showing OM_Base relative spawning stock biomass trajectories ($B B_{MSY}$) across each harvest
948	control rule (HCR), where F_{lim} is the maximum allowable fishing mortality rate, b is the threshold biomass level below
949	which allowable fishing is reduced, and <i>a</i> is the limit biomass level below which allowable fishing mortality is set to zero.
950	Results are presented across implementation scenarios (rows) and HCR parameterizations (F_{lim} values as columns),
951	where F_{MSY} is the fishing mortality rate that would lead to biomass level that would produce maximum sustainable yield
952	(B_{MSY}) , and M is the natural mortality rate. Various configurations of a and b are color coded, where B_0 is virgin biomass.
953	Each thin, transparent line represents one simulated iteration (100 iterations per OM × HCR × Implementation
954	scenario). Thick, opaque lines represent median trajectories for each scenario.
955	Figure 7. Tradeoff plots showing the relationship between terminal spawning stock biomass ($B_{211d}B_{MSY}$) and cumulative
956	U.S. commercial catch throughout the entire simulation horizon of OM_Base across harvest control rules (HCRs) for
957	each implementation scenario. HCRs are parameterized where F_{lim} is the maximum allowable fishing mortality rate, b
958	is the threshold biomass level below which allowable fishing is reduced, and <i>a</i> is the limit biomass level below which
959	allowable fishing mortality is set to zero. F_{MSY} is the fishing mortality rate that would lead to biomass level that would
960	produce maximum sustainable yield (B_{MSY}), M is the natural mortality rate, and B_0 is virgin spawning stock biomass.
961	Figure 8. Graphical decision table displaying harvest control rule (HCR) performance with respect to six management
962	objectives, across three implementation models, and assuming each OM was weighted equally. Performance metrics
963	include: probability of recovery by 2115 (PRecov ₂₁₁₅), probability of recovery by 2070 (PRecov ₂₀₇₀), probability of
964	overfishing throughout the time horizon (POF), cumulative U.S. commercial catch throughout the time horizon (US
965	Catch), relative terminal spawning stock biomass (B_{211} / B_{MSY}), relative terminal fishing mortality rate (F_{211} / F_{MSY}),
966	average annual variability in catch (AAV), average length of females in the year 2115 (Avg. Len). HCRs (labeled R in

967 the figure) are defined in Table 2, F_{lim} is the maximum allowable fishing mortality rate, F_{MSY} is the fishing	ng mortality rate
---	-------------------

968 that would lead to biomass level that would produce maximum sustainable yield (B_{MSY}), and M is the natural mortality

969 rate.



Figure 1. Form of the threshold harvest control rule examined in the current study, where F_{lim} is the maximum prescribed fishing mortality rate (*F*), *a* is the threshold biomass below which prescribed *F* = 0, and *b* is the threshold biomass below which prescribed *F* is reduced.

736x552mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 2. Description of MSE dynamics. Note that the current MSE included six operating models (OMs), one data-generating model, one estimating model, 24 harvest control rules (HCRs), and three implementation models. This sums to a total of 72 management procedures (MPs; 1 data-generating model × 1 estimating model × 24 HCRs × 3 implementation models = 72 MPs) that were applied to each of the six OMs.

181x102mm (400 x 400 DPI)





736x552mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 4. Expected trajectories of relative spawning stock biomass (B/B_{MSY}) in the absence of fishing mortality in the simulated period (2016-2115) for each OM scenario.

736x552mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 5. Biomass-yield curves for the sandbar shark assessment when assuming a LFSR relationship (left) compared to assuming a B-H stock recruitment relationship (right) where MSY is maximum sustainable yield, B_0 is virgin spawning stock biomass, and B_{2115} is spawning stock biomass at the year 2115.

758x315mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 6. Worm plots showing OM_Base relative spawning stock biomass trajectories (B/B_{MSY}) across each harvest control rule (HCR), where F_{lim} is the maximum allowable fishing mortality rate, b is the threshold biomass level below which allowable fishing is reduced, and a is the limit biomass level below which allowable fishing mortality is set to zero. Results are presented across implementation scenarios (rows) and HCR parameterizations (F_{lim} values as columns), where F_{MSY} is the fishing mortality rate that would lead to biomass level that would produce maximum sustainable yield (B_{MSY}), and M is the natural mortality rate. Various configurations of a and b are color coded, where B_0 is virgin biomass. Each thin, transparent line represents one simulated iteration (100 iterations per OM × HCR × Implementation scenario). Thick, opaque lines represent median trajectories for each scenario.

758x487mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 7. Tradeoff plots showing the relationship between terminal spawning stock biomass (B_{2115}/B_{MSY}) and cumulative U.S. commercial catch throughout the entire simulation horizon of OM_Base across harvest control rules (HCRs) for each implementation scenario. HCRs are parameterized where F_{lim} is the maximum allowable fishing mortality rate, *b* is the threshold biomass level below which allowable fishing is reduced, and *a* is the limit biomass level below which allowable fishing mortality rate that would lead to biomass level that would produce maximum sustainable yield (B_{MSY}), *M* is the natural mortality rate, and B_0 is virgin spawning stock biomass.

368x460mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 8. Graphical decision table displaying harvest control rule (HCR) performance with respect to six management objectives, across three implementation models, and assuming each OM was weighted equally. Performance metrics include: probability of recovery by 2115 (PRecov₂₁₁₅), probability of recovery by 2070 (PRecov₂₀₇₀), probability of overfishing throughout the time horizon (POF), cumulative U.S. commercial catch throughout the time horizon (US Catch), relative terminal spawning stock biomass (B_{2115}/B_{MSY}), relative terminal fishing mortality rate (F_{2115}/F_{MSY}), average annual variability in catch (AAV), average length of females in the year 2115 (Avg. Len). HCRs (labeled R in the figure) are defined in Table 2, F_{lim} is the maximum allowable fishing mortality rate, F_{MSY} is the fishing mortality rate that would lead to biomass level that would produce maximum sustainable yield (B_{MSY}), and M is the natural mortality rate.

181x198mm (300 x 300 DPI)